Protection against Whole Body γ-Irradiation Induced Oxidative Stress and Clastogenic Damage in Mice by Ginger Essential Oil
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Abstract

Radioprotective effects of ginger essential oil (GEO) on mortality, body weight alteration, hematological parameters, antioxidant status and chromosomal damage were studied in irradiated mice. Regression analysis of survival data in mice exposed to radiation yielded LD50/30 as 7.12 and 10.14 Gy for control (irradiation alone) and experimental (GEO-treated irradiated) mice, respectively, with a dose reduction factor (DRF) of 1.42. In mice exposed to whole-body gamma-irradiation (6 Gy), GEO pre-treatment at 100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt (orally) significantly ameliorated decreased hematological and immunological parameters. Radiation induced reduction in intestinal tissue antioxidant enzyme levels such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase and glutathione was also reversed following administration of GEO. Tissue architecture of small intestine which was damaged following irradiation was improved upon administration of GEO. Anticlastogenic effects of GEO were studied by micronuclei assay, chromosomal aberration and alkaline gel electrophoresis assay. GEO significantly decreased the formation of micronuclei, increased the P/N ratio, inhibited the formation of chromosomal aberrations and protected against cellular DNA damage in bone marrow cells as revealed by comet assay. These results are supportive of use of GEO as a potential radioprotective compound.
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Introduction

Ionizing radiations has been shown to induce DNA damage, which can lead to mutagenesis and carcinogenesis (Ronald et al., 2008). Growing concern for the protection of biological systems against radiation induced damages and genotoxicity, has promoted radiation research to develop effectual radioprotectors. Exposure to ionizing radiation causes deleterious effects to living tissues both by direct (interaction between radiation and target macromolecules) or indirect (due to the products released during aqueous radiolysis) effects (Draganic and Draganic, 1971). This in turn leads to excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), whose interactions in the biological system can damage the cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms and also cause mutations and chromosomal aberrations (Weiss and Landauer, 2003).

The development of effective radioprotective agents is of great importance in view of their potential application during exposure of human population to natural background radiations, occupational and medical exposures, nuclear industry as well as nuclear warfare (Xu et al., 2014). Radiotherapy has also become an important modality in the treatment of cancer. Various drugs of natural and synthetic origin, i.e., immune modulators (γ-interferon), DNA binding molecules, natural antioxidants (vitamin A, C and E), biological response modifiers such as cytokines, immune stimulators, etc. were found to provide good radioprotection (Harikumar and Kuttan., 2004; Ping et al., 2007). WR 2721 (amifostine), a highly expensive, synthetic compound, is the only Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved radioprotective drug for clinical use during radiotherapy. However, it has restrained utilization at therapeutic levels due to severe side effects and toxicity associated with recurrent usage (Wang et al., 2014). The use of plants and natural products as radioprotectors has spurred interest due to their low toxicity and minimum side effects.

Ginger (Zingiber officinale R), belonging to the family Zingiberaceae, is a common medicinal and aromatic plant indigenous to South eastern Asia and Central America. Ginger is used as a culinary herb and also as a traditional remedy for various ailments. The essential oil isolated from ginger by steam distillation is known to possess antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal activities (Singh et al., 2005; Koch et al., 2008). It is also reported to have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antinociceptive activity (Vendruscolo et al., 2006; Jeena et al., 2013).
Previous work conducted in our laboratory has shown the antimutagenic, antiulcer and anticarcinogenic properties of ginger essential oil (Jeena et al., 2014). The present study was conducted to evaluate the radioprotective and anticlastogenic potential of ginger essential oil.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Male Balb/C mice (6-8 week old, 25 ± 3g weight) were purchased from Small Animal Breeding Station, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India. They were housed in well-ventilated polypropylene cages under controlled temperature, and humidity, and were provided with normal mouse chow (Sai Durga Feeds and Foods, Bangalore) and water ad libitum. Animal experiments were conducted after getting prior permission from Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) and as per the instructions prescribed by the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India.

