## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **Cyclooxygenase-2 Promoter 765C Increase of Digestive Tract Cancer Risk in the Chinese Population: a Meta-analysis**

Yan-Song Xu<sup>1</sup>, Bo Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Chen-Yan Long<sup>3</sup>, Hui Li<sup>4</sup>, Xing Lu<sup>1</sup>, Gang Liu<sup>4</sup>, Xiao-Zhun Tang<sup>4</sup>, Wei-Zhong Tang<sup>4</sup>\*

## Abstract

Background: To evaluate relationship between the cyclooxygenase-2 promoter 765G/C polymorphism and digestive cancer risk in China. <u>Materials and Methods</u>: A literature search through February 2014 was performed using PubMed, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases, and a meta-analysis was performed with RevMan 5.2 software for odds ratios and 95% CIs. <u>Results</u>: In total, 9 articles with 3,263 cases and 4,858 controls were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled OR (95% CIs) in the co-dominant model (GC *vs* GG) was 1.56 [1.19, 2.06], and in the dominant model ((CC+GC) *vs* GG), the pooled OR was 1.59 [1.21, 2.09] in overall cancers. In the subgroup analysis, stratified by cancer type, significant associations were found that the-765C allele had increased pancreatic cancer and gastric risk. No significant liver cancer and colorectal cancer risk of COX-2 -765G/C polymorphism was found. <u>Conclusions</u>: These findings suggest that COX-2-765\*C is related to cancer susceptibility and may increase gastric and pancreatic cancer risk.

Keywords: Digestive tract cancer - cyclooxygenase-2 - polymorphism - meta-analysis

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 15 (11), 4563-4566

## Introduction

It has been suggested that environmental factors and genetic predisposition may affect the individual's susceptibility and play an important role in the development of tumors (Cocos et al., 2012; Rubin et al., 2012; Arzumanyan et al., 2013; Hardbower et al., 2013), though the risk attributable to each is unclear. In recent years, a good many genes have been identified as potential digestive tract cancer susceptibility genes. An important one is Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), which works as a multi-functional cytokine that plays a key role in cellular growth, proliferation (Wu et al., 2010) and differentiation (Rizzo et al., 2011), prognosis (Hedieh et al., 2013). So far several polymorphisms in the COX-2 gene have been reported and found to affect COX-2 protein expression. Among them, a functional single nucleotide polymorphism at the 765<sup>th</sup> nucleotide in the promoter region, with a G to C change, has been shown to vary greatly among different ethnic groups and may result in an altered transcriptional regulation and thereby influence the development and severity of COX-2-related diseases. As for -765G/C polymorphism of COX-2, conflicting results were reported, partially because of the relatively small sample size in each of the published studies. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of the published studies to derive a more precise estimation of the association between COX-2, 765G/C polymorphism and the digestive cancers susceptible risk.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Publication search

Relevant studies were identified by searching the electronic literature on PubMed, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) using search terms (last search was updated on 1 January 2014) 'Cyclooxygenase-2' or 'COX-2', 'polymorphism' and 'digestive tract cancer' or 'colorectal cancer' or 'gastric cancer' or 'pancreatic cancer' or 'liver cancer'. Only published studies with full text articles were included. When overlapping data of the same patient population were included in more than one publication, only the most recent or complete study was used in this meta- analysis.

## Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were (1) evaluation of COX-2 -765G/C polymorphism and digestive tract cancer risk; (2) case-control studies; (3) genotype frequency was available; (4) published in English or Chinese; (5) fulltext articles. When overlapping data of the same patient

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency, <sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, <sup>4</sup>Department of Colorectal and Anal, First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, <sup>3</sup>Department of General Surgery, Center Hospital, Zhuzhou, China <sup>&</sup>Equal contributors \*For correspondence: tang6985@qq.com

#### Yan-Song Xu et al

population were included in more than one publication, only the most recent or complete study was used in this meta-analysis; (6) sufficient published data for estimating an ORs with 95%CIs.

#### Data extraction

Two investigators (Bo Zhao and Hui Li) independently extracted data and reached a consensus on all of the items (Table 1). The following information was extracted from each enrolled references: first author, year of publication, numbers of cases and controls with the GC, CC and GG genotypes, tumor types, source of control, respectively.

