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Abstract

Ribosome-inactivating protein (RIP) from *Mirabilis jalapa* L. leaves has cytotoxic effects on breast cancer cell lines but is less toxic towards normal cells. However, it can easily be degraded after administration so it needs to be formulated into nanoparticles to increase its resistance to enzymatic degradation. The objectives of this study were to develop a protein extract of *M. jalapa* L. leaves (RIP-MJ) incorporated into nanoparticles conjugated with Anti-EpCAM antibodies, and to determine its cytotoxicity and selectivity in the T47D breast cancer cell line. RIP-MJ was extracted from red-flowered *M. jalapa* L. leaves. Nanoparticles were formulated based on polyelectrolyte complexation using low viscosity chitosan and alginate, then chemically conjugated with anti-EpCAM antibody using EDAC based on carbodiimide reaction. RIP-MJ nanoparticles were characterised for the particle size, polydispersity index, zeta potential, particle morphology, and entrapment efficiency. The cytotoxicity of RIP-MJ nanoparticles against T47D and Vero cells was then determined with MTT assay. The optimal formula of RIP-MJ nanoparticles was obtained at the concentration of RIP-MJ, low viscosity chitosan and alginate respectively 0.05%, 1%, and 0.4% (m/v). RIP-MJ nanoparticles are hexagonal with high entrapment efficiency of 98.6%, average size of 130.7 nm, polydispersity index of 0.380 and zeta potential +26.33 mV. The IC₅₀ values of both anti-EpCAM-conjugated and non-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles for T47D cells (13.3 and 14.9 µg/mL) were lower than for Vero cells (27.8 and 33.6 µg/mL). The IC₅₀ values of conjugated and non-conjugated RIP-MJ for both cells were much lower than IC₅₀ values of non-formulated RIP-MJ (>500 µg/mL).
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women. Indonesia has the highest mortality rates per 100,000 in 2008 among the ASEAN countries by 36.2% (Kimman et al., 2012). High cancer mortality rates, especially in Indonesia, can be attributed to ineffective therapy. The most common cancer therapy nowadays is chemotherapy and radiotherapy which have low selectivity that leads to systemic toxicity (Peer et al., 2007). Therefore, the development of targeted-therapy using protein from natural products is considered important since proteins have lower toxicity on normal cells and behave more predictably in vivo (Frokjaer and Otzen, 2005).

*Mirabilis jalapa* L. (four o’clock plants) were found to contain ribosome-inactivating protein (RIP) which has anticancer properties (Vivanco et al., 1999). RIP irreversibly inactivates ribosome through specific mechanism known as site-specific rRNA N-glikosidase activity (Barbieri et al., 1993; Stirpe, 2004). Ikwawati et al. (2006) isolated RIP-like protein with molecular weight of 30 kDa from *M. jalapa* L. leaves, called MJ-30, which was toxic against T47D and SiHa cells. *M. jalapa* L. leaves also contains an acidic RIP-like protein that is not attached to CM-sepharose CL-6B column called MJ-C protein. MJ-C possesses cytotoxic activity on cancer cells (Sudjadi et al., 2007, Sismindari et al., 2010). MJ-30 induces apoptosis in HeLa cells (Hussaana et al., 2010), while MJ-C possesses antiangiogenesis activity (Indrayudha et al., 2011). Those study show that RIP from *M. jalapa* L. leaves wa potential as anticancer candidate. However, the use of protein as therapeutic agent is limited due to its rapid elimination through enzymatic degradation (Torchilin and Lukyanov, 2003). To overcome this disadvantage, RIP is formulated into nanoparticles using alginate and chitosan.

