### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## CK2 Enzyme Affinity Against c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> Substrate in Human Lung Cancer Tissue

# Ilhan Yaylim<sup>1\*</sup>, Nazli Ezgi Ozkan<sup>1</sup>, Turgut Isitmangil<sup>2</sup>, Gulbu Isitmangil<sup>3</sup>, Akif Turna<sup>4</sup>, Turgay Isbir<sup>5</sup>

#### Abstract

CK2 is a serine threonine kinase that participates in a variety of cellular processes with more than 300 defined substrates. This critical enzyme is known to be upregulated in cancers, but the role of this upregulation in carcinogenesis is not yet fully understood but c-myc, one of the defined CK2 substrates, is a well-known protooncogene that is normally essential in developmental process but is also involved in tumor development. We evaluated the optimal enzyme and substrate concentrations for CK2 activity in both neoplastic and non-neoplastic human lung tissues using the c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide (EQKLISEEDL) as a substrate. The activities measured for the neoplastic tissue were 600-750 U/mg protein while those for the control tissue was in the range of 650-800 U/mg. K<sub>m</sub> value for c-myc peptide was determined as  $0.33 \ \mu$ M in non-neoplastic tissue when compared with the non-neoplastic lung tissue, but we recorded two times higher affinity for c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> in cancer tissue. Considering the metabolic position of c-myc<sup>424-434</sup>, our results suggest that phosphorylation by CK2 may be important in dimerization and thus it might affect the regulation of c-myc in cancer tissues.

Keywords: Casein kinase 2 - lung tumors - c-myc - affinity

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 13 (10), 5233-5236

#### Introduction

Casein Kinase 2 (CK2), a highly conserved serine/ threonine kinase, participates in a number of cellular processes including cell cycle control, differentiation, proliferation, survival, apoptosis and carcinogenesis (Bibby and Litchfield, 2005; Filhol and Cochet, 2009; Dastidar, 2012). CK2 has two catalytic subunits ( $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\alpha''$ ) which are linked via two regulatory ( $\beta$ ) subunits to form a tetrameric complex (Litchfield et al., 2001; Shi et al 2001; Litchfield 2003). This complexes can be formed as different combinations of the subunits such as  $\alpha_2\beta$ ,  $\alpha\alpha'\beta_2$ ,  $\alpha'_2\beta_2$  depending on the cell type (Trembley at al., 2010).

It is known that CK2 tends to phosphorylate serine/ threonine residues specially surrounded by acidic residues. Based on this, a minimal consensus sequence for CK2 is defined as "Ser/The- X-X- Acidic" where the acidic residue might be Glu, Asp, pSer, pThr. Even though this consensus sequences have been defined as new potential substrates of the CK2, it is important to note that there are exceptions where the phosphorylation by CK2 occurs other than this consensus sequence (Meek et al., 1990; Pinna 1990; Meggio et al.,1994; Pinna et al., 1997; Litchfield, 2003).

CK2 contains more than 300 identified substrates in mammalian cells including DNA binding proteins, nuclear oncoproteins and transcription factors (Olsten et al 2004; Dastidar et al., 2012). It also combines with a number of apoptosis-related factors (Shin et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2008), thus participates in the regulation of such molecules as procaspase 2 (Wang et al., 200), RelA/p65 (Li et al., 2002), ARC (Dasagher et al., 2001) and bid involved in cell death and survival (Trembley at al., 2009).

Up-regulation of CK2 has been reported in all cancers so far examined and it has been stated that this upregulation is due to increased protein levels. Thus, stable CK2 levels seem to be important in the homeostasis of the cell (Guerra et al., 1999; Tawfic et al., 2001; Trembley et al., 2009). It has been demonstrated that increased CK2 expression in cancer cells reflected not only proliferation but also the state of dysplasia (Trembley et al., 2009). CK2 itself is not an oncogene, its contributory oncogenic potential has been researched using experimental studies. Functional interaction of CK2 and c-myc in lymhomagenesis has been shown by Channavajhala et al.(2002) and association of CK2 in other carcinomas such as lymhoblastic leukemia (Kelliher et al., 1996) and mammary gland tumorgenesis

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, Institute of Experimental Medicine, <sup>4</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, Istanbul University, <sup>2</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, GATA Haydarpasa Training Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Immunology, Haydarpasa Numune Training and Research Hospital, <sup>5</sup>Multidiciplinary Molecular Medicine Department, Institute of Health Sciences, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey \*For correspondence: ilhanyaylim@gmail.com

#### Ilhan Yaylim et al

(Landesman et al 2001) is also known.