## Quantitive analysis

There was statistical significance (Table 2) among different genotypes. The main results of the meta-analysis are listed in Table 3. The association between COX-2-765 G/C polymorphism and cancer risk was estimated in two comparison models: a co-dominant model (GC vs GG) and a dominant model [ (CC+GC) vs GG]. In the co-dominant model, we found associations of this SNP with cancer risk in overall cancer susceptibility (OR=1.56,95%CI=[1.19, 2.06], p=0.001), gastric cancer (OR=1.75, 95%CI=[1.31, 2.32], p=0.0002), liver cancer (OR=1.03, 95%CI=[0.51, 2.07], p=0.94), colorectal cancer (OR=1.27,95%CI=[0.62, 2.57], p=0.52), pancreatic cancer (OR=2.51, 95%CI= [1.73, 3.66], p<0.00001). In the dominant model, we found associations of this SNP with cancer risk in overall cancer susceptibility (OR=1.59,95%CI=[1.21,2.09], p=0.0008), gastric cancer (OR=1.76,95%CI=[1.33,2.33], p<0.0001), colorectal cancer (OR=1.47, 95%CI=[1.09, 1.98], p=0.01), liver cancer (OR=1.08, 95%CI=[0.49, 2.36], p=0.86), pancreatic cancer (OR=2.51, 95%CI=[1.73, 3.66], p<0.00001) (Figrue 1-4).

#### Publication bias

Begger's funnel plot and Egger's test were conducted

Table 2. Genotype and Allels Frequencies of COX-2765G/C Polymorphism in Case and Control

COX-2 polymo	0	Control (%)	Chi-square	p value
Genoty	ре			
GG	2856(87.5)	4506(92.6)	66.999	0.000
GC	385(11.8)	343(7.1)		
CC	22(1.7)	9(0.3)		
Allels				
G	6097(93.4)	9355(96.3)	68.920	0.000
С	429(6.6)	361(3.7)		

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chang 2012	36	298	48	298	11.6%	0.72 [0.45, 1.14]	
He 2012	67	390	37	298	12.1%	1.46 [0.95, 2.26]	
Li 2012	53	294	43	318	12.0%	1.41 [0.91, 2.18]	
Tan 2007	81	1000	63	1300	13.7%	1.73 [1.23, 2.43]	
Tang 2009	34	91	24	100	9.1%	1.89 [1.01, 3.53]	
Xing 2008	17	136	29	198	8.9%	0.83 [0.44, 1.58]	
Xu 2008	28	283	24	566	10.0%	2.48 [1.41, 4.36]	
Zhang 2011	33	356	45	985	11.6%	2.13 [1.34, 3.40]	
Zhao 2009	36	393	30	786	11.0%	2.54 [1.54, 4.19]	
Total (95% CI)		3241		4849	100.0%	1.56 [1.19, 2.06]	•
Total events	385		343				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.11; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 23.42	2, df = 8 (l	P = 0.0	03); I² = 6	6%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.18 (F	e = 0.00	i) Ì				0.2 0.5 1 2 5 avours experimental Favours control

Figure 1. Forest Plots of Odds Ratios for GC vs GG of Digestive Cancer Associated with COX-2 Gene Promoter -765G/C

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chang 2012	36	298	48	298	11.4%	0.72 [0.45, 1.14]	-+-
He 2012	77	400	39	300	12.2%	1.60 [1.05, 2.42]	
Li 2012	55	296	44	319	12.0%	1.43 [0.93, 2.20]	+
Tan 2007	81	1000	63	1300	13.6%	1.73 [1.23, 2.43]	
Tang 2009	43	100	29	105	9.6%	1.98 [1.10, 3.54]	
Xing 2008	18	137	30	199	8.9%	0.85 [0.45, 1.60]	
Xu 2008	28	283	24	566	9.9%	2.48 [1.41, 4.36]	
Zhang 2011	33	356	45	985	11.4%	2.13 [1.34, 3.40]	
Zhao 2009	36	393	30	786	10.9%	2.54 [1.54, 4.19]	
Total (95% CI)		3263		4858	100.0%	1.59 [1.21, 2.09]	•
Total events	407		352				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.11; Chi	= 23.37	7, df = 8 (l	P = 0.0	03); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	6%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.36 (F	° = 0.00	08)		U.U.2 U.I I IU SU		

Figure 2. Forest Plots of Odds Ratios for GC+CC vs GG of Digestive Cancer Associated with COX-2 Gene Promoter -765G/C

Table 1. Characteristics of Studies of	f -765G/C Polvmoi	rphism with Digestive (	Cancer Included in this Meta-An	alvsis

