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Abstract

Treatment of early stage ovarian cancer remains controversial despite advances in chemotherapeutic options. Over the past 30 years, molecular and clinicopathologic studies accelerated and treatment of ovarian cancer has undoubtedly improved although there is a debate as to whether this impacts outcome or not. More recently, the introduction of targeted therapy started a new era. Probably it is because early stage disease comprises a small portion of the epithelial ovarian cancer, studies have mostly ignored this group and still there is no clear consensus regarding systemic treatment of early-stage lesions. However this group of patients has the best chance of cure. In this review, we focus on current developments in the treatment of early stage ovarian cancer and query the options.
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Introduction

Only 25% of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) are diagnosed at early stage when disease limited to pelvis (Siegel et al., 2013). Although prognosis of early stage disease (5-year survival rate is 80-90%) is markedly better than advanced stage disease (5-year survival rate is <30%), some reports suggest a considerable recurrence rate of 15-40% (Chan et al., 2010; Collinson et al., 2014, Tognon et al., 2013; Arikan et al., 2014). It is a known fact that surgical staging is critically important in early stage ovarian cancer management as in late stage. An accurate and complete staging, especially with leaving no residual tumoral tissue after surgery increases patient’s chance to benefit from treatment and plays a key role in determining the chemotherapy regimen and duration of adjuvant chemotherapy. Due to low incidence and good prognosis of early stage ovarian cancer, early studies of adjuvant treatment are limited and provide unsatisfactory data about survival advantage. Subsequent researches focused on determining major prognostic factors, increasing success rate of surgical staging and developing adjuvant and neo-adjuvant therapy procedures, which may increase survival rates. Since stage 1a-1b grade 1 tumors have an excellent prognosis with a survival rate of around 90%, some authors suggested that chemotherapy may not be necessary for this group (Chan et al., 2010). Adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for all other early stage ovarian tumors.

In addition, for patients with early stage disease high risk criteria were defined to identify the patients with high risk for relaps and, who are candidates for adjuvant chemotherapy (Chan et al., 2010). These are; stage 1c-2 disease, clear cell histology (any stage) and grade 3 tumors. Women with these criteria have a 5-year survival rate of 40-80% and it is at least 90% for tumors limited to ovaries (stage 1a-1b) and well-differentiated (grade 1) (Collinson et al., 2014; Tognon et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2010). Whether intermediate differentiated (grade 2) tumors are in high risk group and adjuvant treatment is necessary after optimal surgery in early stage remains a matter of dispute (Lazarov et al., 2013; Malpica et al., 2004).

Two prospective European studies and their combined analysis provided important data on adjuvant chemotherapy. The International Collaborative Ovarian Neoplasm (ICON–1) and Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Ovarian Neoplasms (ACTION) studies involved 925 patients from 13 European countries with 10 years of observation (Trimbos et al., 2003; Colombo et al., 2003). Similar randomization procedures were performed and benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in early stage ovarian cancer was investigated. European Gynecologic Oncology Group published the combined analysis of ICON-1 and ACTION studies. According to this analysis, 477 patients with early stage ovarian cancer from ICON-1 study (stage 1 grade 1-2-3 cases) and 448 patients with early stage ovarian cancer from ACTION study (stage...
Optimal Intravenous Chemotherapy Regimen and Duration of Treatment

Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with high risk criteria and early stage patients with incomplete staging. Although optimal regimen is undetermined, most of the clinicians apply platinum based combined treatment (paclitaxel+carboplatin) because of its proven efficiency in advanced stage ovarian cancer patients (NCCN., 2014; Adams et al., 2010). According to the limited data on optimal regimen, however, single agent carboplatin can be an alternative for treatment (Adams et al., 2010). Well designed prospective studies are needed to determine the optimal chemotherapy regimen for these patients.

Optimal treatment duration for EOC is unknown. Considering patient’s risk factors and tolerance to treatment, treatment should be individualized as at least 3 cycles.

Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) published GOG-157 study which compared the effects of randomized 3 and 6 cycles of paclitaxel (175 mg/m²/3 hours iv infusion) and carboplatin (AUC 7.5; following paclitaxel) combination therapy on survival in early stage patients with high risk criteria (Bell et al., 2006). 457 patients were involved in 3 years and patients were evaluated after 6.8 years of follow-up. More toxicity (neurotoxicity, granulocytopenia and anemia) was observed in 6 cycles chemotherapy group, while there were no statistically significant differences between groups in terms of recurrence and 5 year survival rates. In the subgroup analysis of the same study, patients with serous tumors were analyzed and significantly lower recurrence rates were observed in the group of 6 cycles of treatment when compared to the group of 3 cycles of treatment. There were no significant differences in other histological types (Chan et al., 2010).

GOG 175 study investigated whether 3 cycles carboplatin and paclitaxel combination followed by 24 weeks paclitaxel (60 mg/m²) treatment has positive effects on the outcomes. Although recurrence rate was 20% lower in extended paclitaxel group, this was statistically insignificant and OS ratios were also similar (Mannel et al., 2011).

Should Maintenance Treatment be Considered for Adjuvant Treatment of Early Stages?

