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Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third most common cause for cancer death in the world, now being especially linked to chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. This case-control study consisting of 65 HCC patients and 82 patients with other malignant tumours as controls was conducted to determine the association of HCV markers with HCC. Serum of each participant was obtained for detection of HCV Ab and RNA by DNA enzyme immunoassay (DEIA). Twenty six per cent (26.0%) of HCC patients had positive anti-HCV which was significantly greater than the control group (p=0.001). HCC patients significantly have a risk of exposure to HCV infection almost 3 times than the control group (OR=2.87, 95% C.I=1.1-7). Anti-HCV seropositive rate was significantly (p=0.03) higher among old age HCC patients and increases with age. Males with HCC significantly showed to have more than 9 times risk of exposure to HCV infection (OR=9.375, 95% C.I=1.299-67.647) than females. HCV-RNA seropositive rate was (70.8%) significantly higher among HCC patients compared to (22.2%) the control group (p=0.019). The most prevalent genotype (as a single or mixed pattern of infection) was HCV-1b. This study detected a significantly higher HCV seropositive rate of antibodies and RNA in HCC patients.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounted for 7% of all cancers (Aghemo and Colombo, 2013) and 80-90% of primary liver cancer (Sangiovanni et al., 2004; Peng et al., 2012). A recent estimate indicated that HCC was the fifth and the seventh most common cancer in males, and females respectively, with a total of 560,000 new cases annually and 83% occurred in developing countries (El-Serag, 2002; Sherman 2005; Leverro, 2006; Aghemo and Colombo, 2013; Jin-Hu et al., 2013; Jen-Eng et al., 2014). HCC is the third most common cause for cancer deaths in the world (Parkin, 2005; Leverro, 2006), especially in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (Leverro, 2006).

It is responsible for approximately one million deaths annually. HCC is considered as one of the most common malignancies worldwide with an increasing incidence for the past decades (Jin-Hu et al., 2013). In many countries, the trend of HCC is attributed to several liver diseases including HCV infection (Sangiovanni et al., 2004; Denis et al., 2012; Jin-Hu et al., 2013) HCV affects more than 170 million individuals worldwide especially in industrialised countries (Amal et al., 2011; Tornesello et al., 2013) and thus posed a global health challenge (Nelson et al., 2011; Takeshi et al., 2012). Japan, for example, unlike other Asian countries, has a high proportion of HCC caused by HCV infection accounting for 80-90% of all cases (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007) and in the United States (U.S) the prevalence of chronic HCV infection is now the leading cause of HCC (Altekruse et al., 2009). Several studies found a three-fold increase in HCV-related HCC, whereas the rate of HCC associated with HBV or alcohol-induced liver disease and idiopathic cirrhosis remained stable (El-Serag and Mason, 2000; Sherman, 2005). Incidence of HCC is expected to increase in the next two decades, largely due to hepatitis C infection (Willet et al., 2008). Typically, HCC only develops after several decades of infection (Rathi et al., 2011). Although the incidence of newly acquired HCV infections has decreased over the past 20 years, the incidence of HCV-associated HCC is increasing significantly as the infected population aged (Rathi et al., 2011). Liver cancer associated with chronic HCV infection thus, will be a significant public health burden in years to come (El-Serag, 2002; Berry et al., 2005; Amal et al., 2011; Nelson et al., 2011; Rathi et al., 2011).

The rate of progression from chronic hepatitis to HCC is variable and several factors have been identified as important predictors of progression. These include factors related to the host (age at infection, gender) or environment (mode of infection) (Sherman, 2005;
Savino et al., 2011), and virus (genotype/subtype, viral load) (Berry et al., 2005). A relationship was suggested between HCV genotype/subtype and serum HCV RNA levels (Anita et al., 2011).

The HCV genome has six major genotypes, and more than 70 subtypes (Lindenbach et al., 2007). The six major HCV genotypes vary significantly according to age, geographic location, pathological properties (Farrell, 2002) and biological response to treatment (Chayama et al., 1997; Hossein et al., 2012). As an example genotype 1b is associated with rapid progression of liver damage and a less response to interferon alpha treatment (Chayama et al., 1997; Kew et al., 1997). Therefore, clinical form of HCV infection varies in different geographical areas, such as ethnic/race and environmental factors, HCV genotype and co-infection with other pathogenic agents (Lindenbach et al., 2007). Understanding the risk factors for HCC development in patients infected with HCV is thus of great importance for refinement of treatment strategies and healthcare delivery. Savino et al. (2011) stated that HCC is almost unique among cancers since the underlying cause can be identified for each patient. This is in contrast to some other major cancers, where risk factors can be identified at population level. A meaningful result for the prevention of HCC is achieved by the treatment of underlying liver diseases such as antiviral therapy for HCV (Savino et al., 2011). The pathogenesis of HCC in HCV infection has been extensively analysed. HCV induced chronic inflammation and the effects of cytokines in the development of fibrosis and liver cell proliferation are considered as one of the major pathogenic mechanism (Levrero, 2006). Several authors (David et al. 2011; Peng et al., 2012; Abd El-Rahman et al., 2013) suggested that persistent HCV infection and viral protein expression is likely to have a direct cancer-promoting effect.