First author	Cases				Controls		Туре	Source of control
	GC	CC	GG	GC	CC	GG		
Tan et al., 2007	81	0	919	63	0	1237	colorectal cacer	population
Xing et al., 2008	17	1	119	29	1	169	colorectal cancer	hospital
Chang et al., 2012	36	0	262	48	0	250	liver cancer	hospital
He et al., 2012	67	10	323	37	2	261	liver cancer	hospital
Tang et al., 2009	34	9	57	24	5	76	gasteric cancer	population
Li et al., 2012	53	2	241	43	1	275	gasteric cancer	population
Zhang et al., 2011	33	0	323	45	0	940	gasteric cancer	hospital
Zhao et al., 2009	36	0	357	30	0	756	pancreatic cancer	hospital
Xu et al., 2008	28	0	255	24	0	542	pancreatic cancer	population

## Table 3. Stratified Analyses of the COX-2 765G/C Polymorphism on Colorectal Cancer Risk

Туре	No	Case/Control		GC vs GG		(	GC+CC vs GG	1
			OR(95%CI)	р	Heterogeneity	OR(95%CI)	р	Heterogeneity
total	9	3263/4858	1.56(1.19, 2.06)	0.001	0.003	1.59(1.21, 2.09)	0.0008	0.003
gc	3	752/1409	1.75(1.31, 2.32)	0.0002	0.42	1.76(1.33, 2.33)	< 0.0001	0.42
l c	2	698/598	1.03(0.51, 2.07)	0.94	0.68	1.08(0.49, 2.36)	0.86	0.01
pс	2	676/1352	2.51(1.73, 3.66)	<0.0000	1 0.95	2.51(1.73, 3.66)	< 0.00001	0.95
crc	2	1137/1499	1.27(0.62, 2.57)	0.52	0.05	1.47(1.09, 1.98)	0.48	0.05

gc:gastric cancer ; lc:liver cancer; pc:pancreatic cancer; crc:colorectal cancer

	Experim	ental	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
3.1.1 GC/GG in pancro	eatic canc	er							
Xu 2008	28	283	24	566	22.1%	2.48 [1.41, 4.36	1		
Zhao 2009	36	393	30	786	27.9%	2.54 [1.54, 4.19	1	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		676		1352	50.0%	2.51 [1.73, 3.66	]	•	
Total events	64		54						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.00, df = 1	1 (P = 0	95); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%					
Test for overall effect	Z = 4.82 (F	° < 0.00	001)						
3.1.2 GC+CC/GG in pa									
Xu 2008	28	283	24	566	22.1%	2.48 [1.41, 4.36	]		
Zhao 2009	36	393	30	786	27.9%			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		676		1352	50.0%	2.51 [1.73, 3.66	]	•	
Total events	64		54						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.00, df = 1	1 (P = 0	95); I² =	0%					
Test for overall effect	Z = 4.82 (F	o < 0.00	001)						
		1352		2704	100.0%	2.51 [1.93, 3.28	]	•	
				0%			0.01 0.1	1 10	100
Test for subaroup diff					-				
Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi² = Test for overall effect:	128 0.01, df = : Z = 6.82 (F erences: N	1352 3 (P = 1 P < 0.00	108 .00); I²= 001) icable	D%	100.0%		) 0.01 0.1 Favours experimental		100 rol

Figure 3. Forest Plots of Pancreatic Cancer Associated with COX-2 Gene Promoter -765G/C

	Experim	ental	Contr	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup		Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
3.1.1 GC/GG in gastri	c cancer						
Li 2012	53	294	43	318	23.8%	1.41 [0.91, 2.18]	<b>+</b> ■-
Tang 2009	34	91	24	100	10.1%	1.89 [1.01, 3.53]	
Zhang 2011	33	356	45	985	15.2%	2.13 [1.34, 3.40]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		741		1403	49.1%	1.73 [1.30, 2.30]	●
Total events	120		112				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.71, df=	2 (P = 0	.42); I <sup>2</sup> = I	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.76 (F	P = 0.00	02)				
3.1.2 GC+CC/GG in ga	astric cano	сег					
Li 2012	55	296	44	319	24.3%	1.43 [0.93, 2.20]	
Tang 2009	43	100	29	105	11.3%	1.98 [1.10, 3.54]	
Zhang 2011	33	356	45	985	15.2%	2.13 [1.34, 3.40]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		752		1409	50.9%	1.76 [1.33, 2.33]	●
Total events	131		118				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.72, df =	2 (P = 0	.42); I <sup>2</sup> = I	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.97 (F	P < 0.00	01)				
Total (95% CI)		1493		2812	100.0%	1.75 [1.43, 2.13]	↓ ↓
Total events	251		230				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.43, df =	5 (P = 0	.63); I <sup>z</sup> = I	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 5.47 (F	P < 0.00	001)				Favours experimental Favours control
Test for subaroun diff	ferences: N	Int anni	icable				