Myc proto-oncogene protein (NP\_002458.2) (Pruitt et al., 2009) has been reported as a substrate for CK2 in cells. CK2 phosphorylates the c-myc in the C-terminal PEST domain, in consensus sites between 240-262 and 342-429 residues, thus there may be a critical regulatory of c-myc protein stability and cell proliferation in T cell lymphomas (Lüscher et al., 1989; Penn et al., 1990; Bousset et al., 1993; Bousset et al., 1994; Channavajhala et al., 2002). c-myc is a nuclear transcription factor which is essential for the developmental processes in mammalian organisms (Channavajhala et al., 2002) and up-regulated in many cancer types. Regulation of c-myc expression is either in transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels, and any kind of disregulation may result in the transformation of cells (Penn et al., 1990; von Deimling et al., 1990; Channavajhala et al., 2002). It has been shown that myc family oncogenes are involved in carcinogenesis not only in the initiation but also in progression step by re-arrangement and amplification of myc genes. It is also stated that small cell lung tumors which has myc amplification are more aggressive and patients having this cancer have worse prognosis (Wallis et al., 1999). Increased CK2 activity in human lung tumor tissue for a specific synthetic peptide (RRRDDDSDDD) has been shown in our previous study (Yaylim and Isbir, 2002). The aim of this study is to determine the optimal enzyme and substrate concentrations in non-neoplastic lung tissue, and then compare the CK2 activity in lung cancer tissue and non-neoplastic lung tissue using c-myc peptide as the substrate to see if there is any correlation between increased c-myc levels and CK2 activity.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Tumor and non-neoplastic tissues with lung carcinoma were used for the CK activity determination. The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, at the Istanbul University, and participating subjects were informed prior to the study. The diagnosis of lung carcinoma was confirmed pathologically. Tumor material with no or minimal inflammatory infiltrations was selected. Cell extracts (about 0.1- 0.5 g tissue) were minced and transferred to 0.5-2 ml ice-cold buffer (2 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoetanol, 20 mM Tris-HCL, 0.5 mM phenyl methyl sulphonyl floride  $(PhMeSO_{2E})$ . The material was then homogenized with Ultrathorax (20,000 rpm) three times for 30 seconds at 30-second intervals. The homogenate was sonicated four times for 15 seconds at 10-second intervals at 50 W using a Branson sonifier with a microtip. The sonicated material was then centrifuged at 39,000 g and 4°C for 2 hours in a Sorval ultracentrifuge. The protein content was determined by the Lowry method (Dobrowolska et al., 1999) and concentrations adjusted to 1 mg/ml with buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCL, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PhMeSO, F, 7 mM 2-mercaptoetanol pH: 7.2). This extract was used for the CK2 activity test.

1	mdffrvvenq	qppatmplnv	sftnrnydld	ydsvqpyfyc	deeenfyqqq	qqselqppap	
61	sediwkkfel	lptpplspsr	rsglcspsyv	avtpfslrgd	ndggggsfst	adqlemvtel	
121	lggdmvnqsf	icdpddetfi	kniiiqdcmw	sgfsaaaklv	seklasyqaa	rkdsgspnpa	
181	rghsvcstss	lylqdlsaaa	secidpsvvf	pyplndsssp	kscasqdssa	fspssdslls	
241	stesspqgsp	eplvlheetp	pttssdseee	qedeeeidvv	svekrqapgk	rsesgspsag	
301	ghskpphspl	vlkrchvsth	qhnyaappst	rkdypaakrv	kldsvrvlrq	isnnrkctsp	
361	rssdteenvk	rrthnvlerq	rrnelkrsff	alrdqipele	nnekapkvvi	lkkatayils	
421	vqAEEQKLIS	<b>EEDL</b> LRKRRE	QLKHKLEQLR	NSCA			
Figure 1 Depresents the a mys <sup>424-434</sup> Deptide within the							

