INTRODUCTION

Breast conservation surgery (BCS) followed by whole breast irradiation (WBI) is the standard of care for early stage breast cancer. Numerous large scale well designed randomized clinical trials indicate that this treatment is comparable to mastectomy in terms of local tumor control and overall survival while it maintains patients cosmesis. Conventionally, low energy megavoltage (≤6MV) opposed wedge-based tangential photon beams are used for breast radiotherapy. The objectives are covering the breast with a therapeutic homogenous dose distribution while maintaining healthy tissues from excessive irradiation and toxicity (Edward C. Halperin, 2013).

It is well known that acute and late complications of WBI such as erythema, edema, desquamation, pain, and telangiectasia and breast hardness are related to heterogeneous dose distribution in target volume and limiting areas of breast from receiving excessive dose (radiation hot spots) is of particular importance for achieving an acceptable long term cosmesis (Taylor et al., 1995; Das et al., 1997; Carruthers et al., 1999; Stillie et al., 2011).

Due to the breast shape and variability in its contour, open or even wedge-based tangents are unable to create a homogenous dose distribution in all portions of the target volume, so many experts recommend to use intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) for elimination of these hot areas and therefore lowering treatment complications(Haffty et al., 2008; Pignol et al., 2008; Morganti et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2011). Unfortunately, due to limited resources, this costly technology is not widely available to many patients, particularly in developing countries (Grau et al., 2014).

Utilization of higher energy photons (10-18MV) is a practical way to reduce these radiation hot spots particularly in large breasts. The main disadvantage of this approach is under dosing of superficial subcutaneous...
tissues in the buildup region of the beams (Ellen et al., 1999; Baird et al., 2001; Lief et al., 2007) and so an important question is: what proportion of high energy photons should be used in radiotherapy of the intact breast to achieve acceptable hot spots and at the same time adequate target coverage?

This study tries to create a model for estimating the optimal weight of high energy photon based on breast and tangential field characteristics for incorporation in breast radiotherapy.

Materials and Methods

Study design

Treatment planning computed tomography (CT) images of thirty three consecutive early stage breast cancer patients who were referred for WBI after BCS to our institution (Clinical Oncology Department of Golestan Hospital, Ahwaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran) from May to November 2014 were evaluated in this study. Because confidentiality of the patients was not compromised, institutional ethics committee approval was not deemed necessary.

Treatment planning

For CT planning, patients were positioned supine on a breast board to make the chest wall slope parallel to the table couch with arms abducted and externally rotated. Palpable breast tissue, tangential field borders (medial border: mid-sternal line, lateral border: 2 cm beyond all palpable breast tissue or mid-axillary line, inferior border: 2 cm from infra-mammary fold and superior border: head of clavicle or second intercostal space) and surgical scars were marked with radiopaque wires and then axial thin CT slices were obtained from the mid neck to the upper abdomen (Edward C. Halperin, 2013). Ultimately patient data was transferred to the treatment planning software (Isogray v4.3, Dosisoft) for target volume delineation and beam positioning. After outlining breast clinical target volume (CTV) according to the RTOG protocol (http://www.rtog.org/CoreLab/ContouringAtlases/BreastCancerAtlas.aspx), opposed wedge paired (15 degree, physical type) isocenteric tangential portals were generated according to breast CTV and previously mentioned anatomic landmarks without regional lymphatic irradiation. Dosimeteric calculations (with collapsed cone algorithm) were done with pure 6MV photons and then repeated ten times with incorporating 18MV photons (ten percent increase in weight per step) in each individual patient. This means that the last calculation was done with only 18MV photons. Prescription point was placed at the isocenter (approximately in the middle of the breast tissue) and for measuring conformity indexes, dose volume histograms (DVH) were analyzed.

18MV photons optimal weight measurement

For this purpose, two indexes including maximum dose in the breast CTV ($D_{\text{max}}$) and the volume of CTV that receives more or equal to 95% of the prescription dose ($V_{\text{CTV, 95\%IDL}}$) (Isodose line) were measured according to the DVH data. After normalization to the best value in each patient (between 0-1), $D_{\text{max}}$ and $V_{\text{CTV, 95\%IDL}}$ plotted in a single graph. Maximum CTV doses less than 105% were considered to be satisfactory. Then the optimal weight of 18MV photons in each individual was defined as the intersection point of $D_{\text{max}}$ and $V_{\text{CTV, 95\%IDL}}$ graphs (Figure 1).

18MV photons optimal weight prediction and Statistical analysis:

For this purpose multiple linear regression analysis (forward stepwise method) was used to create a model based on parameters including breast CTV volume and tangential field’s properties such as field height (Y), field width (X), central lung distance (CLD) and chest wall separations (SEP 1 and 2). These variables were checked for co-linearity with bivariate analysis (Pearson correlation). CLD, SEP1 and SEP2 were measured at the central axis as outlined in figure 2. Data was analyzed with SPSS version 13.0.

Results

Table 1 shows volume of CTV, tangential field’s parameters used for WBI and measured optimal weight of 18MV photons in this patient population.

The best fitting model for prediction of 18MV photons optimal weight (Adjusted $R^2=0.776$), incorporated SEP1, SEP2 and CLD as shown in the following and table 2:

$$18\text{MV photons optimal weight (\%) } = 6.2\times \text{SEP1} + 8.82\times \text{CLD} - 2.23\times \text{SEP2} - 25.18$$
Discussion

Low energy x-rays (4-6 MV) are preferred for WBI. Commonly, conventional wedges are utilized to achieve a uniform dose distribution. But this happens only in the central axis (with elimination of sub-areolar hot regions) and significant inhomogeneity remain in other areas of the breast (Buchholz et al., 1997; Carruthers et al., 1999) (Figure 3). A reasonable approach to decrease the intensity and volume of these hot regions and also the breast integral dose is to use high energy photons (>6MV) with a mixed energy technique (Figure 4).

With respect to the model we described above patients with higher SEP1 and CLD in contrast to SEP2, have hotter areas in the breast and need more high energy photons for a more homogenous treatment plan. Since hot regions in medial and lateral portions of the breast are due to a phenomenon called “lateral tissue effect” (Faiz M. Khan, 2014), increase in SEP1 and therefore prescription point depth intensifies this effect. Longer SEP2 means that photons entering the basilar portion of the breast transverse a longer path in the tissue and thus encounter more attenuation, so this has a favorable effect on hot areas in these regions. Central lung distance correlates with the lung volume in the tangential fields (Bornstein et al., 1990) so more CLD means less beam attenuation in the basilar portions of the breast (due to less absorption in the air) and thus more severe hot areas in medial and lateral sides of the breast.

This model is only validated when WBI is carried out with 15 degree wedge paired tangents using mixed 6 and 18MV photons. Other limitation of this dosimetric study was that clinical outcomes such as acute and late toxicity as well as local tumor control were not assessed. We suggest that well designed clinical trials could be helpful to evaluate that if this possible dosimetric advantage gained by incorporating 18MV photons in WBI with a weight calculated by this equation could translate to a more favorable clinical outcome.

In conclusion this study represents a model for estimation of optimal beam weighting in breast radiotherapy using mixed photon energy technique based on patient and tangential field parameters such as chest wall separations and central lung distance for day to day clinical usage.
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