# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Changes in the Attitudes and Behavior of Relatives of Breast **Cancer Patients Concerning Cancer Prevention and Screening**

Dogan Koca<sup>1\*</sup>, Oguzhan Ozdemir<sup>2</sup>, Huseyin Akdeniz<sup>2</sup>, Olcun Umit Unal<sup>3,4</sup>, Ugur Yilmaz<sup>3,5</sup>

#### **Abstract**

Background: Changes in the attitudes and behavior of relatives of breast cancer patients concerning cancer prevention and screening after diagnosis in a loved one were evaluated. Materials and Methods: Forty-three questions were used to collect data from the relatives of the breast cancer patients who had been living with their relatives for at least one year. Results: The study group was composed of 171 female relatives (median age: 43, range: 17-82 yr). After the patients were diagnosed with breast cancer, changes in the attitudes and behavior of their relatives toward the prevention and screening of cancer were evident in 78 (45.6%) of the study participants (e.g. eating habits, quit or reduced smoking, exercise habits). In addition, it was noted that some characteristics of the relatives had different effects on different attitudes and behavior. Conclusions: Awareness on breast cancer among the relatives of breast cancer patients is useful for the management of health and social problems that can be seen in these individuals. At the same time, this information could help countries determine whether their actual level of healthcare for early cancer diagnosis, prevention, and screening are adequate.

Keywords: Breast cancer - awareness - patient relatives - behavioral changes

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 14 (10), 5693-5697

## Introduction

Breast cancer is an important healthcare issue for women and is one of the most commonly encountered cancers in the United States. It is also the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths with a 15% mortality rate, and it makes up 26% of all cancers (Harold et al., 2008). One out of every nine females has a risk of getting breast cancer at some point in their lives (Goss et al., 1998). Genetic causes are responsible for approximately 5-10% of all breast cancer and more than half of these are thought to be caused by mutations in the genes BRCA-1 and BRCA-2 (Calzone et al., 2005). In addition, it is thought that breast cancer has multifactorial origins, including advanced age, family history, increased exposure to female hormones, diet, benign breast diseases, and environmental factors. These carry a different potential in each individual and have a different risk load [Harold JB, et al., 2008]. It is reported that familial breast cancer occurs earlier than sporadic breast cancer; thus, screening tests should be administered starting from between the ages of 25 to 30 (Kuhl et al., 2010). Individuals with first-degree relatives diagnosed with breast cancer have a 5.5% risk of having breast cancer while this risk increases to 13.3% if there are two first-degree relatives with the disease (Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer, 2001).

Breast cancer is a phenomenon that results from both familial and environmental factors, and, as a result, it can potentially affect all members of a family. However, it is not yet clear whether there is a change in the attitudes and behaviors of family members toward cancer after one individual in the family has been diagnosed with breast cancer (Goss et al., 1998; Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer, 2001; Calzone et al., 2005; Harold et al., 2008; Kuhl et al., 2010).

Relatives who quit smoking, change their diet, exercise habits, and lifestyles, start using vitamin supplements or alternative medicines, visit a doctor due to suspicion of cancer, or undergo cancer screening are possible behavioral changes that can be encountered. These changes can vary according to the awareness level of the individual and can lead to early cancer diagnosis. Perception of the patient and the disease is important for the relatives living with those stricken with breast cancer, and studies have shown that there is no distinct level in this perception. It differs from person to person (Kagawa-Singer et al., 2003). Some studies have shown that the most important health problem seen in the relatives of the cancer patients was psychological stress (Couper et al., 2006), followed by general body symptoms and deterioration in

<sup>1</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Special Istanbul Hospital, Van, <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Diseases, Division of Medical Onclogy, Medical Faculty, <sup>4</sup>Ataturk University, Erzurum, <sup>5</sup>Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Turkey \*For correspondence: dogankoca@hotmail.com

brain functions (Wagner et al., 2006).

Promoting public awareness on breast cancer is an important public issue. Thus, determination of behavioral and attitudinal changes in the relatives of breast cancer patients would be an interesting and effective way of enabling public awareness on the disease. On the other hand, it is also important for managing possible cancerrelated health issues and for early diagnosis of this disease. Moreover, it can be used as a subjective indicator for countries to examine their progress in evaluating relatives of cancer patients regarding cancer prevention and screening as well as for the implementation of related programs.

Therefore, we examined the changes in the attitudes and behaviors of the relatives of breast cancer patients and kept the psychological problems of the relatives out of the equation.