Figure 4. Forest Plots of Gastric Cancer Associated with COX-2 Gene Promoter -765G/C

to assess the publication bias of literatures. The shape of funnel plots did not reveal any evidence of funnel plot symmetry. The statistical results still did not show publication bias (p>0.05, for all).

## **Results and Discussion**

Although CRC human digestive carcinogenesis is a complex, multistep and multigenetic process. Cyclooxygenase-2, a key enzyme in arachidonic acid metabolism, is overexpressed in several epithelial malignacies. Analysis of potentially functional polymorphisms in candidate genes has emerged as a powerful approach in deciphering the complex relationship between genotype and phenotype.

In this context, the present met-analysis, including 3263 cases and 4853 controls from 9 published case-control studies (Tan et al., 2007; Xing et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009; He et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Chang et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012) in Chinese population, explored the role of genetic polymorphisms of the COX-2 promoter -765G/C in susceptibility to digestive tract cancers. Significant association between COX-2-765G/C and the susceptibility risk of overall tumors were found. In the stratified analysis by tumor, we found that significant association between COX-2-765G/C and the susceptibility risk of pancreatic cancer. Among 9 articles, genotype GC, GC+CC had significantly increased cancer susceptibility risk, researched by Tan et al. (2007), Xu et al. (2008), Tang et al. (2009), Zhao et al. (2009), Zhang et al. (2011). At present, a meta-analysis included 3322 colorectal cancer cases and 5166 controls (Cao et al., 2010) was inconsistent with our meta-analysis, which the -765C

allele of the COX-2 gene may be a potential risk factor for colorectal cancer in Asians. In recent study, Akkız et al. (2011) showed that COX-2 -765 C allele carriers had lower susceptibility to liver cancer, but in this research, we did not find this relationship. COX-2 -765 C allele carriers may be a protective factor between COX-2 -765G/C polymorphism and susceptibility of liver cancer. Our results are in line with those of Khorshidi et al. (2014) for colorectal cancer. This meta-analysis is the first research, between COX-2 -765G/C polymorphism and digestive system tumor susceptibility in Chinese population, which suggesting a possible role of ethnic differences in genetic background and the environment they lived in.

There are still some limitations in this meta-analysis. First, all the eligible studies were limited to English and Chinese papers. It is likely that some relevant studies in other languages meeting the inclusion criteria were missed. Second, our results were based on unadjusted estimates, while a more precise analysis might be conducted if individual data were available, which could allow for an adjusted estimation by sex, age, smoking, drinking, environmental factors and tumor stage. Third, as cancer is a multifactorial and complex disease, the influence of the COX-2 -765G/C variants may be masked by the presence of other as-yet-unidentified genes involved in carcinogenesis. Therefore, the combined analysis of gene-gene interaction might be more powerful than the analysis of single allele effect. In addition, our researches came from domestic, studies are needed to further validate ethnic difference in the effect of the polymorphism on cancer risk. If considering these factors, our results should be interpreted with caution.

In spite of these limitation, our meta-analysis had several advantages. First, substantial number of cases and controls were pooled from different studies in China, which significantly increased the statistical power of the analysis. Second, no publication biases were detected, indicating that the whole pooled results may be unbiased. Although further research is needed, this present metaanalysis validates a significant association between COX-2 -765G/C polymorphism and cancer genetic susceptibility, especially in gastric cancer, liver cancer and colorectal cancer in the Chinese population. To determine a precise association between the COX-2-765G/C and cancer genetic susceptibility, it is essential to design and perform scientific and rigorous studies with large sample sizes in the future.If confirmed in future studies, this genotype may be used by clinicians to select individuals for early diagnosis and treatments.

## References

- Akkiz H, Bayram S, Bekar A, et al (2011). Functional polymorphisms of cyclooxygenase-2 gene and risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol Cell Biochem*, 347, 201-8
- Arzumanyan A, Reis HM, Feitelson MA (2013). Pathogenic mechanisms in HBV- and HCV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Cancer*, **13**, 123-35.
- Cao H, Xu Z, Long H, et al (2010). The-765C allele of the cyclooxygenase-2 gene as a potential risk factor of colorectal cancer: a metaanalysis. *Tohoku J Exp Med*, 222, 15-21.

