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Abstract

**Background**: Medicinal plants, especially examples rich in polyphenolic compounds, have been suggested to be chemopreventive on account of antioxidative properties. *Punica granatum* (PG) (pomegranate) is a well-known fruit in this context, but its cytotoxicity in cancer cells has not been extensively studied. Here, we investigated the antiproliferative properties of a peel extract of PG from Iran in different human cancer cells. **Materials and Methods**: A methanolic extract of pomegranate peel (PPE) was prepared. Total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC) were determined by colorimetric assays. Antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH radical scavenging activity. The cytotoxicity of different doses of PPE (0, 5, 20, 100, 250, 500, 1000 µg/ml) was evaluated by MTT assays with A549 (lung non small cell cancer), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), SKOV3 (ovarian cancer), and PC-3 (prostate adenocarcinoma) cells. **Results**: Significant (P<0.01) or very significant (P<0.0001) differences were observed in comparison with negative controls at all tested doses (5-1000 µg/ml). In all studied cancer cells, PPE reduced the cell viability to values below 40%, even at the lowest doses. In all cases, IC50 was determined at doses below 5µg/ml. In this regard, MCF-7 breast adenocarcinoma cells were the most responsive cells to antiproliferative effects of PPE with a maximum mean growth inhibition of 81.0% vs. 69.4%, 79.3% and 77.5% in SKOV3, PC-3 and A549 cells, respectively. **Conclusions**: Low doses of PPE exert potent anti-proliferative effects in different human cancer cells and it seems that MCF-7 breast adenocarcinoma cells are the most cells and SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells the least responsive in this regard. However, the mechanisms of action need to be addressed.
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Introduction

Because treatment options for advanced metastasized cancers stays insufficient, developing efficient methods to Figureh cancer has become a central aim to decrease cancer burden (Abbasi et al., 2014a). One such strategy is through chemoprevention, if possible by the use of non-toxic natural products. In this respect, Pomegranate, used for centuries in folk medicine is now being acknowledged as a potential chemopreventive and anticancer agent (Jahanban-Esfahlan et al., 2010; Vialiary et al., 2013; Abbasi et al., 2014b).

*Punica granatum* L. var. spinosa is known as apple punice from Punicaceae family generally common in the latitudes of 475 m above sea level in the north of Iran. *Punica granatum* has been demonstrated to have intriguing pharmacological activity (Lansky and Newman, 2007). The pomegranate fruits are rich in polyphenolic compounds including punicalagin isomers, ellagic acid derivatives and anthocyanins (delphinidin, cyanidin and pelargonidin 3-glucosides and 3,5-diglucosides) (Elango et al., 2011). Pomegranate is made of a rich variety of flavonoids, which contain approximately 0.2% to 1.0% of the fruit. About 30% of all anthocyanidins found in pomegranate are restricted within the peel. Poly phenolic compounds, as well as flavonoids and tannins are abundant in the peels of wild-crafted compared to cultivated fruits (Singh et al., 2002). Various fractions have been extracted from the pomegranate peel and seeds using ethyl acetate, methanol and water. It is shown that extraction with methanol give a higher yield with greater antioxidant activity (Syed et al., 2007).

*Punica granatum* (PG) has been demonstrated to...
possess antitumor effects on various types of cancer cells and these flavonoid rich pomegranate phenolic fractions are responsible for anticancer activity. Despite the high concentration of anthocyanidins in the peel, the literature available regarding anticancer potential of pomegranate is focused mainly on the fruit juice or seed and very little data is available on peel of pomegranate (Elango et al., 2011). Iranian variety of pomegranate is having dark red peel which is the indicative of the presence of excess anthocyanins and other polyphenolic compounds. Hence in the present study, we have chosen the peel of Persian Pomegranate to investigate its anticarcinogenic activity in human A549 lung non small cell carcinoma, PC-3 human prostate cancer cells, SKOV3 Ovarian cancer cells and MCF-7 breast adenocarcinoma cancer cells through MTT assay.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of extracts

Pomegranate fruits were collected from Mazandaran province, Iran in 2014. The peel parts were separated, dried and grounded into fine powder using a blender. Extractions were performed in a Soxhlet apparatus with methanol. The extract were concentrated by rotary evaporator and then dried in very low pressure. The dried extracts were stored at-20°C. A stock of 10 mg of extract were prepared in 1mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was filtered using 0.22mm syringe filter. The percentage of DMSO in the experiment was kept below 0.5).