**Figure 1. Represents the c-myc**<sup>424-434</sup> **Peptide within the "Helix-loop-helix" and "leucine zipper" Domains of the Myc.** Underlined residues indicate the dimerization interface of Myc. Grey area, residues between 370 and 426, indicates the "Helix-loop-helix" domain. Residues high-lighted in capital letters, between 423 and 454 indicate "leucine zipper" domain. Underlined residues indicate dimerization interface. Residues high-lighted in bold capital letters indicate c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide

Table 1. Represents the Kinetic Values of theCk2 Enzyme When c-myc424-434Peptide is used asSubstrate

	Vmax (pmol/min/mg)	K <sub>m</sub> (uM)
Lung cancer tissue	666	0.18
Non-neoplastic	714	0.33

previously (Krippner-Heidenreich et al., 2001). The c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide (EQKLISEEDL) is used in concentrations of 0.5  $\mu$ M, 1  $\mu$ M, 1.5  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M. In our assay, the mixture of the phosphatase inhibitors ammonium vanadate (0.2 mM) and NaF (50 mM) appeared to influence the magnitude of the CK2 activity in the extracts. One unit of CK2 activity is defined as the amount that catalyses the transfer of 1 pmol <sup>32</sup>P into the substrate within 1 minute at 37°C. The Lineweaver Burk and Hill Plot were constructed.

#### Results

The Michealis Menten kinetic values for CK2 are calculated for c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide (EQKLISEEDL) in both lung carcinomas and non-neoplastic lung tissue of the same patient. The activities measured for the neoplastic tissue were in range of 600-750 U/mg protein while those for the control tissue were in the range of 650-800 U/mg.  $K_m$  value for c-myc peptide was determined as 0.33  $\mu$ M in non-neoplastic tissue.

#### Discussion

CK2 is a pleiotropic protein kinase which phosphorylates serine/threonine residues and it can be stated that CK2 regulation is highly related with carcinogenesis, since it's up-regulation has been reported in all cancers that have been examined so far (Trembley et al., 2009; Dastidar et al., 2012). Nuclear transcription factor c-myc is one of the defined substrates of CK2 which is known to be upregulated in most of malignancies (Münstermann et al., 1990; Daya-Makin et al., 1994; Gapany et al., 1995; Landesman-Bollag et al., 2001; Channavajhala et al 2001;). Even though c-myc had been defined to phosphorylate by CK2 in PEST domain, neither the consequences of this phosphorylation nor other possible CK2 phosphorylation sites in c-myc has become clear yet (Channavajhala et al., 2001; Bousset et al.,1993; Bousset et al., 1994; Lüscher et al.,1989; Street et al., 1990).

According to "Human Protein Reference Database" there are 72 possible CK2 motifs in the c-myc protein (Amanchy et al., 2007). Among these 72 motives, only a restricted number of c-myc peptides have been used as the substrates of the CK2 in enzyme activity studies. Bernard et al. (1989) defined the CK2 phosphorylation sites of the avian myc peptides as  $myc^{222-238}$  (K<sub>m</sub>:10.43  $\mu$ M),  $myc^{246-263}$  $(K_m: 20 \,\mu M), myc^{323-334}(K_m: 3920 \,\mu M).$  They also showed the human myc peptides homologus for avian myc<sup>222-238</sup> an**±100.0** myc323-334: myc240-262 (LHEETPPTTSSDSEEEQEDEEEI) and myc342-357 (CTSPRSSDTEENVKRR) respectively and CK2 were located within residues 240-262 and 342-357 (Lüscher et al., 1989). Dobrowolska et al. (1999) used the c-myc peptide as a substrate for CK2. They examined the  $\alpha 2\beta 2$  and  $\alpha' 2\beta 2$  with synthetic peptide (RRRDDDSDDD) and c-myc peptide (RRRPPTTSSDSEEEQEEDEE).

myc424-434 as a substrate for CK2 in lung cancer tissue and non-neoplastic lung tissue. The aim of the study is to determine if there is any correlation between increased c-myc levels and CK2 activity in lung cancers. As it is shown in Figure 1, the myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide is in the range of leucine zipper domain (423-454) which is efficient for DNA binding. It is also a part of Helix-loop-Helix domain (370-426) which is a specific sequence for DNA binding proteins that act as a transcription factor and polypeptide binding site "dimerization interface" (424-425) (Pruitt et al., 2009).