Chemicals
Dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), reduced glutathione (GSH), and colchicine were obtained from Sisco Research Laboratories, Mumbai, India. High melting point agarose and low melting agarose were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Inc.USA. May Grunwald and Giemsa stains were obtained from Merck, India. All other chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

Ginger essential oil (GEO)
GEO was provided by Kancore Ingredients Limited., Angamali, Kerala, India. GEO was dissolved in paraffin oil for all the studies. Composition of ginger essential oil was determined using a Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph (Model 6890) coupled with a quadruple mass spectrometer (Model HP 5973) and a HP - 5MS capillary column (5% phenylmethylsiloxane; 30 m × 0.25U m). The interphase, ion source and selective mass detector temperatures were maintained at 243°C, 230°C and 150°C, respectively. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.4 mL/min. The oven temperature was programmed linearly at 60°C; then increased from 60°C to 243°C at the rate of 3°C/min. The components present in GEO were identified using the National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) library search facility provided with the data analysis software supplied along with GC/MS system.

Major components identified in GEO were α-zingiberene (31.08%), followed by ar-curcumene (15.4%) and α-sesquiphellandrene (14.02%). The minor ingredients included bisabolene (13.80%) and sabinene (8.27%) (Jeena et al., 2011).

Irradiation
The source of radiation was a Cobalt-60 Theatron Phoenix Teletherapy Unit (Atomic Energy Ltd., Ottawa, Canada) in the Department of Radiotherapy, Amala Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Kerala. Unanaesthetised animals were restrained in well-ventilated boxes and whole body was exposed at a dose rate of 1.44 Gy/minute in a field size of 25 x 25 cm² at a distance of 80 cm from the source.

Assessment of radioprotective potential of GEO in irradiated mice
Effect of GEO on γ -radiation-induced mortality and body weight alterations of mice
Seventy eight healthy male Balb/C mice were divided into thirteen groups. (i) Control group-irradiation alone. (ii) Vehicle control group- animals of this group received paraffin oil of equivalent amount GEO for 5 consecutive days prior to radiation. (iii) Experimental group (GEO+irradiation) the animals were given 500 mg/kg b.wt for 5 consecutive days prior to radiation. Previous works have established 500 mg/kg b.wt given orally was the nontoxic and appropriate minimum dose level of GEO (Jeena et al., 2011). On the 6th day, 1 hr after last administration of paraffin oil or GEO, all the animals were exposed to 6, 8, 10 or 12 Gy radiation. GEO and paraffin oil administrations were continued for another 14 days after irradiation. The animals were observed daily for up to 30 days post irradiation for mortality and body weights of survivors were recorded every 3rd day.

Percentage of mice survived up to 30 days of exposure against each radiation dose was used to construct survival dose response curves.

Calculation of Dose Reduction Factor (DRF)
To determine the protective ratio of GEO against lethal gamma irradiation, the DRF was calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of GEO treated animals by the LD50/30 of animals without any treatment.

Protection of hematological system by GEO
Thirty male Balb/C mice were divided into five groups consisting of six animals each. Group I was unirradiated control, group II- irradiated control [whole body exposure to γ-radiation (6 Gy)], group III- irradiated control treated with vehicle (paraffin oil), group IV- irradiated animals treated with 100 mg/kg b.wt GEO, orally, group V- irradiated animals treated with 500 mg/kg b.wt GEO, orally. Animals in group IV and V were pretreated with GEO five days prior to radiation. All the animals except group I was exposed to whole body radiation 600 rads (6 Gy) and treatment in groups III, IV and V were continued for 14 days.

Blood was collected into heparinized tubes from the caudal vein at 24, 48, 96 hrs after irradiation and on the 7th and 14th day. The total WBC count was checked using hemocytometer and hemoglobin was estimated by cyanmethemoglobin using Drabkin’s method (Drabkin and Austin, 1932).