Nanotechnology has been developed as an advanced protein delivery system (Grenha et al., 2004; Amidi et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). Biopolymeric nanopar-ticles
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such as alginate and chitosan are now widely used due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability and mucoadhesive properties (Sarmento et al., 2007). Alginate is a linear unbranched polymer that consists of (1-4)-linked β-D-mannuronic acid and α-L-guluronic acid residues (Gombotz dan Wee, 1998). Chitosan consists of β-(1,4)-linked 2-amino-2-deoxy-β-D-glucose. Alginate and chitosan are able to form particles spontaneously through polyelectrolyte complex mechanism (Hamman, 2010). Previous studies reported that chitosan and alginate has been developed into nanoparticles to deliver insulin (Sarmento et al., 2007) therefore this system can be a promising method to deliver RIP for cancer therapy.

Most of the patients suffer from systemic toxicity due to the failure of cancer drugs and therapies to differentiate between cancerous and normal cells. Therefore, developing cancer targeted-therapy is very promising, especially active-targeting nanoparticle. This nanoparticle is fabricated by conjugating nanoparticle surface with ligand which binds to specific receptors on the cancer cell surface. In this study, RIP-MJ nanoparticles were conjugated with anti-EpCAM antibody to elevate their selectivity as a novel targeted-therapy for breast cancer.

Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule (EpCAM/CD326) is a transmembrane glicoprotein that plays a crucial role in epithelial cell adhesion, migration, and metastasis. EpCAM is also discovered as a highly immunogenic tumour-associated antigen (Baeuerle and Gires, 2007). EpCAM is found to be expressed in normal breast tissue (Sæther et al., 2008) and overexpressed in breast carcinoma. Osta et al. (2004) studied EpCAM expression in MCF-7 cells as breast cancer model and discovered that EpCAM was a potential target for breast cancer therapy since it was expressed 100 times higher in breast cancer cells than that in normal cells.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

The fresh leaves of red-flowered $M. \text{jalapa}$ L. was collected from Pogung, Sleman, Yogyakarta, pET28a+ was obtained from Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjah Mada, and T47D and Vero cell culture (ATCC®) from Life Science Laboratory, LPPT-UGM. Natrium alginate (Shadong Bio-Technologi, Shanghai), low viscosity chitosan (Sigma Aldrich, MO, USA), anti-EpCAM antibody clone AUA-1 (Abcam).

**RIP M. jalapa L. (RIP-MJ) extraction**

Fresh leaves of $M. \text{jalapa}$ L. were homogenised using phosphate buffer pH 7.2 containing 0.14 M NaCl, and then filtrated. The filtrates were centrifuged at 8.500g (4°C) for 40 minutes. The supernatant was precipitated in acetone and subsequently centrifuged at 8.500g (4°C) for 30 minutes. The precipitated protein were dissolved in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.5, then centrifuged again 8.500g (4°C) for 30 minutes (Sismindari et al., 2010). The protein extracts were freeze-dried and stored at -20°C. This protein extract was named RIP-MJ. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford protein assay (Bio-rad) as total protein.

**Determination of protein concentration**

Briefly, 4 µL of supercoiled DNA (pET28a+) were incubated with 2 µL of TMN buffer 10x and 4 µL of series amount of RIP-MJ (0.2, 0.4 dan 2 µg). As control, RIP-MJ was substituted with 4 µL of aquabidestillata. The mixtures were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. At the end of reaction, 4 µL of loading dye was added and electrophoresis was carried out in 1 × TBE buffer in 0.8% agarose gel. DNA bands were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (Sismindari et al., 1998).

**Determination of RIP-MJ activity by supercoiled DNA cleavage**

Briefly, 4 µL of supercoiled DNA (pET28a+) were incubated with 2 µL of TMN buffer 10x and 4 µL of series amount of RIP-MJ (0.2, 0.4 dan 2 µg). As control, RIP-MJ was substituted with 4 µL of aquabidestillata. The mixtures were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. At the end of reaction, 6 µL of each sample were added with 4 µL of loading dye. Electrophoresis was carried out in 1 × TBE buffer in 0.8% agarose gel. DNA bands were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (Sismindari et al., 1998).