Since we knew the existence of a phosphorylation motive for CK2 and of its critical position, we decided to use c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide as a substrate. We did not observe a significant difference in the CK2 activity between neoplastic and non-neoplastic tissues. Vmax value in cancer tissue was 666 pmol/min/mg protein while Vmax in non-neoplastic tissue was 714 pmol/min/mg protein (Table 1). However, we observed an interesting change in K<sub>m</sub> values. In the non-neoplastic tissue, K<sub>m</sub> value was higher than the lung cancer tissue;  $0.33 \,\mu\text{M}$  and  $0.18 \,\mu\text{M}$ respectively. This change in the K<sub>m</sub> value indicates that affinity of the CK2 for c-myc peptide in cancer tissue is two times higher. In order to explain this increase in the affinity of the enzyme, even though the activity remained the same, we focused on the critical position of the cmyc424-434. As it is stated above, EQKLISEEDL peptide sequence is just between the functionally important and conserved domains HLH and Leucin Zipper domains of the c-myc. Moreover, c- myc<sup>424-434</sup> is really close to one of the defined "polypeptide binding sites" where c-myc binds to its "binding partner" MAX (Figure 1) (Pruitt et al., 2009).

CK2 is known to phosphorylate MAX in vitro and affect the DNA binding properties of both MAX/MAX and MYC/MAX dimers (Litchfield, 2003). Moreover, Max is known to be protected from caspase mediated cleavage when phosphorylated by CK2 (Krippner-Heidenreich et al., 2001; Litchfield, 2003). It has been shown that DNA binding capability of MAX/MAX dimers are reduced

CK2 Enzyme Affinity Against c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> Substrate in Human Lung Cancer Tissue by CK2 phosphorylation of MAX, whereas MAX/MYC dimers remained unaffected (Berberich et al., 1992).

> If we take the data together, CK2 both phosphorylates MYC and its binding partner MAX and affects the DNA binding capacity of MAX/MAX dimers (Litchfield, 2003). Moreover, phosphorylation of MAX by CK2 protects it from caspase cleavage. Based on this information, it is possible to conclude that CK2 phosphorylation of myc might have a regulatory role, specifically on the dimerization and/or DNA binding.

Our findings indicate that c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide **1600.0** phosphore  $\frac{1}{20.3}$  in both cancer and non-neoplastic lung tissue. If we consider the position of the c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> stated that the phosphorylation sites of the human myc by 75.0 peptide, this phosphorylation might 25.0 peptide, this phosphorylation might 25.0 peptide, this phosphorylation might 25.0 peptide, the phospho c-myc activity. Phosphorylation site that we've defined here is really close the polypeptide binding site that myc interacts with the MAX. Since it has been stated that differences in the kinetic properties of CK2 holoenzymes50.0MYC-MAX binding occurs v54 charged 4304ar interactions50.0 30.0 (Brownlie et al., 1997; Amati et al., 2001; Ponzielli et al., 2005), phosphorylation of such a close residue to the In our study, we used the c-myc peptide (EQKLISEEDL)25.0 pinding point might have a serious impact on the formation25.0 of dimers 38.0 30.0

6

56

31

0

None

**31.3** In our previous study, we slagyzed that CK2 activity is 2.5 times higher in lung cancer tissue when RRRDDDSDDD Opeptide is used as substrate (Yaylim and Isbir, 2002). In this study though we did not record an increase in CK2 activity in Ecancer tissue, there was an o vious increase in the affenity of the CK2 to the c-my 2424-434 peptide. Based on the position of the perfitide, we hypothesize that phosphore ation of E-myc<sup>424.4</sup> might affect the MYC-MAX dingerization, which is Epossible explanation for the increased CK2 Effinity. We believe that CK2 has an undeniable effect or c-myc activity whether it is direct or indirect In a previous study, which was carried out by Channava hala et al (2002), it was stated that regulation of c-myc kevels in the lymphoma cell lines could be related to the CK2 activity (Channavajhala et al., 2002). These results also support our hypothesis about the effect of the CK2 in c-myc regulation, yet the mechanism of this regulation still remains a puzzle.

In this study, we have shown that CK2 has an increased affinity for c-myc<sup>424-434</sup> peptide in lung cancer tissue. We assume that phosphorylation on such a critical position can influence the dimerization of the myc, thus affecting its oncogenic activity. However, further investigation is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grant from the University of Istanbul, Research Foundation (project T-554/240698). Turkey.