Protection of hematopoietic system by GEO
Thirty male Balb/C mice were taken and grouped and the treatment regime followed as the above experiment. Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation on the 3rd, 7th and 14th day after irradiation. Femurs of all the animals
were pretreated with paraffin oil or GEO for five days. On the 6th day all the animals in group II-V received a single dose of whole body γ-radiation (4 Gy/animal). Immediately after irradiation, animals were killed by cervical dislocation and bone marrow cells were collected. The alkaline comet assay was carried out based on the original work of Singh et al. (2000) with minor modifications (Nair and Salvi, 2005). The slides were dried and stained with 30 μL ethidium bromide (1X) staining solution and the comets were visualized immediately at a 400X magnification using an Olympus BX50 fluorescence microscope. The extent and distribution of DNA damage indicated by the comet assay was evaluated by examining at least 100 randomly selected comets per slide and analyzed using ‘CASP’ software which gives %DNA in tail, tail length, tail moment and olive tail moment directly (Konca et al., 2003).

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Significance levels of comparison of differences were determined by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Dunnett’s multiple comparison tests using Graphpad Instat 3 software. Regression analysis was done to obtain LD50/30 values and to determine the DRF.

Results

Radioprotective effects of ginger essential oil

Effect of GEO on γ-radiation-induced mortality and body weight alterations of mice

There was a radiation dose dependent survival of mice in control and the experimental groups as shown in Figure 1(a). Complete mortality was seen on days 3, 6 and 21 in the control and vehicle control groups, when exposed to 12, 10 and 8 Gy respectively. The first deaths were recorded on days 2, 4 and 16 at 12, 10 and 8 Gy respectively. All the animals exposed to 6 Gy were found to be alive on the 30th day, indicating that 6 Gy γ -radiation is not a lethal dose to these mice.

Administration of GEO significantly enhanced the survival of mice exposed to different doses of gamma radiation. In the group exposed to 12 Gy radiation, the first death was recorded on day 5 and 16% of animals...
survived for 30 days. In 10 Gy irradiated group, death of the animals started on the 13th day after irradiation and 50% of the animals survived till the end of the experiment. Mortality of animals commenced only 28th day for 8 Gy exposed animals and 67% of animals survived in the group after 30 days.

There was a profound loss in body weight of animals treated with 10 and 12 Gy γ-radiations, GEO pretreatment showed an increase in body weight. Exposure of mice to 6 and 8 Gy γ-radiation also reduced the body weight of animals and GEO treatment significantly increased the loss of body weight in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown).

**Radiation dose reduction factor (DRF) in the mice treated with GEO**

Radiation dose-response curves for mice with or without pretreatment of GEO are shown in Figure 1(b). The LD50/30 for control (irradiation alone) and experimental (GEO pretreated irradiated) animals was computed as 7.12 and 10.14 Gy respectively. On the basis of LD50/30 survivability, GEO pretreatment produced a dose reduction factor of 1.42.

**Effect of GEO on hematological parameters of irradiated mice**

A marked decline in total WBC count was observed in irradiated and vehicle control groups at 24 hr (2650 ± 541) which was further decreased on day 4. In GEO pre-treated irradiated group IV and V animals, WBC counts were Table 1. Effect of Ginger Essential Oil Administration on Radiation Induced Micronuclei Formation in Mice Bone Marrow Cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>% MnPCE</th>
<th>% MnNCE</th>
<th>% (MnPCE+MnNCE)</th>
<th>% PCE</th>
<th>% NCE</th>
<th>P/N ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.33±0.58</td>
<td>0.02±0.01</td>
<td>0.35±0.57</td>
<td>27±2</td>
<td>73±2</td>
<td>0.37±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation alone</td>
<td>3.33±0.61</td>
<td>0.21±0.03</td>
<td>3.55±0.56</td>
<td>16.3±1.53</td>
<td>88.67±1.53</td>
<td>0.20±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>0.22±0.02</td>
<td>2.22±0.99</td>
<td>17.33±2.1</td>
<td>83.33±2.08</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>2.78±0.30ns</td>
<td>0.04±0.01***</td>
<td>2.82±0.30***</td>
<td>21.67±2.08***</td>
<td>78.33±2.08***</td>
<td>0.28±0.03**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>0.70±0.05***</td>
<td>0.02±0.01***</td>
<td>0.72±0.06***</td>
<td>25.67±2.08***</td>
<td>74.33±3</td>
<td>0.35±0.04***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value represents the mean ± S.D (n=3). **p<0.01 compared with vehicle control, ***p<0.001 compared with vehicle control. MnPCE, Micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes; MnNCE, Micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes; PCE, Polychromatic erythrocytes; NCE, normochromatic erythrocytes.