# **Materials and Methods**

The relatives of the breast cancer patients who were treated in the Department of Medical Oncology, Dokuz Eylül University between July 2010 and January 2011

were asked forty-three questions, and the data was then collected. Relatives of the patients were asked questions about general characteristics and the changes in the attitudes and behaviors toward cancer prevention and screening after the patients diagnosed breast cancer. Questions were directed to the first-degree relatives of the patients (mothers, sisters, and daughters) and to those who shared a home with the patient at least one year.

The statistical analysis of the data obtained was carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS) Version 15.0 software, and the chi-square test was used for comparing the independent groups. A value of p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

## **Results**

General characteristics of the relatives of the patients: A total of 171 first-degree relatives of 156 breast cancer patients being treated were enrolled in the study group. Of these, 141 patients had one relative in the study while 15 patients had two relatives who participated. Twenty-three patients (13.5%) had stage I cancer while 28 (16.4%) had

6.3 12.8

> Newly diagnosed without treatment Chemotherapy

Table 1. General Characteristics of the Patients' Relatives

Characteristic	Median (range)	n (%)	Characteristic Me	edian (range)	n (%)	
Place of residence of the relatives (with the patient and the time interval)		Relative with history of benign breast disease		27 (15.8)		
The same city (years)	21 (17-68)	73 (42.7)	Non-tumoral disease		19 (11.1)	
A different city (years)	18 (1-69)	98 (57.3)	Benign breast tumor		8 (4.6)	
Relationship to the patient	Mother	48 (28.1)	Breast self-examination			
	Daughter	59 (34.5)	Those who know how to do it		116 (67.8)	
	Sister	64 (37.4)	Those who have done it		94 (55.0)	
Moment relative found out about breast cancer diagnosis			Those who do it regularly-once a month		74 (43.2)	
At diagnosis		148 (85.5)	Previous breast ultrasonography			
Following diagnosis (no.	of days) 90 (30-600)	23 (13.5)	For routine controls		47 (27.4)100	0.0
Other relative with a diagno	sis of breast cancer	10	00.0For clinical suspicion		24 (14.1)	
Mother with breast cancer		12 (7.0)	Previous mammography For routine controls		45 (26.3)	
Daughter with breast ca	ancer	11 (6.4)	6.3 10.1 clinical 20.3 cio	n	18 (10.5)	
Sister with breast cance	er	6 (3.5)	Relatives who have undergone regular testing		75	
Maternal aunt with brea	ast cancer	5 (2.9)-	75.0 Mammography+Hemogram+Biochemical assay	25.0	14 (8.2)	30.
Mother and daughter w	ith breast cancer	4 (2.3)	Breast ultrasonography-Hemogram+Biochemical	assav	10 (5.8)	30.
Mother and sister with	breast cancer	3 (1.7)	Hemogram+Biochemical assay		6 (3.5)	
Paternal aunt with brea	st cancer	3 (1.7)			<sup>5</sup> (2.9) 50	
Maternal grandmother with breast cancer		3 (1.7)	Hemogram <b>56</b> is hemical <b>Hrom</b> on all assay Mammography+Breast ultrasonography <b>54 2</b> Oo Willy physical activity level Very active		2 (1.2) 50	
Paternal grandmother with breast cancer		2 (1.2)	5000 Only physical activity level Very active	31.3	60 (35.1)	
Maternal grandmother and mother with breast cancer		2 (1.2)	Active	31.3	95 (55.6)	30.
Educational levels of the relatives			Not so active			
Illiterate		6 (3.5)			16 (9.4) 40 (23.4) <b>25</b>	
Literate			25.0Period of regular exercise (years)	6 (1-60)	.0 (2011)	
Elementary school		53 (31.0)	Weekly exercise (days 38.0			
Junior high		12 (7.0)	D 11 31.34	3 (2-7) 3 <b>1</b> (3-6)		30.
High school		44 (25.7)	Using alternative medicines for cancer preventi		5 (2.9)	
Two year college (Pre-college)		23 (13.5)	Using vitarnin supplements for cancer prevention		7 (4.0)	
College	2 /	31 (18.1)	Dietary habits Rich in fruit and vegetables		127 (74.2)	
Professions of the relatives	Stay-at-home mom	65 (38.0)		В	14 (8.2)	ь
	Teacher	18 (10.5)	Rich in meat  Rich in grans  Rich in grans  Rich in verentables and abeat	Remission	5 (2.9)	None
	Unemployed	14 (8.2)	Rich in versetables and Beat	Ë	9 (5.3)	
	Tradesmen	12 (7.0)	Rich in veretables and grain		6 (4.9)	
	Student	10 (5.8)	Rich in Vegetables, grain, and Rich in vegetables, grain and grain	meat	5 (2.9)	
	Retired	9 (5.3)	Rich in vesetable, grain and	fat	5 (2.9)	
	Banker	9 (5.3)		at	3 (2.7)	
	Secretary	8 (4.7)	Smokers Smoker		117 (68.4)	
	Lawyer	8 (4.7)	Cigarette smok≜ng 95 smokers 95 Cigaretteseper day 65 Cigarettese	20 (2-25)	117 (00.4)	
	Other	18 (10.5)	Smokers So South Short S	20 (2-23) 15 (3-50)		
Economic status of the relatives Good		61 (35.7)	Ex-smokers Sinoking	15 (5-50)	25 (14.6)	
Leonomic status of the felat	Intermediate	105 (61.4)	Cigarettes er day	10 (5-20)	23 (14.0)	
	Poor	5 (2.9)	No. of years since quitting smoking	` ′		
	Very poor	0 (0.0)		2 (1-26)		
	very poor	0 (0.0)	Age started smoking	19 (14-53)	20 (17.0)	
			Non-smokers		29 (17.0)	

