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Abstract

Background: Previous studies evaluated associations between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer risk. However, the results were inconsistent. We therefore performed a meta-analysis of the published case-control studies to assess in detail the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer risk. Materials and Methods: PubMed, Embase and Web of Science were searched to identify relevant studies and the pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated. Results: A total of seven articles including 3,013 cases and 2,771 controls were finally included. Overall, a significant association was found between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility for CC vs AA (OR=0.82, 95% CI=0.69~0.99), but no significant associations were found for the other three models (AC vs AA: OR=0.91, 95% CI=0.81~1.02; the dominant model: OR=0.90, 95% CI=0.80~1.00; the recessive model: OR=0.84, 95% CI =0.72~1.00). In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, we detected significant associations between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility for GA vs GG (OR = 0.78, 95% CI =0.64~0.96) and for the recessive model (OR=0.80, 95% CI=0.66-0.96) in Caucasians, but not for Asians. Conclusions: The results from the meta-analysis suggested that the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism is a protective factor for bladder cancer, especially in Caucasians.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is the most common malignant disease with poor prognosis and considered a significant public health threat all over the world (Siegel et al., 2014). As a complex and multifactorial process, the bladder carcinogenesis is still not fully understood. Epidemiological research has suggested that bladder cancer is a multifactorial disease with a wide variety of disease-producing factors such as environmental, genetic, and some new emerging risk factors (Kimeney et al., 2009; Kiltie, 2010). Tobacco smoking, exposure to industrial aromatic amines, and intake of carcinogenic drugs such as phenacetin, chloramphazine, and cyclophosphamide are the most important risk factors for bladder cancer (Volanis et al., 2010). It has also been documented that expression of gene and protein was affected by a single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located within the promoter or other regulatory regions of the gene, thus contributing to an individual’s susceptibility to bladder cancer.

In recent years, several common low-penetrant genes have been identified as potential bladder cancer susceptibility genes. Cytochrome P4501A2 (CYP1A2) is a key phase I enzyme necessary to activate the major recognized bladder carcinogens, i.e., aromatic amines (AAs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which require metabolic activation before exerting their damaging effects (Caporaso et al., 1991). Increased CYP1A2 activity was associated to the inducibility of CYP1A2 (Butler et al., 1992; Pavanello et al., 2002) by a number of environmental factors, such as AAs, PAHs, heterocyclic amines, nitrosamines, whose exposure occurs via tobacco smoking, occupational exposure, diet (Sesardic et al., 1988; Nordmark et al., 1999; Chung et al., 2000). Genetic and epigenetic changes may alter the activity of CYP1A2 and its downstream signaling, and may also affect an individual’s susceptibility to human cancers at various sites (Rodriguez-Antona and Ingelman-Sundberg, 2006). Therefore, it is reasonable that CYP1A2 may play an important role in the etiology cancer risk. More than 17 SNPs were identified in the CYP1A2 gene but few of them have been reported to affect the activity of
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CYP1A2 (Sachse et al., 2003; Li et al., 2006; Sangrajrung et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2010). The CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism (CYP1A2*1F, -164 A/C, C → A) is one of the most common studied variants, the polymorphism was in intron 1 of the CYP1A2 gene at position 734 downstream of the first transcribed nucleotide (Sachse et al., 1999).

To date, a number of studies have reported the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer risk. However, previous studies on the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and risk of bladder cancer reported inconsistent findings, partially because of the possible small effect of the polymorphism on bladder cancer risk and the relatively small sample size in each of published studies. Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to derive a more precise estimation of this association.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

PubMed, Embase and Web of Science were searched to identify relevant studies on the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and risk of bladder cancer, with a time limit of Mar 23, 2015. The following search terms was used: “cytochrome P-450 1A2”, “CYP1A2”, “polymorphism” and “bladder cancer”. There was no language limitation in the search of databases. In addition, we checked the references of relevant reviews and eligible articles to find other possible studies.

Selection criteria

To be included in the analysis, candidate studies had to meet the following criteria: (1) Case-control study focused on the relationship between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer risk, (2) All patients met the diagnostic criteria for glioma, (3) Sufficient original data for calculating odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs).

Data extraction

Information was carefully extracted from all eligible publications independently by two of the authors according to the inclusion criteria listed above. Disagreement was resolved by discussion between the other authors. For each study, the following characteristics were collected: the first author’s name, country or region, year of publication, study design, method of genotyping, total numbers of cases and controls, and numbers of cases and controls who harbored the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism. The ethnicity descents were categorized as Caucasians and Asians.