Table 2. Effect of Ginger Essential Oil on Radiation Induced Chromosomal Aberrations in Mouse Bone Marrow Cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Chromosome fragments</th>
<th>Chromosome breaks</th>
<th>Chromatid breaks</th>
<th>Dicentrics</th>
<th>Rings</th>
<th>Total aberrations</th>
<th>%aberrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.33±0.58</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33±1.58</td>
<td>0.78±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation alone</td>
<td>27±6.24</td>
<td>20±2</td>
<td>34.99±9.3</td>
<td>7.33±1.53</td>
<td>10.67±3.06</td>
<td>99.33±22.12</td>
<td>33.11±7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>28.33±5.69</td>
<td>20±2</td>
<td>38±4.58</td>
<td>7±1</td>
<td>67±2.52</td>
<td>103±15.79</td>
<td>34.33±5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>13.33±2.08***</td>
<td>13±3.61**</td>
<td>13±2***</td>
<td>4.67±1.53*</td>
<td>5±2**</td>
<td>49±11.21***</td>
<td>16.33±3.74***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>7.67±2.52***</td>
<td>8.67±2.52***</td>
<td>11±2.65***</td>
<td>2.33±1.53***</td>
<td>1.33±1.53***</td>
<td>31±10.73***</td>
<td>10.33±3.58***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value represents the mean ± S.D (n=3). **p<0.01 compared with vehicle control, ***p<0.001 compared with vehicle control.
found to be significantly higher than the corresponding control group throughout the study (Figure 2a).

Hemoglobin concentration in irradiated and vehicle treated mice (Group II and III) showed the maximum decrease on day 3 (8.56±1.51). Animals irradiated with GEO pre-treatment (Group IV and V) exhibited a higher hemoglobin concentration compared to irradiated groups and values were found to be near normal by the end of the experiment (Figure 2b).

**Effect of GEO on hematopoietic parameters of irradiated mice**

The number of α-esterase positive cells were found to be drastically decreased following irradiation. Administration of GEO (100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt) significantly increased the number of cells with α-esterase activity to almost normal values by day 14 (Figure 2c). GEO (100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt) was also found to increase the bone marrow cellularity (p<0.001) at all the three time points as compared to the irradiated and vehicle treated group (Figure 2d).

**Effect of GEO on antioxidant status of small intestine mucosa**

Whole body γ-radiation reduced all the antioxidant defense mechanisms in the small intestine. The activity of SOD was which was reduced in the small intestine of mice was significantly (p<0.001) elevated upon administration of GEO by the 14th day (Figure 3a). Continuous administration of GEO significantly increased the levels of catalase enzyme as compared to the irradiated group in small intestine mucosa (Figure 3b). GPx enzyme levels were significantly reduced in small intestine mucosa after radiation. GEO pretreated irradiated animals significantly elevated in enzyme levels in a dose dependent manner compared to irradiated animals by the 14th day (Figure 3c). A marked enhancement in the levels of GSH was observed from the 7th day post irradiation in GEO treated groups (Figure 3d).