Table 2. Changes of Attitudes and Behaviors in **Relatives of the Patients** 

Characteristics	n	(%)
Relatives with behavioral changes	78	(45.6)
Changes in eating habits	42	(24.6)
Increased fruit and vegetable consumption	36	(21.0)
Increased dairy consumption	21	(12.2)
Increased honey consumption	8	(4.7)
Increased fresh fruit juice consumption	9	(5.3)
Increased white meat consumption	10	(5.8)
Increased olive oil consumption	4	(2.3)
Increased red meat consumption	12	(7.0)
Decreased fried food consumption	10	(5.8)
Decreased salty food consumption	8	(4.7)
Changes in smoking	30	(17.5)
Decreased smoking	10	(5.8)
Quit smoking	12	(7.0)
Increased smoking	8	(4.7)
Changes in exercise habits	25	(14.6)
Started exercising	17	(9.9)
Less exercise	4	(2.3)
Quit exercising*	4	(2.3)
Seeking doctor for suspicion of cancer	24	(14.0)
Changes in lifestyle	19	(11.1)
Stress-free living	12	(7.0)
More organized life	9	(5.2)
Decreasing alcohol consumption	5	(2.9)
Losing weight		(2.9)
Staying away from city noises		(2.3)
Retiring	2	(1.2)
Tests for cancer screening	18	(10.5)
Mammography	12	(7.0)
Breast ultrasonography	8	(4.7)
Hemogram	8	
Starting vitamin supplements for cancer prevention	18	(10.5)
Starting alternative medicines for cancer prevention		(5.8)

<sup>\*</sup>Three of them confessed that they could not find the time to exercise since they were taking care of their sick relative, and one admitted that caring for the patient with the accompanying psychological stress made exercising impossible

stage II, 22 (12.9%) had stage III, and 98 (57.3%) had stage IV.

The relatives, who were all female, had a median age of 43 (range: 17-82 yr) years old. Most of the patients' relatives were their sisters. The patients had been living together with their relatives for an average of 21 (range: 1-59 yr) years. Most of the relatives found out about the cancer shortly after their relative was diagnosed but 23 of them (13.5%) learned it at a later date. The median duration for this time was 90 (30-600) days. All general characteristics of the relatives of the patients are given in the Table 1.

Changes in the attitudes and behaviors of the relatives of the patients after they learned of the breast cancer diagnosis: After they found out about their family member having breast cancer, 78 of the relatives (45.6%) had changes in their attitudes and behaviors toward cancer prevention and screening. All information regarding changes in the attitudes and behaviors of the relatives is given in Table 2.

When the changes in attitudes and behaviors of the relatives were examined, it was noticed that some characteristics of the relatives had different effects on different attitudes and behaviors. The significant statistical