Determination of Total phenolic content (TPC)

Total phenolic content was determined with Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) according to a described method (Singleton and Rossi, 1965) with some modifications. Briefly, 0.5 ml of each phenolic extract was mixed with 2 ml of 7.5% sodium carbonate, and then the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 2 min. After addition of 2.5 ml ten-fold Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, the mixture was incubated in the dark room for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 720 nm by using a spectrophotometer. The percentage of DMSO in the experiment was kept below 0.5).

Determination of Total flavonoid content (TFC)

Total flavonoid content of the extracts were assayed by the colorimetric method described by other authors (Zhishen et al., 1999; Jahanban-Esfahlan et al., 2012), with minor modifications. CME (250 μl) was mixed with 1.25 ml of distilled water and 75 μl of a 5% NaNO2 solution. After five minutes, 150 μl of a 10% AlCl3. H2O solution, 500 μl of 1 M NaOH and 275μl of distilled water were added to the mixture. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 507 nm. The results were expressed as equivalent mg of Quercetin per 100 g of fresh mass (mg Q/100 g FM) and compared with the Quercetin standard curve, which was made under the same conditions. All determinations were performed in triplicates.

DPPH free radical scavenging activity

The DPPH radical scavenging activity was determined as described Brand-Williams et al. (1995) with some modifications. Various volumes of extracts (30, 50, 70 and 100 mL) were added to 1mL of 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picylhydrazyl (DPPH) solution (0.1 mM in methanol) and the reaction mixture shaken vigorously. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, the absorbance of this solution was determined at 517 nm, by using a spectrophotometer. The antioxidant activity was expressed as IC50 values, which were calculated by non-linear regression with a one phase exponential association equation using GraphPad Prism version 6.0.

Table 1. Growth Inhibition (72 h) Peel extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doses (µg/ml)</th>
<th>SKOV3</th>
<th>MCF-7</th>
<th>PC-3</th>
<th>A549</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>68.45±5.2</td>
<td>81.3±7.2</td>
<td>77.6±5.2</td>
<td>79.62±7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>63.87±3.0</td>
<td>76.5±3.6</td>
<td>84.0±3.0</td>
<td>76.25±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>69.3±4.4</td>
<td>82.0±4.4</td>
<td>84.16±4.4</td>
<td>80.0±4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>78.2±6.1</td>
<td>82.4±8.3</td>
<td>78.5±6.1</td>
<td>76.0±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>73.4±8.2</td>
<td>80.25±5.4</td>
<td>73.7±8.2</td>
<td>76.4±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63.41±7.1</td>
<td>83.7±4.3</td>
<td>77.87±7.1</td>
<td>76.54±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SEM</td>
<td>69.43±4.5</td>
<td>81.03±4.8</td>
<td>79.3±7.7</td>
<td>77.46±2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. PPE Extract Inhibit Different Human Cancer Cell Proliferation. *p<0.01; **p<0.001, Compared to the Negative Control
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Cell culture

SKOV3 (human ovarian carcinoma), MCF-7 (human breast adenocarcinoma), PC-3 (human prostate adenocarcinoma), A549 (lung non small cell cancer cells) were obtained from the Pasteur Institute (Tehran- Iran). Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (10 U/ml), streptomycin (10 μg/ml) and 0.2 mM sodium pyruvate. Cultures were incubated in the presence of 5% CO₂ at 37°C and 100% relative humidified atmosphere.

MTT assay

The cells were seeded in 96-well plates with a density of 1x10⁴ cells/well/200mL and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The cells were treated with different concentrations of solvent extracts (5, 20, 100, 250, 500, 1000 µg/ml) and 0.2% (v/v) DMSO (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) as a negative control. Paclitaxel (plant-derived chemotherapeutic anti-cancer drug from Taxus brevifolia) was used as a positive control. After 72h treatment, 10 mL of MTT reagent was added to each well. The plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 4h. Then, 100 mL of the solubilization solution was added to each well and followed by incubation overnight at 37°C to dissolve formazan crystals. Finally, absorbance was read using an ELISA plate reader at a wavelength of 570 nm. The percentage of cytotoxicity and cell viability were calculated using following equation: % Cytotoxicity=1-(mean absorbance of treated cells/mean absorbance of negative control) and % Viability=100% Cytotoxicity.

Statistical analysis

All the data represented in this study are mean ± SEM of three identical experiments made in three replicate. Statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance, followed by LSD test and p-value ≤0.01 was considered significant. All analyses were conducted using the SPSS 16.