#### References

- Amanchy R, Periaswamy B, Mathivanan S (2007). Human Protein Reference Database-PhosphoMotif Finder: c-myc. Accession date: 03.05.2012, A curated compendium of phosphorylation motifs. Nat Biotechnol, 25, 285-6.
- Amati B, Frank SR, Donjerkovic D, Taubert S (2001). Function of the c-Myc oncoprotein in chromatin remodeling and

#### Ilhan Yaylim et al

transcription. Biochim Biophys Acta, 1471, 135-45.

- Berberich SJ, Cole MD (1992). Casein kinase II inhibits the DNA-binding activity of Max homodimers but not Myc/ Max heterodimers. *Genes Dev*, 6, 166-76.
- Bibby AC, Litchfield DW (2005). The multiple personalities of the regulatory subunit of protein kinase CK2: CK2 dependent and CK2 independent roles reveal a secret identity for CK2beta. *Int J Biol Sci*, **1**, 67-79.
- Bousset K, Henriksson M, Lüscher-Firzlaff JM, Litchfield DW, Lüscher B (1993). Identification of casein kinase II phosphorylation sites in Max: effects on DNA-binding kinetics of Max homo- and Myc/Max heterodimers. Oncogene, 8, 3211-20
- Bousset K, Oelgeschläger MH, Henriksson M, et al (1994). Regulation of transcription factors c-Myc, Max, and c-Myb by casein kinase II. *Cell Mol Biol Res*, **40**, 501-11.
- Brownlie P, Ceska T, Lamers M, et al (1997). The crystal structure of an intact human Max-DNA complex: new insights into mechanisms of transcriptional control. *Structure*, **5**, 509-20.
- Channavajhala P, Seldin DC (20002). Functional interaction of protein kinase CK2 and c-Myc in lymphomagenesis. *Oncogene*, **21**, 5280-8.
- Dastidar EG, Dayer G, Holland ZM, et al (2012). Involvement of Plasmodium falciparum protein kinase CK2 in the chromatin assembly pathway. *BMC Biol*, **10**, 5.
- Daya-Makin M, Sanghera JS, Mogentale TL, et al (1994). Activation of a tumor-associated protein kinase (p40TAK) and casein kinase 2 in human squamous cell carcinomas and adenocarcinomas of the lung. *Cancer Res*, 54, 2262-8.
- Desagher S, Osen-Sand A, Montessuit S, et al (2001). Phosphorylation of bid by casein kinases I and II regulates its cleavage by caspase 8. *Mol Cell*, 8, 601-11.
- Dobrowolska G, Lozeman FJ, Li D, Krebs EG (1999). CK2, a protein kinase of the next millennium. *Mol Cell Biochem*, **191**, 3-12.
- Filhol O, Cochet C (2009). Protein kinase CK2 in health and disease: Cellular functions of protein kinase CK2: a dynamic affair. Cell Mol Life Sci, 66, 1830-9.
- Gapany M, Faust RA, Tawfic S, et al (1995). Association of elevated protein kinase CK2 activity with aggressive behavior of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Mol Med*, 1, 659-66.
- Guerra B, Issinger OG (1999). Protein kinase CK2 and its role in cellular proliferation, development and pathology. *Electrophoresis*, **20**, 391-408.
- Kelliher MA, Seldin DC, Leder P (1996). Tal-1 induces T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia accelerated by casein kinase IIalpha. *EMBO J*, **15**, 5160-6.
- Kim HR, Kim K, Lee KH, Kim SJ, Kim J (2008). Inhibition of casein kinase 2 enhances the death ligand- and natural kiler cell-induced hepatocellular carcinoma cell death. *Clin Exp Immunol*, **152**, 336-44
- Krippner-Heidenreich A, Talanian RV, Sekul R, et al (2001). Targeting of the transcription factor Max during apoptosis: phosphorylation-regulated cleavage by caspase-5 at an unusual glutamic acid residue in position P1. *Biochem J*, **358**, 705-15.
- Landesman-Bollag E, Romieu-Mourez R, Song DH, et al (2001). Protein kinase CK2 in mammary gland tumorigenesis. *Oncogene*, **20**, 3247-57.
- Landesman-Bollag E, Song DH, Romieu-Mourez R, et al (2001). Protein kinase CK2: signaling and tumorigenesis in the mammary gland. *Mol Cell Biochem*, **227**, 153-65.
- Li PF, Li J, Müller EC, et al (2002). Phosphorylation by protein kinase CK2: a signaling switch for the caspase-inhibiting protein ARC. *Mol Cell*, **10**, 247-58.
- Litchfield DW, Bosc DG, Canton DA, et al (2001). Functional

specialization of CK2 isoforms and characterization of isoform-specific binding partners. *Mol Cell Biochem*, **227**, 21-9.