Table 3. Changes of Attitudes and Behaviors in **Relatives of the Patients** 

Characteristics	p
Relatives with little change in behavior	
Daughters of the patients	0.04
Relatives with much change in their behavior	
Those with a stage IV family member	0.001
Those who have lived with their patients for 36 years or more	0.001
College graduates	0.012
Mothers of the patients	0.04
Sisters of the patients	0.04
Those with other breast cancer patients in the family	0.042
Relative groups with less people who quit smoking	
Daughters of the patients	0.005
Those who learned of their family member's illness after a perio	
	0.02
Relative groups with more people who quit smoking	
Those who have lived with their patients for 36 years or more	0.001
Those with a stage IV family member	0.001
Those with other breast cancer patients in the family	0.03
College graduates	0.036
Relatives with a change in eating habits	
Mothers of the patients	0.015
Stay-at-home moms	0.021
Pre- college graduates	0.032
Relatives with a change in exercise habits	
Those with a stage IV family member	0.001
Sisters of the patients	0.016
Stay-at-home moms	0.042
Relatives who started exercising	
High school graduates	0.014
Mothers of the patients	0.036
Sisters of the patients	0.048
Relatives who went to see a doctor	
Those with a stage IV family member	0.001
Mothers of the patient	0.001
College graduates	0.022
Relatives with a change in lifestyle	
Mothers of the patients	0.015
Those with stage IV family member	0.034
Pre- college graduates	0.05
Relatives who got tested for cancer	
Sisters of the patients	0.001
College graduates	0.020
Those who learned of their family member's disease after a period	od of time
•	0.028
Relatives who started taking vitamin supplements	
Mothers of the patients	0.001
Those with a stage IV family member 0.024	
Stay-at-home moms	0.041
Relatives who started taking alternative medicines	
Mothers of the patients	0.001
Those who learned of their family member's disease after a period	od of time
	0.03

data can be found in Table 3.

#### Discussion

Breast cancer is one of the most common and lethal cancers; therefore, changes in the attitudes and behaviors of relatives of breast cancer patients regarding cancer prevention and screening are important issues. Awareness of these changes is vital due to the sheer numbers of relatives affected and because this research on 171 relatives of breast cancer patients is beneficial as an evaluative tool for countries when examining their cancer prevention and screening programs.

After the patients were diagnosed with breast cancer, we found that an important part of their relatives had

attitudinal and behavioral changes toward cancer prevention and screening. These changes were changing eating habits, changing smoking status, changing exercise habits, going to a specialist due to suspicion of cancer, changing the lifestyle, underwent cancer screening tests, beginning to use vitamins or using alternative medicines for cancer prevention. Moreover, it was observed that different factors such as whether the relative was the mother, daughter, or sister of the patient, whether they had graduated from high school or college, whether the patients had stage IV cancer, whether they were a stay-at-home mom, whether they had been living with the patient for a prolonged period of time, and whether they had another relative with breast cancer greatly affected the changes in attitudes and behaviors.

Cancer patients being followed up and treated at home need help in many areas (Given et al., 2001). The relatives who take part in the management of their treatment carry huge burdens and may face various social and emotional problems (Sjovall et al., 2009; Siminoff et al., 2010). For example, it has been shown that all family members of the cancer patient, including spouses, children, and parents, are under psychological stress and even the lifestyles of the caretakers were deeply affected. This often results in frequent interfamilial conflicts between the patients and their families (Baider et al., 2008; Rhee et al., 2008; Sjovall et al., 2010).

In one of the studies that examined behavioral changes in relatives of cancer patients, it was shown that after finding out about the cancer diagnosis, there was a serious increase in the relative's healthcare expenses, especially for money spent on psychological needs (Sjovall et al., 2009). It has also been shown that the degree to which the attitudinal and behavioral changes take place depends on the stage of cancer (Butler et al., 2005; Braun et al., 2007).

A review of the literature shows that studies conducted on the relatives of cancer patients primarily have dealt only with their psychological problems (Given et al., 2001; Couper et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2006; Baider et al., 2008; Rhee et al., 2008; Sjovall et al., 2009; Siminoff et al., 2010; Rodríguez et al., 2011; Svetina et al., 2012). No study exists for any kind of cancer that examines the changes in attitudes and behaviors of the relatives of cancer victims. From our study, we know firsthand that these changes occur to varying degrees, and finding out how some personal characteristics can affect the changes in attitudes and behaviors is an important area for further research.

Another important issue is providing adequate support for the relatives that are caring for the cancer patient, a challenging task under the best of circumstances. It has been shown that proper care facilitates fighting the disease for both the patient and the relative in question (Salminen et al., 2004; Sjovall et al., 2009), and this is true for breast cancer as well (Schmid-Buchi et al., 2008). Not only is supporting the relatives vital, but also training them on how to communicate with the patient better helps decrease the psychological stress felt by both the relatives and the patient (Shields et al., 2004). In order to encourage the breast cancer patient and their relatives, the authors think that it is important to get to know the relatives as much as

possible and be aware of the behavioral changes that will take place to some degree.