Present studies show that in early stage ovarian cancer, maintenance treatment is not related to decrease in recurrence rate or increase in OS, but increases the rate of toxicity (Mannel et al., 2011). The International Collaborative Ovarian Neoplasm-7 (ICON-7) trial did enroll a small group of women with high risk stage 1 epithelial ovarian cancer but there was no evident benefit of bevacizumab obtained. But it should be considered that the subgroup was small as it was just 9% of 1.528 patients (Perren et al., 2011). Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynaekologische Onkologie Studiengruppe Ovarialkarzinom Group-17 (BOOST/ AGO-OVAR 17) is an undergoing study comparing 15 versus 30 month bevacizumab in the
maintenance setting and also enrolls stage 1C disease (NCT01462890). There are no randomized trials evaluating biological agents in high risk early disease, and the only agent thus far evaluated has been bevacizumab (Perren et al., 2011). Further trials are warranted.

Is Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy an Option of Adjuvant Therapy?

Adjuvant intraperitoneal chemotherapy in early stage ovarian cancer is still at the experimental stage and being investigated by clinical studies (Raja et al., 2012). Use of intraperitoneal P32 in early stage ovarian cancer was also studied. It was concluded that intraperitoneal P32 therapy is not effective but increases toxicity (especially bone toxicity). At the present time, total abdominal radiation and intraperitoneal isotopes are old treatment modalities; instead adjuvant chemotherapy is the preferred treatment (Young et al., 2003).

Adjuvant treatment after fertility sparing surgery

Unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in stage 1a G1/G2 young women who wish to preserve their childbearing potential is related to minimally increased recurrence risk (Satoh et al., 2010; Karimi et al., 2011). In these patients, a careful surgical staging must be performed considering grade and tumor characteristics. Stage 1c disease is less clear and careful consideration of subgroups of stage 1c warranted (Fotopoulou et al., 2012). In ovarian cancer, term delivery rate following fertility saving treatment is about 30%-66% and successful pregnancies are reported after adjuvant chemotherapy (Fotopoulou et al., 2012). A recurrence rate of 12% reported in patients who had fertility saving treatment and 4% of these patients died of this disease (Maltaris et al., 2007). Review of the available clinical data of recurrent disease, recurrence in a retained ovary has a significantly better prognosis compared to non-local recurrence (Satoh et al., 2010; Kajiyama et al., 2011; Kajiyama et al., 2014; Jansaka et al., 2014). Conservative treatment is not recommended in clear cell, carcinosarcoma, grade 3 and extra-ovarian disease. In stage 1 ovarian cancer, clinician should consider benefit loss ratio and determine the treatment scheme carefully. It is reasonable not to recommend adjuvant treatment for stage 1a-1b grade 1-2 patients with complete surgical staging. Although its superiority to single agent treatment is not shown in studies, most of the clinicians prefer platinum based combination chemotherapy for stage 1 grade 3 and stage 1c patients (Maltaris et al., 2007).

Clear Cell Histology as a Special Histologic Subtype

In general, clear cell tumors are not graded histologically; they are accepted as being high grade when treatment scheme is planned and require adjuvant treatment. In contrast, some recent studies report low sensitivity of advanced stage clear cell carcinomas to chemotherapy, while it is suggested that recurrence rates and prognosis of early stage clear cell carcinomas are similar to that of serous carcinomas (Takada et al., 2012). Although clear cell histology was not predictive for recurrence in other studies, it was reported as being bad prognostic factor for adjuvant chemotherapy sensitivity and survival after recurrence (Kolomainen et al., 2003; Kajiyama et al., 2011). Since prognosis of clear cell ovarian cancer is comparatively poor, studies focused on alternative treatments and new therapeutic agents. These agents include temsirolismus combined with carboplatin and paclitaxel (first-line treatment in GOG 268 study) and sunitinib (second-line therapy in GOG 254 study).

Is Adjuvant Chemotherapy a Viable Option for Early Stage Unstaged Patients?

Early stage ovarian cancer may be diagnosed in emergent surgery (e.g., torsion, cyst rupture) or surgery performed for possible benign pathology (e.g., ovarian cystectomy). Complete surgical staging is not performed in most of these patients. In these patients, surgical staging rather than adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended based on few but consistent available data (Trimbos et al., 2010; Dizon et al., 2008). Adjuvant chemotherapy may be recommended for patients rejecting surgical staging. There are few situations in which complete surgical staging is not necessary: borderline carcinomas with incomplete staging and grade 1 mucinous tumors (Kleppe et al., 2011; Guvenal et al., 2013).

Where Should Stage 2 Disease be Evaluated?

Most centers prefer surgical staging followed by platinum based combination chemotherapy for the treatment of stage 2 ovarian cancer. Complete surgical staging is very important in determining treatment scheme. Combined analysis of GOG 95 and GOG 157 studies showed fairly high recurrence rates of stage 2 patients (Bell et al., 2006; Young et al., 2003). At present, GOG puts stage 2 patients in advanced disease group in their studies.

Chemotherapy is not recommended in stage 1 and 2 borderline ovarian tumors independent of histology and microinvasion (Patrono et al., 2013).

Discussion

Therewithal early stage disease comprises a small portion of the epithelial ovarian cancer, it has the best chance of cure. It can be problematic optimizing treatment of early stage ovarian cancers due to the lack of randomized studies and the variety of controversial issues. The value of targeted agents which are increasingly utilized in advanced-stage disease is unknown. Careful consideration of stage of the disease, histologic subtypes, degree of surgical radicality, potential desire of fertility preservation and rational recurrence risk must be warranted while planning the adjuvant treatment and well designed prospective studies are needed to determine the optimal chemotherapy regimen for these patients.
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