**Optimisation of alginate-chitosan nanoparticle formula**

Aqueous stock solution of alginate (1% b/v) was prepared by dissolving it in aquadest, while chitosan (1% b/v) was dissolved in acetic acid buffer pH 4.500 µL alginate and 500 µL chitosan in series of concentration were homogenised for 10 seconds (Sæther et al., 2008). The optimum formula were then subsequently optimised with BSA as the protein model. 500 µL alginate were homogenised with 500 µL BSA for 10 seconds. 500 µL chitosan were subsequently added to the mixture and were homogenised again for 10 seconds (Hu et al., 2012).

**Formulation of RIP-MJ nanoparticle**

Formulation of RIP-MJ nanoparticle was conducted based on Sæther et al. (2008) and Hu et al. (2012). Three (3) mL of 0.05% b/v RIP-MJ solution were added to 3 mL of 0.4% b/v alginate solution under constant stirring, followed by the addition of 3 mL 1% b/v chitosan solution. RIP-MJ nanoparticles were formed spontaneously. The nanoparticle suspension was then dialysed overnight at 4°C.

**Determination of entrapment efficiency**

Nanoparticle entrapment was calculated by measuring the total RIP MJ used in the reaction and the amount of free RIP MJ in the suspension following the reaction process. This protein assay was done using Bradford method at the absorbance at 595 nm on spectrophotometer (Genesyss 10UV scanning, Thermo Scientific). The value of entrapment efficiency (EE) was calculated as follows:

\[
EE\% = \left( \frac{\text{Total amount Protein-Free protein}}{\text{Total amount protein}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

(Gan and Wang, 2007)

**Conjugation of Anti-EpCAM antibody on RIP-MJ nanoparticle**
Anti-EpCAM antibody was chemically conjugated on the nanoparticle surface based on carbodiimide reaction which modified from Interchin (2008). Ten (10) µL 1 µg/µL anti-EpCAM were mixed with 10 µL of 1 µg/µL EDAC and 80 µL of 20 mM MOPS buffer pH 6. The mixture was then incubated for 15 min at room temperature, and 50 µL of antibody-EDAC mixture was added to 5 mL of RIP-MJ nanoparticle suspension. Nanoparticle-antibody mixture was dialysed overnight at 4°C.

Characterisation of RIP-MJ nanoparticle morphology

RIP-MJ nanoparticle morphology was visualised at Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta. Nanoparticle sample was stained with phosphotungstic acid, dropped on a copper grid, then visualized using transmission electron microscope (JOEL-JEM 1400, Japan) (de Campos et al., 2004).

Measurement of RIP-MJ nanoparticle size, polydispersity index, and zeta potential

Measurement of RIP-MJ nanoparticle size, polydispersity index, and zeta potential was conducted at Balai Inskubator Teknologi, Badan Pengkajian dan Penerapan Teknologi (BIT-BPPT) Serpong, Tangerang. 2 drops of nanoparticle suspension were homogenized with 5 mL of aquadest. 3 mL of the mixture were analysed using DelsaTM Nano Submicron Particle Size Analyser (Beckman Coulter) (Kocbek et al., 2007).

Cytotoxicity of RIP-MJ nanoparticle on T47D and Vero Cell lines

Cytotoxicity assay was conducted at Life Science Laboratory LPPT-UGM. Cell culture of T47D cells and Vero cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 and M199 medium respectively. One hundred (100) µL of cell suspension (1×10⁶ cells/100 µL) were placed into 96-well microplate followed by starvation and incubation for 24 hours. The cells were treated with 100 µL of conjugated- and unconjugated- RIP-MJ nanoparticles at various concentrations. The cells were further incubated for 3 hours followed by washing with PBS.

The number of viable cells was ascertained with MTT reaction (3-(4,5-dimethyltiazol-2-il)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide), and measured at λ₅70 nm followed by IC₅₀ calculation (Mosmann, 1983; Lu et al., 2009). The effect of all treatments on the proliferation of T47D and Vero cells was expressed as the percentage (%) dead cell, using the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ dead cell} = \frac{\text{OD control cell} - \text{OD treated cell}}{\text{OD control cell}} \times 100\%
\]

Inhibitory concentration of 50% (IC₅₀) was determined by converting the percentage of dead cells using probit analysis.