Quality assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) criteria were used to assess the quality of each included study (Stang, 2010). The NOS criteria use a “star” rating system to judge methodological quality based on three aspects of a study: selection, comparability, and exposure. Scores range from 0 stars (worst) to 9 stars (best), with scores of 5 or higher indicating a moderate-high methodological quality.

Statistical methods

HWE software (http://linkage.rockefeller.edu/ott/linkutil.htm) was used for a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test. The chi-squared goodness-of-fit test was used to test deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; p<0.05 was considered significant). Crude ORs with 95% CIs were used to assess the strength of association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer risk. Heterogeneity assumptions were assessed by chi-square-based Q-test (Cochran, 1954). A P value greater than 0.10 for the Q-test indicated a lack of heterogeneity among the studies. Thus, the pooled OR estimate of each study was calculated using the fixed-effects model (the Mantel-Haenszel method) (Mantel and Haenszel, 1959); otherwise, the random effects model (the DerSimonian and Laird method) was used (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). Meanwhile, we measured the effect of heterogeneity by another measure, I^2=100%×(Q - df)/Q (Higgins and Thompson, 2002). Venice criteria (Ioannidis et al., 2008) for the I^2 test included: “I^2 ≤25% represents no heterogeneity, I^2=25-50% represents moderate heterogeneity, I^2=50-75% represents large heterogeneity and I^2>75% represents extreme heterogeneity”. The pooled ORs were performed for co-dominant model (CC vs AA and CA vs AA), the dominant model (CC+CA vs AA), and the recessive model (CC vs CA+AA), respectively.

Subgroup analyses were done by ethnicity. An estimate of potential publication bias was carried out by the funnel plot, in which the standard error of log (OR) of each study was plotted against its log (OR). Publication bias may be absent if the plot resembles a symmetrical inverted funnel in which smaller, less precise, and more numerous studies have increasingly large variation in the estimates of their effect size (Sutton et al., 2000). Publication bias was further investigated using Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s regression test (Begg and Mazumdar, 1994; Egger et al., 1997) (p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant). All statistical analyses were performed using STATA statistical software (version 10.0). Two-sided p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Study characteristics

The characteristics of included studies: A total of six articles were collected according to the search criteria (Tsukino et al., 2004; Figueroa et al., 2008; Altayli et al., 2009; Villanueva et al., 2009; Pavanello et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2013). One publication (Villanueva et al., 2009) contained two case-control data was considered to two separate studies, therefore, seven articles including 3,013 cases and 2,771 controls were used for this meta-analysis. The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. The controls were primarily healthy population. All of the cases were pathologically confirmed. In terms of ethnicity, there were three groups of Asians (Tsukino et al., 2004; Altayli et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2013), four groups of Caucasions (Figueroa et al., 2008; Villanueva et al., 2009; Pavanello et al., 2010). Studies with control
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Table 1. Characteristics of Studies of the CYP1A2 rs762551 Polymorphism and Bladder Cancer Susceptibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Gene test</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>No. of Case/Control</th>
<th>Case AA</th>
<th>Case CA</th>
<th>Case CC</th>
<th>Control AA</th>
<th>Control CA</th>
<th>Control CC</th>
<th>HWE</th>
<th>NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cui X 2012</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>PCR–RFLP</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>282/257</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavanello S 2010</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>PCR–RFLP</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>155/148</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altayli E 2009</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>TaqMan</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>135/128</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villanueva CM 2009</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>TaqMan</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>890/804</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villanueva CM 2009</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>TaqMan</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>144/107</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figueroa JD 2008</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>TaqMan</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>1101/1021</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsukino H 2004</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>PCR–RFLP</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>306/306</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HB: hospital based; PB: population based; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; RFLP: Restriction fragment length polymorphism; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Quantitative synthesis
The main results of our meta-analysis under four distinct genetic models were listed in Table 2 and Table 3. Overall, a significant association was found between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility for CC vs AA (OR=0.82, 95% CI=0.69–0.99, p=0.21 for heterogeneity, Figure 2A), but no significant association was found for the other three models (Ac vs AA: OR=0.91, 95% CI=0.81–1.02, p=0.92 for heterogeneity, Figure 2B; The dominant model: OR=0.90, 95% CI=0.80–1.00, p=0.84 for heterogeneity, Figure 2C; the recessive model: OR=0.84, 95% CI=0.72–1.00, p=0.26 for heterogeneity, Figure 2D).