Results and Discussion

In the recent decades, although so much success accomplished in cancer treatment, however it still remains among the most common killers in the world (Jahanban Esfahan et al., 2011). Universal cancer burden rises to 14.1 million new cases in 2012 and striking increase in breast cancers must be addressed. Furthermore, according to the 2014 cancer statistics in United Sates, Among men, cancers of the prostate, lung and bronchus, and colorectum will account for about 50% of all newly diagnosed cancers, as prostate cancer alone will account for 27% (233,000) of incident cases in men. At the other hand, cancers of breast, lung and bronchus, and colorectum, accounting for one-half of all cases in women. Breast cancer alone is expected to account for 29% (232,670) of all new cancers among women. Overall, cancers of the lung and bronchus, prostate, breast, and colorectum continue to be the most common causes of cancer death. These 4 cancers account for almost half of the total cancer deaths among men and women, with more than one-quarter of all cancer deaths due to lung cancer. An urgent need in cancer control today is to develop effective and affordable approaches to the early detection, diagnosis, and treatment of cancer (Abbasi et al., 2014c).

Among a plethora of treatment options, natural products especially those rich in antioxidant, always being regarded as a safe chemotherapeutic agents that effectively scavenge the hazardous free radicals and protect cells form their uncontrolled cell growth and so development of cancer (Abbasi et al., 2014c). Peel extract (PPE) native to Iran showed significant high amount of %TFC (103.61 mg Q/100g FM) and %TPC (1532.2 mg Q/100g FM). Moreover, DPPH IC₅₀ was determined 1.5µg/ml for PPE which indicates to the high antioxidant activity of prepared extract. The antioxidant capacity is described quantitatively by the concentration of antioxidant required to scavenge 50% of either DPPH•, which is referred as IC₅₀.

Following, we investigated the selective cytotoxicity of PPE on a series of human cancers including ovarian cancer cells (SKOV3), breast adenocarcinoma cells (MCF-7), prostate adenocarcinoma cells (PC-3) and lung non small cancer cells (A549) by MTT assay. In the course of our interest on finding treatment option for aggressive tumors, we specially selected these cancer cells because each displays a high propensity for
It is shown that MCF-7 cells form tumors when injected into athymic nude mice. These tumors are able to metastasize to lungs, liver and spleen. MCF-7 cells secrete into the culture media collagenases able to lyse types I and IV collagens (Shafie and Liotta, 1980). At the other hand, PC-3 cells have high metastatic potential to bone compared to other prostate cell lines such as DU145 cells which have a moderate metastatic potential and to LNCaP cells which have low metastatic potential (Sanchez-Sweatman et al., 1998). Lung small cell adenocarcinoma is a rapid growth tumor with high propensity for invasion and metastasis. This cell line frequently use for induction of lung metastasis in mice (Shindo-Okada et al., 2002). Similar to MCF-7, SKOV3 cells are among the aggressive and the most common gynecologic cancer in women, however the unpredicted behavior of SKOV3 differ it from other ER+ cancer cells as well as MCF-7. Both MCF-7 and SKOV3 have the receptors for estrogen (ER+) and basically they should proliferate in response to estrogen. However practically ER+ SKOV3 cells are irresponsible to both estrogen and its analogues and this feature makes it a good candidate to studding the mechanism by which ER+ cells become unresponsive to estrogen and anti-estrogen therapies. As some polyphenols can interfere with aromatase activity and so hinder synthesis of estrogen which acts as a main growth promoting factor on cancer cells, so we used both hormone responsive and irresponsible cells to discern the selective effects of high polyphenolic extract of PG fruit on these cells.

Our results indicated that in all doses, significant or very significant difference was observed between treated and untreated controls in a dose independent manner (Figure1,2). In all cell lines, 5µg/ml of PPE caused to ≥50% growth inhibition in treated cells which account for the high toxicity profile of 60% methanolic extract of PS (Table1).

In case of ovarian cancer cells, the maximum growth inhibition of 78.2% was obtained at doses 100 µg/ml. In this cell line, 20µg/ml Toxol (positive control) caused to 93.76% growth inhibition of SKOV3 cancer cells. At the other hand, dose 5µg/ml and 500µg/ml of PPE caused to the lowest growth inhibition of 63.78% and 63.41%. The mean growth inhibition in these cells was 69.43%.