- Litchfield DW (2003). Protein kinase CK2: structure, regulation and role in cellular decisions of life and death. *Biochem J*, **369**, 1-15.
- Lüscher B, Kuenzel EA, Krebs EG, Eisenman RN (1989). Myc oncoproteins are phosphorylated by casein kinase II. *EMBO J*, 8, 1111-9.
- Meek DW, Simon S, Kikkawa U, Eckhart W (1990). The p53 tumour suppressor protein is phosphorylated at serine 389 by casein kinase II. *MBO J*, **9**, 3253-60.
- Meggio F, Marin O, Pinna LA (1994). Substrate specificity of protein kinase CK2. *Cell Mol Biol Res*, 40, 401-9.
- Münstermann U, Fritz G, Seitz G (1990). Casein kinase II is elevated in solid human tumours and rapidly proliferating non-neoplastic tissue. *Eur J Biochem*, **189**, 251-7.
- Olsten ME, Litchfield DW (2004). Order or chaos? An evaluation of the regulation of protein kinase CK2. *Biochem Cell Biol*, 82, 681-93.
- Penn LJ, Laufer EM, Land H (1990). C-MYC: evidence for multiple regulatory functions. *Semin Cancer Biol*, 1, 69-80.
- Pinna LA, Meggio F (1997). Protein kinase CK2 ("casein kinase-2") and its implication in cell division and proliferation. *Prog Cell Cycle Res*, **3**, 77-97.
- Pinna LA (1990). Casein kinase 2: an 'eminence grise' in cellular regulation? *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1054**, 267-84.
- Ponzielli R, Katz S, Barsyte-Lovejoy D, Penn LZ (2005). Cancer therapeutics: targeting the dark side of Myc. *Eur J Cancer*, 41, 2485-501.
- Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Klimke W, Maglott DR (2009). NCBI Reference Sequences: current status, policy and new initiatives. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 37, 32-6.
- Shi X, Potvin B, Huang T, et al (2001). A novel casein kinase 2 alpha-subunit regulates membrane protein traffic in the human hepatoma cell line HuH-7. J Biol Chem, 276, 2075-82.
- Shin S, Lee Y, Kim W, et al (2005). Caspase-2 primes cancer cells for TRAIL-mediated apoptosis by processing procaspase-8. *EMBO J*, **24**, 3532-42.
- Street AJ, Blackwood E, Lüscher B, Eisenman RN (1990). Mutational analysis of the carboxy-terminal casein kinase II phosphorylation site in human c-myc. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*, **166**, 251-8.
- Tawfic S, Yu S, Wang H, et al (2001). Protein kinase CK2 signal in neoplasia. *Histol Histopathol*, **16**, 573-82.
- Trembley JH, Chen Z, Unger G, et al (2010). Emergence of protein kinase CK2 as a key target in cancer therapy. *Biofactors*, **36**, 187-95.
- Trembley JH, Wang G, Unger G, Slaton J, Ahmed K (2009). Protein kinase CK2 in health and disease: CK2: a key player in cancer biology. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 66, 1858-67.
- von Deimling A, Aguzzi A, Kleihues P, Land H, Wiestler OD (1990). Induction of primitive neuroectodermal tumors by oncogene complementation. *Verh Dtsch Ges Pathol*, **74**, 432-6.
- Wallis YL, Macdonald F (1999). Demystified oncogenes. Mol Pathol, 52, 55-63.
- Wang D, Westerheide SD, Hanson JL, Baldwin AS (2000). Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced phosphorylation of RelA/p65 on Ser529 is controlled by casein kinase II. *J Biol Chem*, **275**, 32592-7.
- Yaylim I, Isbir T (2002). Enhanced casein kinase II (CK II) activity in human lung tumours. Anticancer Res, 22, 215-8.