Safety and Efficacy of Sequential Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization and Portal Vein Embolization prior to Major Hepatectomy for Patients with HCC
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the safety and efficacy of sequential transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and portal vein embolization (PVE) before major hepatectomy for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: In this retrospective case-control study, data were collected from patients who underwent sequential TACE and PVE prior to major hemihepatectomy. Liver volumes were measured by computed tomography volumetry before TACE, and preoperation to assess degree of future remnant liver (FRL) hypertrophy and to check whether intro- or extrhepatic metastasis existed. Liver function was monitored by biochemistry after TACE, prior to and after major hepatectomy.

Results: Mean average FRL volume increased 32.3-71.4% (mean 55.4%) compared with preoperative FRL volume. After TACE, liver enzymes were elevated, but returned to normal in four weeks. During PVE and resection, no patient had intro- or extrhepatic metastasis.

Conclusion: Sequential TACE and PVE is an effective method to improve resection opportunity, expand the scope of surgical resection, and greatly reduce postoperative intra- and extrhepatic metastasis.
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Introduction

Tumor resection is still a curative method for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, most patients diagnosed with HCC lost opportunity for surgery (Ribero et al., 2007; Hwang et al., 2009). Extensive liver resection is contraindicated in many patients with HCC because of the future remnant liver volume (FRLV) is too small to receive operation, which could increase the risk of postoperative hepatic dysfunction. FRL volume smaller than 25-30% of total preoperative liver volume is generally considered insufficient in patients with normal liver parenchyma (Abdalla et al., 2006). Previous studies confirmed that the size of FRLV before hepatic resection is an independent risk factor for mortality and postoperative liver failure (Ribero et al., 2007).

TACE is considered to be a basic treatment for unresectable patients with HCC (Kong et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013; Wang, 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Portal vein embolization (PVE) has been widely accepted as an effective means to increase the future remnant liver volume (FRLV) in patients requiring extensive liver resection (Palavecino et al., 2009). The safety and efficacy of PVE have been confirmed by several studies and a recent meta-analysis (Di Stefano et al., 2005; Hayashi et al., 2007). With the development of research, there is growing evidence that PVE stimulates not only the growth of the FRL but also affects tumor size in embolized liver segment (Ribero et al., 2007). Although PVE can promote hypertrophy of the FRL, it can’t control the progress of the tumor, which may leads to patients with HCC lose opportunities for operation if intrahepatic or extrahepatic tumor metastasis during the period between PVE and Hepatectomy (Wilmar et al., 2009).

How to control the tumor progression during PVE and preoperation, the treatment of sequential TACE and PVE before major hepatectomy for patients with HCC has got people’s attention.

Our aim of this study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of sequential TACE and PVE before major hepatectomy for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Materials and Methods

Patients Characteristics

Between January 2008 and July 2013, a total of 37 patients underwent sequential TACE and PVE in our department, which was indicated in patients undergoing right hepatectomy for HCC and with only a small future
### Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics (n=37)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>24 (75.0 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 [47 to 78]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease HCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time (second)</td>
<td>12 [8 to 21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumine (g/L)</td>
<td>36.2 [30.7 to 47.8]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubine (umol/L)</td>
<td>13.2 [4.3 to 37.6]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (u/L)</td>
<td>34.5 [10.4 to 80.8]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (u/L)</td>
<td>29.8 [9.8 to 66.4]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV (cm³)</td>
<td>1,038 [796 to 2676]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR-pre (cm³)</td>
<td>267 [173 to 387]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR-pre (%)</td>
<td>24.7 [18.1 to 29.4]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; TV, total liver volume; FLR-pre, future remnant liver volume before PVE, %FLR-pre (FLR-pre×100)/total liver volume; AST, alanine transaminase; ALT, aspartate transaminase; TBIL, total bilirubine; ALB, albumin; PT, prothrombin time.