In Iraq HCC is not uncommon, and no reports on the prevalence of anti-HCV in such patients have been published. This study was done to determine whether HCV infection is an associated risk factor among HCC patients. This study also investigated the distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in HCC patients, and identify whether infection with specific HCV genotypes was associated with an increased risk of HCC development.

Materials and Methods

A case-control study was conducted in Baghdad during January 2000 to February 2003. The case group consisted of 65 patients, histologically confirmed with HCC and a serum level of alpha-fetoprotein exceeding 400ng/ml, while 82 patients with other malignant tumours (not related to gastro intestinal system) were considered as a control group. Four hospitals namely Baghdad Teaching Hospital-Baghdad Medical City, Al Kadhmiya Teaching Hospital, Radiology and Nuclear Medicine Institute and AI Yarmuk General Teaching Hospital were chosen for data collection. Only respondents with informed consent were interviewed using a structured questionnaire and serum samples were taken for HCV markers analysis. Patients with positive serum HBV were excluded from this study. Serum sample of each participant was dispensed into two frozen vials, stored at -20°C and -70°C for the detection of HCV antibodies and RNA respectively. The presence of human antibodies in participant’s (case and control groups) sera against HCV was screened using the third generation enzyme immunoassay (EIA-3 UBI, USA). Positive results of all participants were further confirmed, using third generation immunoblot assay. (Lia-Tek III) (Organon-Belgium). Only Lia-Tek III reactive serum sample was considered as positive HCV antibodies serum. All the Lia-Tek III positive anti-HCV sera (stored at-70°C of participants were transferred to laboratories of Sorin Diagnostica (Sallugia, Italy) for molecular analysis using the RT-PCR and DNA enzyme immunoassay (DEIA) method. Each sample was subjected to extraction of RNA followed by synthesis of complementary DNA (cDNA), subsequently amplification of newly synthesized cDNA was carried out. Detection of RNA and genotypes of HCV was done using DEIA method. This method is based on hybridization of the complementary (cDNA) with a single standard DNA probe coated on the wall of the microtiter plate wells with streptavidin-biotin band. Detection of hybridization is achieved by the use of antibody-stranded DNA monoclonal antibody. Finally, the results were obtained by spectrophotometer. Classification was done using the Simmond’s nomenclature for HCV genotypic classification as proposed by international HCV collaboration group 1994 (Simmonds et al.,1994). All the laboratory procedures were done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Descriptive and statistical analysis using SPSS was done. Univariate analysis, Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs were calculated, considering p<0.05 as significant. The Ethical and Review Committee in Ministry of Health Baghdad reviewed and approved the protocols of this study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

The number of males and females in our study were 44 cases and 21 controls and 58 cases and 24 controls respectively. Most of HCC (83.1%) patients were 60 years old and above while only 11 (16.9%) patients were ≤50 years old. Among the control group 47.6% aged ≤50 years old, and 52.4% aged ≥60 years old. Of the HCC patients, 17/65 (26.1%) showed positive reaction for anti-HCV antibodies which was significantly greater than the 9 /82 (10.1%) of control group (x² = 5.738, p=0.017). This study revealed that HCC patients were significantly having risk of exposure to HCV infection almost 3 times higher than their control group (OR=2.87, 95% CI=1.1-7.9) (Table 1). Anti-HCV seropositive rate was significantly higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Anti-HCV Seropositive</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>17 (26.1)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other malignancies</td>
<td>9 (10.97)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x² = 5.731; p=0.017; OR=2.87, 95% CI=1.1-7.9
among old age HCC patients ($x^2= 6.973, p = 0.031$). Anti-HCV rate increased steadily (9.1% for $\leq$50 years, 17.2% for 60-69 years and 44% for $\geq$70 years) with age. On the other hand, such pattern was not recorded among the control groups ($x^2=1.419, p=0.575$) (Table 2). Significantly higher rate of anti-HCV seropositive was shown among 15/44 male patients (34.1%) with HCC than among 2/21 females (9.5%) ($x^2=4.442, p=0.035$).