**Histopathological analysis of intestinal mucosa of irradiated mice**

The effect of GEO on γ-radiation induced gastrointestinal damage was evaluated on the 7th day post

### Table 3. Comet Parameters Presenting the effect of Ginger Essential oil (GEO) Administration on γ-radiation (4 Gy) Induced DNA Strand Breaks in Bone Marrow of Balb/C mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>%DNA in tail</th>
<th>Tail length</th>
<th>Tail moment</th>
<th>Olive tail moment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.8±0.3</td>
<td>3.8±0.77</td>
<td>0.3±0.01</td>
<td>0.6±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation alone</td>
<td>9±1.2</td>
<td>6.2±1</td>
<td>1.8±0.01</td>
<td>1.3±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>9.5±1.7</td>
<td>5.9±0.8</td>
<td>1.6±0.06</td>
<td>2±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>4.3±0.4***</td>
<td>4.3±0.6**</td>
<td>1±0.02*</td>
<td>0.9±0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mg/kg b.wt GEO</td>
<td>2.1±0.7***</td>
<td>4±0.3***</td>
<td>0.8±0.03**</td>
<td>0.7±0.03***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represents the mean ± S.D (n=6). *p<0.05, **p<0.01 compared with vehicle control, ***p<0.001 compared to vehicle control group.
irradiation. Radiation reduced the number of glands, villi and villi height when compared to normal intestinal cells (Figure 4a). The section of intestine showed ulceration of mucosa in some places with the floors of the ulcerated areas showing inflammatory exudates. Mucosa, submucosa and muscularis mucosa showed diffuse infiltration by lymphocytes, plasma cells and a few polymorphs (Figure 4b). The section of intestine treated with GEO (500 mg/kg b.wt) showed normal mucosal glands and villi lined by mucus secreting columnar epithelium (Figure 4c).

Anticlastogenic effect of ginger essential oil

Effect on GEO on radiation induced micronuclei formation

Whole body radiation resulted in significant increase in MPCE (3.33 ± 0.58) and MNNCE (0.21 ± 0.03). The percentage of micro nucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (MNPC) were 2.78 ± 0.30 and 0.70 ± 0.05 (p<0.01) for 100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt GEO treated group of animals while in normal it was 0.33 ± 0.58. The percentage of micro nucleated normochromatic erythrocytes (MNNCE) was found to be 0.04 ± 0.001 and 0.02 ± 0.01 (p<0.001) for 100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt GEO treated group of animals while it was 0.02 ± 0.03 for normal animals. The P/N ratio was significantly decreased from normal level of 0.37 ± 0.06 to 0.20 ± 0.02 in irradiated group of animals. The P/N ratio increased to 0.35 ± 0.04 (p<0.001) in 500 mg/kg b.wt treated animals by administration of the GEO (Table 1).

Effect on GEO on radiation induced chromosomal aberrations

Radiation produced a significant increase in the percent aberrant cells (33.11 ± 7.37) compared to normal (0.78 ± 0.53). A corresponding increase was found in all the individual aberrations. Treatment with GEO (100 and 500 mg/kg b.wt) and irradiation resulted in significant decrease in the percent chromosomal aberration like chromatid breaks, rings, chromosome fragments and dicentrics in bone marrow cells (Table 2). The percent aberrant cells were also decreased to 16.33 ± 3.74 in 100 mg/kg b.wt and 10.33 ± 3.58 in 500 mg/kg b.wt GEO treated group of animals.

Effect on GEO on radiation induced DNA strand breaks in bone marrow

Whole body γ-radiation (4 Gy) resulted in damage to genomic DNA in bone marrow as reflected by the increase in comet parameters such as %DNA in tail, tail length, tail moment and olive tail moment (Table 3). The administration of GEO significantly brought down the levels of these parameters. Thus, the cellular DNA of bone marrow was found to be protected by the administration of GEO.

Discussion

Ionizing radiations are being used in a multitude of arenas, and the planned (radiotherapy) and unplanned radiation exposures to the public domain have always been associated with some skepticism. Most of the cellular alterations induced by ionizing radiations are indirect and mediated by generation of free radicals (Londhe et al., 2009). These free radicals disturb the endogenous antioxidant systems and interfere with the genetic structure, leading to apoptosis and cell death. An integrated system of endogenous enzymatic, non enzymatic and repair mechanisms protects the body from damages caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS). However, when these mechanisms becomes defective or insufficient, the use of natural or synthetic radioprotective compounds are of utmost importance in radiation therapy because normal tissues should be protected against radiation injury while using higher doses of radiation to obtain better cancer control.