Results and Discussion

Extraction and activity of RIP-MJ

RIP-MJ extraction process from fresh M. jalapa L. resulted of 38% proteins. The extract protein activity might be fully degraded by RIP-MJ. The result of 38% proteins.

Optimisation of alginate and low viscousity chitosan

Table 1. Optimisation of alginate and low viscousity chitosan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alginate Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Chitosan Concentration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mark shows the chosen formula

Table 2. Optimisation of Nanoparticle Formula Using BSA as Protein Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chitosan Concentration (%)</th>
<th>BSA Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Alginate Concentration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>opaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>precipitation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mark shows the chosen formula
Table 3. Formulation and Entrapment Efficiency of RIP-MJ nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alginate Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Chitosan Concentration (%)</th>
<th>RIP-MJ Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Solution Visualisation</th>
<th>Entrapment Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>clear*</td>
<td>98.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>clear</td>
<td>94.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>opaque</td>
<td>90.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mark shows the chosen formula

Figure 2. Polyelectrolyte Complexation by Alginate, chitosan, and RIP-MJ. Amine groups (-NH2) of chitosan bind to carboxyl groups (-COOH) of alginate, and RIP-MJ is encapsulated within the polymeric matrix

before (Figure 1a lane A-B) and after freeze-drying (Figure 1b lane A-B) showed the ability to cleave supercoiled DNA, as indicated by the formation of two DNA bands, linear and nick-circular DNA.

It was reported that protein fraction isolated from M. jalapa L. roots was able to cleave supercoiled DNA at a 2 mg proteins Ikaawati et al. (2002), while from M. jalapa L. seeds showed the activity at 1 mg proteins (Sudjadi et al., 2002). It was also reported that MJ-30 show the ability of supercoiled DNA cleavage at 1.52 µg proteins (Ikaawati et al. 2006). The amount of RIP-MJ needed to cleave supercoiled DNA in this study is much lower than that of the previous ones, probably because RIP-MJ was still crude extract, so that there are other proteins which increase the cleavage activity. Ling et al. (1994) suggested that RNA N-glycosidase activity of RIP somehow related to its ability to cleave the supercoiled DNA. The freeze-drying proces in this result, seemed not affected the RIP-MJ activity, that’s means that the protein seemed to have good stability. Ling et al. (1994) reported that chain A ricin still showed cleavage activity after boiled for 10 minutes. From this we can conclude that the change of temperature does not affect RIP activity.

Optimisation alginate-low viscousity chitosan nanoparticle formula

Nanoparticles were formulated through polyelectrolyte complex (PEC) method. The optimisation was conducted in two phases. Phase one was conducted to optimise the composition of polymers that was to form nanoparticle spontaneously. Table 1 shows the visualisation of chitosan and alginate mixture. The higher of the polymers concentration used in the mixture, the larger particles were formed. If the polymers were used in very high concentration, precipitation or gel aggregation could also be formed. Nanoparticles do not settle because of Brownian motion. Brownian motion is defined as random movement among particles in the suspension. Macro-particles settle out of suspension because gravity is stronger than Brownian motion among the particles. On the contrary, since the gravitational pull is not stronger than Brownian motion, gravity does not affect nanoparticle so that they do not easily settle and are relatively stable in a long time of storage (Gupta, 2006).

The formulas which were chosen from the first phase of optimisation used was 1% chitosan and 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1% alginate (Table 1). Those formulas formed clear solution right before precipitated at alginate concentration of 0.5%. All the chosen formulas used 1% chitosan since it was assumed that the higher the concentration of chitosan, the more amine groups provided to be conjugated with carboxyl group of alginate. The formulas that used 0.1 and 0.5% alginate gave different visualisation, therefore further optimisation used alginate in concentration of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5% (Table 2).