Males with HCC were significantly showed to have more than 9 times risk of exposure to HCV infection (OR=9.375, 95% CI=1.3-67.6) than females. On the other hand gender of the patients in the control group showed no significant association with the rate of anti-HCV ($x^2=3.375, p=0.066$) (Table 2). Specific HCV-RNA seropositive rate was significantly higher (12/17 or 70.8%) among HCC patients compared to the control group ((2/9 or 22.2%) ($x^2=5.539; p=0.019$). HCC patient’s sera with positive HCV-RNA were significantly 9 times greater than patients in the control group (OR=9.057, 95% CI=1.9-42.1) (Table 3). The HCV genotype was determined in all HCV-RNA positive sera. The most prevalent genotype (as a single or mixed pattern of infection) was HCV-1b, which was detected in 9 patients (64.3%) followed by HCV-4, which was detected in the sera of 7 patients (50.0%) only. No significant difference in the rate of HCV genotype between case and control groups was detected. Interestingly two third (66.7%) of HCC cases (8/12) were infected with HCV-1b and (50%) 6/12 infected by genotype HCV-4.

### Discussion

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), accounted for more than 5% of cancers globally, and ranked as the 6th most common cancer and the 3rd leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007; Yang and Roberts, 2010; Peng et al., 2012). In recent years, especially in Japan and the U.S incidence of many types of cancers are stable or declining but have increased substantially for HCC. Tanaka et al. (2002) stated that in Japan, the age-adjusted annual death rate due to primary liver cancer rose from approximately 10 per 100,000 in 1975 to 27.5 in 2002. This is attributed to increased prevalence of HCV infection.

Takano et al. (1997) reported that HCC remains a highly malignant tumour, with average survival rates after clinical onset of less than one year. The major problem of HCC treatment was that most patients of HCC were diagnosed at advanced stage of the disease. Clearly, the most practical approach to improve management of HCC is prevention and early detection (Savino et al., 2011). Globally HCV were considered as a significant risk factor in HCC development (Samreen et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2012). HCC progression varied among patients with chronic hepatitis C, due to a complex interplay between host, viral and environmental factors (David et al., 2011) including older age at time of HCV infection, male gender, heavy alcohol intake and transfusion-related mode of HCV acquisition (Sherman, 2005; Savino et al., 2011; Jin-Hu et al., 2013).

Our study revealed that anti-HCV was significantly higher (26.1%) among HCC patient. This finding is supported by other studies (Zhang, 1998; Jin-Hu et al., 2013) which reported that anti-HCV were significantly higher in HCC patients (27.7% and 11.2% respectively) than in the control. Moreover, several authors reported that the prevalence of anti-HCV positives in HCC were 62% in Spain (Ruiz et al., 1992), 29% in the U.S (Yu MC et al., 1990) and 70-90% among Japanese (Nishioka et al., 1991; Takano et al., 1995). Variations of anti-HCV seropositivity levels in different countries could be attributed to population characteristics, drug addiction, alcohol consumption, homosexuality or mode of HCV transmission. Several scientists reported that Hepatitis C virus is the major causative agent of HCC, mainly through indirect pathways. Other clinical research indicated that HCV is directly involved in hepatocarcinogenesis (Koike, 2007; and Farinati et al., 2007; Abd El-Rhman et al., 1990) and 70-90% among Japanese (Nishioka et al., 1991; Takano et al., 1995). However, the mechanism of virus related carcinogenesis is not fully understood. It is clear that hepatitis virus alone or in conjunction with other environmental risk factors can contribute to the epidemiological clinical heterogeneity of HCC. This is also supported by a study in Japan which detected that 40% of HCC patients were anti-HCV seronegative (Nishioka et al., 1991). This study detected 73.84% of HCC patients anti-HCV were seronegative. This finding is confirmed by Esaki et al. (2004), suggesting that HCV-RNA was detected in liver
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and are prevalent in North and South America, Europe (Jin-Hu et al., 2013; Jen-Eing et al., 2014). This finding may be due to the fact that HCC usually had co-existence of multiple risk factors and progression to HCC. HCC patients harbour a higher rate of HCV-1b than genotype-4 which is more predominant among females (OR=9.38, 95% CI=1.3-67.65). Our finding was confirmed by another two studies done by Jin-Hu et al. (2013) in China which found that the RR was 13.1 for HCV infection among HCC patients and another study done by Donato et al. (2002) in Greece which found that HCC risk increases to 17-fold in HCV-infected patients compared to HCV-negative subjects (OR=6.3, 95% CI=3.7-11). Moreover Jen-Eing et al. (2014) reported that HCV is an independent risk factor for HCC (OR=28.57, p<0.001).