### Table 2. Follow-up the Liver Function Three Days after TACE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>before TACE</th>
<th>three day after TACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time (second)</td>
<td>12 [8 to 21]</td>
<td>13 [8 to 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumine (g/L)</td>
<td>36.2 [30.7 to 47.8]</td>
<td>33.1 [29.8 to 36.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubine (umol/L)</td>
<td>13.2 [4.3 to 37.6]</td>
<td>22.5 [11.3 to 66.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (u/L)</td>
<td>34.5 [10.4 to 80.8]</td>
<td>107.8 [57.8 to 223.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (u/L)</td>
<td>29.8 [9.8 to 66.4]</td>
<td>99.8 [57.6 to 198.6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. CT Scan Was Performed Before TACE and Preperation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>before TACE</th>
<th>preperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV (cm³)</td>
<td>1,038 [796 to 2676]</td>
<td>1457 [1096 to 3176]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR-pre (cm³)</td>
<td>267 [173 to 387]</td>
<td>589 [393 to 1871]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FLR-pre (%)</td>
<td>23.7 [18.1 to 29.4]</td>
<td>55.4 [32.3 to 71.4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liver remnant (FRL) of less than 30% of total liver volume. The patients’ characteristics are summarized in table 1. All patients provided written, informed consent for the procedure, and our institutional review board approved the retrospective review of the patients’ medical and imaging records.

### TACE

Common hepatic and superior mesenteric arteriographies were initially performed to assess patient anatomy, tumor burden, vascularity, and portal vein patency. After selective catheterization of the right hepatic artery using a microcather (Lipiodial and Epirubicin hybrid emulsion) was infused into the selected segmental feeding artery untial arterial flow stasis achieved.

### Portal Vein Embolization

According to worldwide, there is consensus on this indications. The selection of patients for PVE is based traditionally on CT volumetry. Most studies use a FRL volume of 25-30% of original liver volume as threshold to select patients for PVE when no compromised liver function is expected.

In 37 patients who had received TACE, PVE was performed 2 weeks to 4 weeks (mean 2.2±0.6weeks) after TACE, following recovery of liver function. PVE was performed in patients in whom the estimated FRLV, based on CT volumetry , was<30% in case of normal liver parenchyma. PVE was performed using the ipsilateral percutaneous approach. After retrograde catheterization via a portal branch (segment 6 or 7), the right portal trunk and intrahepatic tributaries were occluded using a combination of coils and gelatin sponge.

### Follow up Liver Function Tests

We check the liver function one day before TACE, two weeks after TACE, one day before PVE and two weeks after PVE. Assess the liver function changes according to the ALT, AST, TBIL, albumin and PT values, which may affect the liver volume increase after sequential TACE and PVE.

It is important to calculate the percentage of FRL volume following PVE to ensure that enough functional liver tissue is left resection. Ribero et al. showed that both small FRL and limited degree of hypertrophy are strongly associated with postsoperative hepatic dysfunction.

### The measurement of FRL

In the pre-procedural workup computed tomography (CT) scans were performed to measure the volumes of the total liver, the part planned to be resected, total tumor volume, and the FRL. In most studies, the absolute volumes were used to calculate the percentage FRL.

%FRL=FRLV/TLV-TV*100%

### Hepertrophy Response

The growth of the FRL as a result of PVE can be calculated such way: the difference in FRL volume before and after embolization in relation to the FRL volume before embolization (percentage volume increase): %FRL volume increase = (%FRLpost-PVE - %FRLpre-PVE)/%FRLpre-PVE*100%

### Results

37 patients received TACE and the procedure were all successful. We follow-up the liver function three days after TACE, all liver enzymes were elevated (Table 2), after conservative therapy, 21 patients liver function return to their baseline level. Two weeks after TACE these patients received PVE. 16 patients’ liver function are abnormal whose ALT and AST increased obviously, we recheck the liver function 1 month after TACE and all patients become normal and recived PVE.

The remaining 36 patients received sequential TACE and PVE performed enhanced CT after three weeks , the mean average FRL volume increasing was 32.3-71.4% (mean 55.4%) (Table 3) increased obviously compared with preoperative FRL volume. During the period of PVE and hepactectomy, no patient has intrahepatic or extrahepatic metastases.