In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, in Caucasian population, we detected significant associations between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility for GA vs GG (OR=0.78, 95% CI=0.64–0.96, p=0.39 for heterogeneity) and for the recessive model (OR=0.80, 95% CI=0.66–0.96, p=0.50 for heterogeneity). But for Asian population, no significant association was found.

Heterogeneity and sensitivity analysis
There were no significant heterogeneities for the overall analysis and the subgroup analysis under all four genetic models. Figure 3 shows the sensitivity analysis using the one-study remove approach in the dominant model and the recessive model. Figure 4 demonstrates the publication bias in studies of the relation between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder susceptibility in the dominant model and the recessive model. A funnel plot with pseudo-95% confidence limits (dashed lines) was used.
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genetic models by ethnicity, so the results were assessed under fixed effects model. Sensitivity analysis was performed to reflect the impact of the individual study to the summarized ORs by removing one study each time involved in the meta-analysis. Such a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis indicated that no single study influenced the pooled ORs qualitatively in the dominant model (Figure 3A), the recessive model (Figure 3B) and other models (not showed).

**Publication bias**

In this meta-analysis, we used funnel plots, Begg’s test and Egger’s linear regression method to evaluate the publication bias. The funnel plots for publication bias showed symmetry for the dominant model and the recessive model (Figure 4A, Figure 4B). Meanwhile, results of Begg’s test and Egger’s linear regression method indicated that there was no obvious publication bias (P>0.05, Table 2).

**Discussion**

CYP1A2 is a key factor in the metabolic activity of carcinogenic aromatic and heterocyclic amines, the inhibition activity of this enzyme may represent a logical strategy for preventing the development of human cancers induced by the aromatic and heterocyclic amines (Miranda et al., 2000). Considering the important roles of CYP1A2, genetic polymorphisms in the CYP1A2 gene may have some effects on the development of cancer (Bozina et al., 2009). The association between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility had been studied extensively but the results had been inconsistent. In order to resolve this conflict, we thus performed a meta-analysis of the published case-control studies to assess the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and risk of bladder cancer. The present meta-analysis is the first meta-analysis on the association between CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and risk of bladder cancer up to now.

In the present meta-analysis, seven studies with a total of 3,013 cases and 2,771 controls were finally included, the results showed that CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism was a protective factor for bladder cancer risk, the subgroup analysis based on ethnicity further suggested that CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism was a protective factor for bladder cancer risk in Caucasian population, but not in Asian population. In addition, the leave-one-out analysis suggested that omitting each study had limited effect on the pooled estimates.

Cancer development was a complicated process involving many genes, different genetic backgrounds...
might contribute to the discrepancy. It was reported that C allele causing decreased activity of the encoded enzyme may lead to decreased metabolism of estradiol and C allele carriers might potentially increase the bladder cancer risk. Actually, it might be not uncommon that the epidemiology results were not coincident with the results of functional study. The influence of the C allele might be decreased by the presence of other unidentified causal genes involved in bladder cancer susceptibility.

Meta-analysis has been recognized as an effective method to solve a wide variety of clinical questions by summarizing and reviewing the previously published quantitative research. By using meta-analysis, a multitude of genetic polymorphisms have been associated with specific disease states. By using meta-analysis, XPD Lys751Gln (Xiong et al., 2014), CYP1A1 11599G>C (Wang et al., 2014), NQO1 Exon 6 (Mandal et al., 2014) polymorphisms have been proved associated with bladder cancer susceptibility.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be acknowledged. Firstly, the controls were not uniformly defined. Although most of the controls were selected mainly from healthy populations, some had benign disease. Therefore, non-differential misclassification bias was possible because these studies may have included the control groups who have different risks of developing bladder cancer.

Second, as a type of a retrospective study, a meta-analysis may encounter recalls or selection bias, possibly influencing the reliability of our study results. Third, the overall outcomes were based on unadjusted estimates, while a more precise evaluation should be adjusted by other co-variants including tobacco use, alcohol consumption, viral infection, and environment factors if individual data were available.

In conclusion, the current meta-analysis provides obvious evidence that CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism was a protective factor for bladder cancer susceptibility, especially in Caucasians. Large and well-designed epidemiological studies will be necessary to combine genetic factors together with other potential risk factors in order to validate the association between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and bladder cancer susceptibility.
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