The formula that would be formulated further using RIP-MJ were 0.4% alginate, 1% chitosan, and 0.5% BSA. The formula using 0.4% alginate formed a suspension with smaller particle than the one using 0.5% alginate, therefore this formula was chosen to be further developed through conjugation with anti-EpCAM antibody.

Figure 3. The Role of Carbodiimide on the Conjugation Reaction of RIP-MJ Nanoparticle and Anti-EpCAM Antibody Using. EDAC forms amide bond between amine group and carboxyl group. In this process, carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM bound to amine groups of chitosan. (modified from East et al., 2011)
Conjugation and characterisation of anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticle

Anti-EpCAM antibody was chemically conjugated on the nanoparticle with EDAC based on carbodiimide reaction (Figure 3). EDAC forms amide bond between amine group and carboxyl group. After EDAC activated the carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM, the antibody was subsequently mixed in nanoparticle solution. In this process, carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM bound to amine groups of chitosan.

Antibody conjugation and nanoparticle characterisation

The conjugated antibody was mixed with polymer and stirred for 24 hours. Then, it was washed with water and dispersed in saline. The nanoparticles were precipitated with ammonium sulfate and further purified by dialysis. The conjugation efficiency was determined by measuring the content of free antibody in the supernatant of the dialysed solution.

Conjugation and characterisation of anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticle

Anti-EpCAM antibody was chemically conjugated on the nanoparticle with EDAC based on carbodiimide reaction (Figure 3). EDAC forms amide bond between amine group and carboxyl group. After EDAC activated the carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM, the antibody was subsequently mixed in nanoparticle solution. In this process, carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM bound to amine groups of chitosan.

Table 4. Characterization of anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity Index</th>
<th>Zeta Potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4% Alginate - 1%</td>
<td>130.73</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>+26.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan - 0.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP-MJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. IC50 value of RIP-MJ Nanoparticles Against T47D and Vero Cell Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>IC50 Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ</td>
<td>T47D 13.27 Vero 33.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nanoparticles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles</td>
<td>T47D 14.87 Vero 27.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native RIP-MJ</td>
<td>T47D 1,842,025 Vero 1,387,868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Morphology of Anti-EpCAM-Conjugated RIP-MJ Nanoparticles. TEM visualisation showed that the nanoparticles were hexagonal and not forming aggregation efficiency of those formulas was determined and the results are shown in Table 3.

Nanoparticle formula which used alginate 0.4%, chitosan 1% and RIP-MJ 0.05% (m/v) was chosen to be scaled-up due to its high EE. The nanoparticles were formed spontaneously through PEC between alginate and chitosan. The amine group of chitosan binds to carboxyl group of alginate. RIP-MJ was encapsulated within the polymer matrix thus leads to the formation of protein-loaded nanoparticles.

RIP-MJ nanoparticles showed high EE of 98.57% (Tabel 3). Sarmento et al. (2007) reported the EE of insulin-loaded alginate-chitosan nanoparticles was 72.8%. However, they used slightly different preparation method by preparing alginate-calcium chloride pre-gelation followed by chitosan complexation. Therefore, nanoparticle preparation method and the protein used in formulation affects the EE of nanoparticles.

Conjugation and characterisation of anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticle

Anti-EpCAM antibody was chemically conjugated on the nanoparticle with EDAC based on carbodiimide reaction (Figure 3). EDAC forms amide bond between amine group and carboxyl group. After EDAC activated the carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM, the antibody was subsequently mixed in nanoparticle solution. In this process, carboxyl groups of anti-EpCAM bound to amine groups of chitosan.

Anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles were formed with average size of 130.73 nm. RIP-MJ nanoparticles are on the appropriate size since nanoparticle made by soft polymer with melting point below 300oC are desired to be smaller than <300 nm (Gupta, 2006). Nanoparticle that smaller than 280 nm is also applicable to deliver drugs through capillary blood vessel (Lu et al., 2009).