Ionizing radiations are highly cytotoxic towards cells with high rate of proliferation. Whole body irradiation drastically impairs normal physiological processes in rapidly proliferating cells of the hematopoietic system, gastrointestinal system, etc. Radiation induced damages to cells and various tissues results in organ failures, loss of body weight and ultimately death. Survival data from the present study indicate that pre-treatment with GEO protected mice from the lethal effects of ionizing radiation. Mortality was found to be delayed in all the GEO pretreated groups at all the radiation dosages administered. Magnitude of radioprotective effect of GEO was demonstrated by determining the LD50/30 (DRF = 1.42), which is a standard method to determine the efficacy of a radioprotective agent.

Exposure to whole body radiation caused suppression in hematopoiesis, immunosuppression and myelosuppression resulting in decreased production of blood cells (Machova et al., 2002). Protective effect was also seen in the case of bone marrow cellularity and α-esterase positive cells (an indicator of maturing monocytes), demonstrating its effect on stem cell proliferation. Thus, administration of GEO stimulates or protects hematopoiesis in bone marrow...
as seen by the subsequent increase in the hematological constituents in the peripheral blood of mice.

Intestinal tissue has highly proliferating cells which are radiosensitive. It also has an antioxidant defense mechanism to combat oxidations occurring. The intestinal architecture was found to be completely destroyed by exposure to irradiation. The administration of GEO regained the functional structure of intestinal tissue and thereby protects the tissue from radiation stress. The levels of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, catalase, GPx) and GSH were also found to be restored in intestinal mucosa which shows the protective effect of GEO against gastrointestinal damages.

The antigenotoxic potential of GEO was assayed through micronuclei, chromosomal aberration and comet assay. Chromosomal damages induced by γ-radiation are mainly due to indirect effects of radiation. Bone marrow cells are very sensitive to radiation which causes chromosomal aberrations and increases the frequency of micronucleated polychromatoy erythrocytes (MnPCE). GEO significantly inhibited radiation induced DNA breaks in micronuclei as evident from the reduced number of MnPCE. The P/N ratio is an indicator of the rate of proliferation of cells and a decrease in the ratio indicates the effect of radiation on the cell cycle by suppression of erythropoiesis (Thulasi et al., 2007). Pretreatment with GEO increased the P/N ratio indicating the anticlastogenic potential of GEO. Radiation also produced a significant increase in all types of chromosomal aberrations such as breaks, gaps, ring formations, dicentrics, etc. Pretreatment with GEO was found to significantly decrease these chromosomal aberrations compared to radiation control. This suggests the protective role of GEO against DNA double strand breaks in bone marrow cells.

Alkaline comet assay is an effective technique to study the extent of DNA damage and protection (Gandhi et al., 2004). Ionizing radiations produces lesions in DNA such as single strand breaks, double strand breaks, DNA-DNA cross links, etc which is indicated by the increase in comet parameters (tail length, tail moment, Olive tail moment). Administration of GEO significantly decreased all the comet attributes which indicate GEO’s ability to protect cellular DNA from radiation damages.

Natural products as radioprotectors are gaining interest due to their proven therapeutic potential and low toxicity at the effective dose with minimum or no side effects (Song et al., 2006). GEO has proven to be NOEL at 500 mg/kg b.wt (Jeena et al., 2011). There is considerable evidence suggesting the correlation between antioxidant properties and radioprotection in literature (Indu et al., 2011). GEO possess antioxidant capacity in vivo (Jeena et al., 2013) which may be attributed for its radioprotective potential. The present study provides evidence that GEO mitigates radiation induced effects at molecular, cellular and tissue levels by counteracting both the direct and indirect effects of radiation.
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