In MCF-7 cancer cells, the maximum growth inhibition of 83.7% was obtained at doses 5µg/ml. Value comparable to that of 20 µg/ml Toxol which caused to 95.1% growth inhibition of MCF-7 breast adenocarcinoma cells. The lowest growth inhibition of 76.54% was obtained at dose 500µg/ml of PPE. The mean growth inhibition was 82.76% (Table1, Figure 2).

In PC-3 prostate adenocarcinoma cells, PPE caused to the maximum growth inhibition of 84.16% and 84.0% at doses 250µg/ml and 500µg/ml, respectively. In this cell line, 20µg/ml Toxol caused to 93.1% growth inhibition of PC-3 cancer cells meanwhile dose 20µg/ml of PPE caused to the lowest growth inhibition 73.7%. The mean growth inhibition in these cells was 78.3% (Table1, Figure 2).

In case of A549 cancer cells, the maximum growth inhibition of 80.0% was obtained at doses 250µg/ml 20µg/ml Toxol caused to 90.25% growth inhibition of A549 cells. In this cells, dose 5µg/ml, 20µg/ml, 100µg/ml and 500µg/ml caused to the lowest growth inhibition of ~76.0%. The mean growth inhibition in these cells was 77.46% (Table1, Figure 2).

In contrast to high antioxidant activity of peel part of fruit, there are handful studies that aimed to evaluate its cytotoxicity. In study by Elango et al in 2011 GC-MS analysis of the methanolic extract of the pomegranate peel from Kabul Varity showed a total of six compounds. Among the six compounds, GA was found to present in higher quantity which was followed by EA and quercetin. Following, the toxicity of GA was evaluated on A549 cancer cells by MTT and apoptosis assay. In this study, authors observed increased level of reactive oxygen species in the cells treated with GA at the concentrations of 10 and 20 µg/ml. Moreover, MTT assay showed that GA reduced the cell viability to values lower then 50% at dose 20µg/ml (Elango et al., 2011).

In another study by Sine Sepehr et al. (2012), authors investigated cytotoxicity and apoptotic effects of PPE and PSE extract of Iranian Pomegranate on proliferation of PC-3 cells. In this study, PPE caused to the maximum growth inhibition of 65% at doses 600µg/ml in a dose dependent manner. IC50 was obtained at doses 250-21µg/ml in these cancer cells. In our experiment, in a dose independent manner, PPE caused to the maximum growth inhibition of 84.16% at dose 250µg/ml, values comparable to 20µg/ml Toxol with a 93.1% growth inhibition on PC-3 cells. Moreover, IC50 (dose to suppress 50% cell growth) was determined at doses below 5µg/ml. These differences could be attributed to the antioxidant potency of prepared extracts, as ethanolic extracts used by above authors show lower polyphenolic content compared to methanolic extract used in our study. Notably, there was no significant difference between the cytotoxicity of different doses and even in case of in MCF-7 cells, dose 5µg/ml resulted in more suppression compared to 500µg/ml suggesting that an optimal biological dose is more important and relevant than a maximally tolerated one.

Furthermore, our results indicated that in all cancer cells, PPE reduced the cell viability to values below 40%, even the lowest doses. In this regard, MCF-7 breast adenocarcinoma cells were the most responsive cells to antiproliferative effects of PPE with a maximum mean growth inhibition of 81.03% vs. 69.43%, 79.3%, 77.46% in SKOV3, PC-3 and A549 cells, respectively. It seemed that SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells were the least responsive cells to cytotoxic properties of PPE compared to the other studied cancer cells. This finding could be partly explained by different responsiveness of studied cancer cells to the anti-estrogenic activity of polyphenolic and flavonoid compounds in the PPE which could interfere with aromatase activity and so hinder estrogen synthesis which act as a growth factor on cells that represent the estrogen receptors as well as MCF-7 and SKOV3 (Balunas et al., 2008). However, on the contrary, although SKOV3 ovarian cancer is ER+ but their proliferation is not dependent upon estrogen, so these cells are least sensitive to antiproliferative activity of PPE compared to other estrogen and androgen sensitive cancer cells including MCF-7, PC-3 and A549 cancer cells.
Our results indicated that hydroalcoholic extract of PPE possess a high potency to inhibit proliferation of different tumors cells in a dose independent manner which requires precise dose optimization. Moreover, the antiproliferative effects of PPE seems to be tumor type specific, as hormone dependant cancer cells showed a high responsiveness to antitumoral effects of this extracts.
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