In our study, our aim was to focus on the changes in the attitudes and behaviors toward cancer prevention and screening by the relatives of breast cancer patients rather than on their possible psychological problems and to show beneficial ways to deal with these problems. The authors think that early diagnosis of the health problems that these individuals are likely to have and the subsequent interventions are important for both individual and societal health. This kind of approach can nudge governments to give more attention to practices involving cancer prevention and screening, which is an indefinite but important indicator of the efficiency of a country's overall healthcare system.

In conclusion, it can be deduced that after finding out about a family member who has breast cancer, most relatives show changes in their attitudes and behaviors toward cancer prevention and screening. Physicians have to be aware of these changes and, if needed, the relatives should be guided by the related medical units. Moreover, by keeping in mind that these attitudinal and behavioral changes in the relatives vary widely, healthcare professionals should try to provide individually-planned guidance as much as possible.

#### References

- Baider L, Goldzweig G, Ever-Hadani P, et al (2008). Breast cancer and psychological distress: mothers' and daughters' traumatic experiences. *Support Care Cancer*, **16**, 407-14.
- Braun M, Mikulincer M, Rydall A, et al (2007). Hidden morbidity in cancer: spouse caregivers. J Clin Oncol, 25, 4829-34.
- Butler LD, Field NP, Busch AL, et al (2005). Anticipating loss and other temporal stressors predict traumatic stress symptoms among partners of metastatic/recurrent breast cancer patients. *Psychooncology*, **14**, 492-502.
- Calzone KA, Prindiville SA, Jourkiv O, et al (2005). Randomized comparison of group versus individual genetic education and counseling for familial breast and/or ovarian cancer. *J Clin Oncol*, 23, 3455-64.
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer, (2001). Familial breast cancer: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 52 epidemiological studies including 58,209 women with breast cancer and 101,986 women without the disease. *Lancet*, **358**, 1389-99.
- Couper JW, Bloch S, Love A, et al (2006). The psychosocial impact of prostate cancer on patients and their partners. *Med J Aust*, **185**, 428-32.
- Given BA, Given CW, Kozachik S (2001). Family support in advanced cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin*, **51**, 213-31.
- Goss PE, Sierra S (1998). Current perspectives on radiation-induced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*, **16**, 338-47.
- Harold JB, Jay RH, Monica M (2008). Malignant tumors of the breast; in DeVita VT, Lawrence TS, Rosenberg SA (eds). DeVita, Hellman, and Rosenberg's cancer principles & practice of oncology. Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, pp 1606-54.
- Kagawa-Singer M, Wellisch DK (2003). Breast cancer patients' perceptions of their husbands' support in a cross-cultural context. *Psychooncology*, **12**, 24-37.
- Kuhl C, Weigel S, Schrading S, et al (2010). Prospective multicenter cohort study to refine management recommendations for women at elevated familial risk of

- breast cancer: the EVA trial. J Clin Oncol, 28, 1450-7.
- Rhee YS, Yun YH, Park S, et al (2008). Depression in family caregivers of cancer patients: the feeling of burden as a predictor of depression. J Clin Oncol, 26, 5890-5.
- Rodriguez CF, Sanchez CP, Fernandez EV, et al (2011). Impact of anxiety and depression on the physical status and daily routines of cancer patients during chemotherapy. Psicothema, 23, 374-81.
- Salminen E, Vire J, Poussa T, et al (2004). Unmet needs in information flow between breast cancer patients, their spouses, and physicians. Support Care Cancer, 12, 663-8.
- Schmid-Büchi S, Halfens RJ, Dassen T, et al (2008). A review of psychosocial needs of breast-cancer patients and their relatives. J Clin Nurs, 17, 2895-909.
- Shields CG, Rousseau SJ (2004). A pilot study of an intervention for breast cancer survivors and their spouses. Fam Process, **43**, 95-107.
- Siminoff LA, Wilson-Genderson M, Baker S Jr (2010). Depressive symptoms in lung cancer patients and their family caregivers and the influence of family environment. *Psychooncology*, **19**, 1285-93.
- Sjovall K, Attner B, Lithman T, et al (2009). Influence on the health of the partner affected by tumor disease in the wife or husband based on a population-based register study of cancer in Sweden. J Clin Oncol, 27, 4781-6.
- Sjovall K, Attner B, Lithman T, et al (2010). Sick leave of spouses to cancer patients before and after diagnosis. Acta Oncol, 49, 467-73.
- Svetina M, Nastran K, (2012). Family relationships and posttraumatic growth in breast cancer patients. Psychiatr Danub,
- Wagner CD, Bigatti SM, Storniolo AM, (2006). Quality of life of husbands of women with breast cancer. Psychooncology, **15**, 109-20.