### Discussion

Portal vein embolization (PVE) has been accept as an effective optional treatment for a patient who has insufficient FRL volume required for a major hepatectomy which induce hypertrophy of the nonembolized future remnant liver (Cui et al., 2012). PVE can safely widen...
Figure 1. Patient: Man, 65 Years, HCC. a. FRL volumes were measured by computed tomography (CT) volumetry before TACE. %FLR-pre is about 28.7%. b. TACE: Lipiodial and Epirubicin hybrid emulsion was infused into the selected segmental feeding artery untial arterial flow stasis achieved. c-d. PVE: Two weeks after TACE when recovery of liver function, PVE was performed using the ipsilateral percutaneous approach. e. Computed tomography (CT) scans were performed three weeks after PVE to measure the volumes of the total liver, the part planned to be resected, total tumor volume, and the FRL. The tumor volume didn’t change so much, but the FRL volume increase almost 41.4% compared with before TACE. The %FLR-pre is about 40.2%. The indications for hepatectomy even in a patient with liver cirrhosis. Because of the liver has a dural blood supply with about 75% being contributed by portal vein and 25% by the hepatic vein. Clinical and experimental studies demonstrate a significant increase in hepatic arterial blood flow in the occlude liver lobers resulting from an increase in common hepatic arterial blood supply (Gerunda et al., 2006). Compensatory increased arterial perfusion, known as the hepatic arterial buffer response, occurs after reduction of segmental portal flow. Because liver tumors are mainly fed by the hepatic artery, the hepatic arterial buffer response potentially stimulates tumor growth in the embolized liver lobes (Kollmar et al., 2007). Increased hepatic arterial blood flow after embolization of the ipsilateral portal branch is another factor potentially stimulating tumor growth after PVE. PVE as a means to induce hypertrophy of the FRL is clearly established (Abulkhir et al., 2008). Little has been reported, however, about the negative side-effects of PVE. Several studies have shown tumor progression in patients with primary liver tumors after PVE (Heinrich et al., 2006; Lewandowski et al., 2011). Studies from Japan reported that additional ipsilateral TACE before or after PVE improved the hypertrophy response of the FRL in patients with HCC (Nagio et al., 2006). In our study, 37 patients received sequential TACE and PVE performed enhanced CT after three weeks, the mean average FRL volume increasing 32-71% (mean 55.4%), increased obviously compared with preoperative FRL volume.

The rational behind this combination was not only to improve the regenerative capacity after PVE by closing down arterial-portal shunts but also to reduce the risk of tumor progression secondary to compensatory increase in arterial blood flow (Lewandowski et al., 2011). Aoki et al. (Aoki et al., 2004) described a group of 17 patients who underwent PVE 7-10 days after TACE. The comination generated sufficient hypertrophy of the nonembolized lobes within 2 weeks. No tumor progression was noted in the waiting time until resection as measured by CT volumetry. In our study during the period of PVE and hepatectomy, no patient had intrahepatic or extrahepatic metastases. Ogata et al. mean increase in FRL volume and rate of hypertrophy were significantly higher in the group in which PVE was combined with TACE (Ogata et al., 2006). Using this combination, complete tumor necrosis was achieved in 80% of the patients compared with 5% in the PVE group. In addition 1-3-.5-year recurrence-free survival rates were higher in the combined group. This clearly demonstrates that TACE combined with PVE is effective in reducing tumor progression in HCC patients.

A drawback of the combination of TACE with PVE is the risk of ischemic parenchymal damage (Okabe et al., 2011). The combination of TACE before PVE is effective in inducing tumor necrosis and thereby in inhibiting tumor progression after PVE (De Graaf et al., 2011). There is nevertheless, a risk of massive necrosis with serious complications. In our study, three days after TACE, all liver enzymes were elevated. The time interval between the two procedures is therefore crucial to safely undertake the combination. More research is needed to define which patients benefit most from sequential TACE and PVE and to determine the optimal time interval between both procedures. Vetelainen et al. demonstrated that simultaneous ligation of the hepatic artery and portal vein in rats resulted in massive liver cell necrosis with increased systemic inflammatory response and decreased liver function (Vetelainen et al., 2006). An interval of 48 h between both procedures decreased the risk of liver injury (Kele et al., 2012). Usually, we wait two weeks when the liver function become normal and then do the PVE. In our our department, we usually check the liver function two weeks after TACE. If the liver function is abnormalities, the follow-up time is two weeks. In 37 patients, 21 patients have no obvious abnormalities and received PVE. 16 patients’ liver function are abnormal which ALT and AST increased obviously, we recheck the liver function 1 month after TACE and all patients become normal and received PVE. Some studies reported, in a clinical study, that simultaneous hepatic arterial and portal venous embolization resulted in necrosis and infarction of the embolized tissue (Kang et al., 2009; Gruttadaura et al., 2006). Aoki et al. used intervals of 7–10 days in their clinical study and described a transient increase in liver damage parameters (Aoki et al., 2004). Two patients however had segmental infarction in the embolized lobes. Ogata et al. used time intervals of 3–4
weeks which resulted in significant increase in aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, however without decrease in liver function (Ogata et al., 2006).

Sequential TACE and PVE can improve the patients’ resection opportunity, expand the scope of surgical resection, the main is received TACE before PVE greatly reduces postoperative intrahepatic and extrahepatic metastases after PVE, and increase the possibility of liver operation.
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