#### Yan-Song Xu et al

- Chang WS, Yang MD, Tsai CW, et al (2012). Association of Cyclooxygenase 2 Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Taiwan. *Chin J Physiol*, **55**, 1-7.
- Cocos R, Schipor S, Nicolae I, et al (2012). Role of COX-2 activity and CRP levels in patients with non-melanoma skin cancer.-765G/C PTGS2 polymorphism and NMSC risk. *Arch Dermatol Res*, **304**, 335-42.
- Hardbower DM, Sablet T, Chaturvedi R, (2013). Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress: The smoking gun for Helicobacter pyloriinduced gastric cancer? *Gut Microbes*, 4, 475-81.
- He J, Zhang Q, Ren Z, et al (2012). Cyclooxygenase-2-765 G/C polymorphisms and susceptibility to hepatitis B-related liver cancer in Han Chinese population. *Mol Biol Rep*, **39**, 4163-8.
- Hou L, Grillo P, Zhu ZZ, et al (2007). COX1 and COX2 polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk in a Polish population. *Anticancer Res*, **27**, 4243-7.
- Khorshidi F, Haghighi MM, Nazemalhosseini Mojarad E, et al (2014). The prostaglandin synthase 2/cyclooxygenase 2 (PTGS2/ COX2) rs5277 polymorphism does not influence risk of colorectal cancer in an Iranian population. *Asian Pac* J Cancer Prev, **15**, 3507-11.
- Li YC, Dai LP, Zhang JZ, et al (2012). Cyclooxygenase-2 polymorphisms and the risk of gastric cancer in various degrees of relationship in the Chinese Han population. *Oncol Lett*, **3**, 107-12.
- Rizzo MT (2011). Cyclooxygenase-2 in oncogenesis. *Clin Chim* Acta, **412**, 671-87.
- Rubin DC, Shaker A, Levin MS, et al (2012). Chronic intestinal inflammation: inflammatory bowel disease and colitis-associated colon cancer. *Front Immunol*, **3**, 107.
- Tabriz HM, Olfati G, Ahmadi SA, et al (2013). Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in urinary bladder transitional cell carcinoma and its association with clinicopathological characteristics. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 4539-43.
- Takahashi H, Li A, Dawson DW, et al (2011). Cyclooxygenase-2 confers growth advantage to syngeneic pancreatic cancer cells. *Pancreas*, **40**, 453-9.
- Tan W, Wu J, Zhang X, et al (2007). Associations of functional polymorphisms in cyclooxygenase-2 and platelet 12-lipoxygenase with risk of occurrence and advanced disease status of colorectal cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, 28, 1197-201.
- Tang XF, Li YM, Li SX, et al (2009). Correlation between the nucleotide polymorphisms of COX-2 and the susceptibility to gastric cancer in Hui ethnic group. WCJD, 17, 1772-6.
- Wang J, Joshi AD, Corral R, et al (2012). Carcinogen metabolism genes, red meat and poultry intake, and colorectal cancer risk. *Int J Cancer*, **130**, 1898-907.
- Wu WK, Sung JJ, Lee CW, et al (2010). Cyclooxygenase-2 in tumori- genesis of gastrointestinal cancers: an update on the molecular mechanisms. *Cancer Lett*, **295**, 7-16.
- Xing LL, Wang ZN, Jiang L, et al (2008). Cyclooxygenase 2 polymorphism and colorectal cancer:-765G.C variant modifies risk associated with smoking and body mass index. *World J Gastroenterol*, **14**, 1785-89.
- Xu DK, Zhang XM, Zhao P, et al (2008). Association between single nucleotide polymorphisms in the promoter of cyclooxygenase COX-2 gene and hereditary susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. CMJ, 88, 1961-5.
- Zhang XM, Zhong R, Liu L, et al (2011). Smoking and COX-2 functional polymorphisms interact to increase the risk of gastric cardia adenocarcinoma in Chinese population. *PLoS One*, 6, 21894.
- Zhao D, Xu D, Zhang X, et al (2009). Interaction of cyclooxygenase-2 variants and smoking in pancreatic cancer: a possible role of nucleophosmin. *Gastroenterology*, **136**, 1659-68.
- 4566 Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 15, 2014