High percentage (71.6%) of our HCC patients demonstrated positive HCV-RNA in their sera, whereas 29.4% were HCV-RNA seronegative. This finding was supported by other studies which stated that patients developed HCC in spite of viral clearance, suggesting that HCV per se could have a direct oncogenic effect. This may be attributed to genetic mutation induced by HCV (Moutaz and Aliaa, 2009). As reported by Esaki et al. (2004), HCV-RNA was detected in liver tissue among HCC patients with negative HCV serology. He also strongly proposed the notion that HCV RNA might be expressed in the liver and extra-hepatic reservoirs in spite of the absence of circulating viremia. These virus may be active, and facilitated the development of HCC.

Our study showed that anti-HCV rate increased steadily with increasing age, supporting other studies (Savino et al., 2011; Jen-Eing et al., 2014), who stated that HCC was age-related and the age distribution varies in different local regions globally. Several authors also found that older age (>55 years) acts as independent factors associated with HCC progression (Sherman 2005; Hirakawa et al., 2008; Amal et al., 2011; Savino et al., 2011; Jen-Eing et al., 2014). This might be due to the fact that development of HCC usually occurs after two or more decades of HCV infection (Savino et al., 2011).

Many studies suggested male predominance in anti-HCV positive HCC (Savino, 2005; Savino et al., 2011; Jin-Hu et al., 2013; Abd El-Rhman, 2013; Jen-Eing et al., 2014). This was confirmed by our study which found a significantly anti-HCV seropositivity rate higher among males (34.1%) compared to female patients. Our study also revealed that male HCC patients was more than 9 times higher risk of exposure to HCV infection compared to females (OR=9.38, 95% CI=1.3-67.65). Our finding was confirmed by Savino et al. (2011) and Sherman (2005) who claimed that male gender had 2-3-fold increased risk. This finding may be due to the fact that HCC always had androgen receptors (Kazu et al., 1997) and males also usually have history of drinking alcohol and/or smoking (Jin-Hu et al., 2013; Jen-Eing et al., 2014).

HCV has high genetic diversity and has been classified into six major genotypes that differ in their geographical distributions and natural history (Farrell, 2002). Genotypes 1-3 are widely distributed worldwide (Sherman, 2005) and are prevalent in North and South America, Europe, and Australia (El-Serag, 2002). Globally, infection with genotype 1 is the most common (Rathi et al., 2011). Subtype 1b is common in North America and Europe, and is also found in parts of Asia (Rivas-Estilla et al., 2008). In Iraq HCV-1b prevalence is next common to genotypes 4 (Al Kubaisy, 1998).

HCV genotype and viral load are two important prognostic variables, knowledge of which might be useful in the treatment decision (Anita et al., 2011; Jin-Hu et al., 2013) to eradicate HCV thus reduce and prevent HCC (Savino et al., 2011). The correlation between HCV genotypes and viral load remains controversial. Some studies reported that high titre viremia was correlated with advanced liver stage (Berry et al., 2005) while others found no correlation. A study on the viral load found that genotype 1 was significantly higher than those with genotypes 2 and 3 (Anita et al., 2011; Rathi et al., 2011). This might be due to more efficient viral replication of genotype 1 as compared to the others (Anita et al., 2011). However, the severity of liver disease was more in genotype 1 as assessed by higher viral load (Anita et al., 2011). A recent meta-analysis of patients with liver cirrhosis strongly suggested that HCV genotype 1b was associated with almost two times the risk of developing HCC than those infected with other genotypes (Parkin et al., 2005).

There was a conflict as to whether genotype 1 is a risk factor for HCC independent of old age (Willatt et al., 2008; Lok, 2011). Hirakawa et al. (2008), from their studies showed that genotype 1 was an independent risk factor for tumour development. Interestingly our study found that all HCC patients were harbouring only three different HCV-genotypes with HCV-1b as the most predominant, compared to HCV-4 which is more predominant among Iraqi population (Al Kubaisy, 1998). Similar finding was suggested by Naushaun et al. (1995) and Fujioka et al. (2003) found that HCV-1b is a major risk factor in patient with HCC. On the other hand, Moutaz and Aliaa (2009) reported that HCV genotype-4 act as direct oncogenic effect for development of HCC. This is because genotype-4 is highly prevalent in Egypt in addition to the co-existence of multiple risk factors and progression to HCC (Shiratori et al., 2000).

In conclusion, Our study detected a significantly higher rate of anti-HCV seropositivity in HCC patients. Hence, HCV infection acts as a significant risk factor of HCC. HCC patients harbour a higher rate of HCV-1b than control group.

This study had few limitations such as lack of the data on HCV viral load and it is retrospective study with a small number of patients. A prospective study with larger sample is needed to confirm our results.
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