Particle size might be affected by molecular weight of the polymers (Vauthier and Bouchenal, 2009),

Figure 5. Cytotoxic Effects of RIP-MJ Nanoparticles Against T47D and Vero Cell-Lines. T47D and Vero cells were treated with anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles, followed by incubation for 3 hours. The data was obtained with three measurements in one experiment. Anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated nanoparticle containing RIP-MJ at 16.67µg/mL was more cytotoxic to T47D compare to Vero cell-lines.

Figure 6. Cytotoxic Effects of Native RIP-MJ Against T47D and Vero Cell-Lines. T47D and Vero cells were treated with native RIP-MJ at various concentrations. Incubation was carried out for 3 hours. The data was obtained with three measurements in one experiment. At the concentration of 500 µg/mL, native RIP-MJ has low cytotoxicity against T47D and Vero with the inhibition of 17.71% and 19.87% respectively.
nanoparticle preparation method (Sundar et al., 2010), and polymer mixing order (Sæther et al., 2008). Mohanraj and Chen (2006) stated that particle size affects the loading capacity, drug release and stability of nanoparticles. Cellular uptake also depends on the particle size. The cellular uptake of the particles increased with decreasing size (Lu et al., 2009). Smaller particles also have larger surface area. Drugs loaded onto the particles are exposed to the surface so that they will be released faster (Sundar et al., 2010).

Polydispersity index (PI) shows the particle size distribution. According to Nidhin et al. (2008), monodispersed nanoparticles have PI value of 0.01-0.5 while polydispersed ones have PI values > 0.7. Therefore, RIP-MJ nanoparticles are classified as polydisperse since the PI value was 0.380.

Zeta potential shows not only the surface charge, but also the stability of RIP-MJ nanoparticles. Nanoparticles show good stability in suspension when the zeta potential above (+/-) 30 mV (Mohanraj and Chen, 2006). In this study, we used chitosan 1% and alginate 0.4%. In excess of chitosan, the obtained nanoparticles should have highly positive zeta potential (Sæther et al., 2008). Nevertheless, zeta potential of RIP-MJ nanoparticles was +26.36 mV (Table 4), in range between -30 mV and +30 mV. This could be due to the presence of RIP-MJ which probably affected the ionic interaction between alginate and chitosan during PEC formation. Nano-particle surface charge plays a crucial role in facilitating nanoparticle internalisation into the cell. Since the cell membrane consists of highly-anionic glycosaminoglycans, positive-charged nanoparticles will internalise into the cell more easily due to the ionic interactions (Hartig et al., 2007).

Figure 7. IC_{50} Value of RIP-MJ Nanoparticles Against T47D and Vero Cells-Lines. The IC_{50} values of anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles (Nano-Ab and Nano) on T47D cells are lower than that on Vero cells, indicating that RIP-MJ nanoparticles were considerably toxic to T47D cells than Vero cells. The IC50 values of RIP-MJ nanoparticles on both cell lines are also lower than that of native RIP-MJ nanoparticle.

Cytotoxicity of Anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticle on T47D and Vero Cells

The cytotoxic effects of anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticle were evaluated at T47D and Vero cells. The cytotoxicity assay was conducted to determine the toxicity of RIP-MJ nanoparticle on T47D and Vero cells, and to determine the role of anti-EpCAM in facilitating the nanoparticle to enter the cells.

Figure 5 shows that the percentage of dead cell decreases as the concentration of RIP-MJ in the nanoparticle decreases. Anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated nanoparticle containing RIP-MJ of 16.67 µg/mL were able to inhibit T47D cell proliferation of 63.71% and 60.62% cell proliferation respectively. At the same RIP-MJ concentration, anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles inhibit Vero cell proliferation of 26.13% and 30.04% respectively. Figure 5 also demonstrates that RIP-MJ nanoparticles inhibit more T47D cells than Vero cells.

Figure 6 demonstrates the percentage of dead T47D and Vero cells under the treatment of native RIP-MJ. At the highest concentration of native RIP-MJ (500 µg/mL), the proliferation of T47D and Vero cells were inhibited by 17.71% and 19.87% respectively.

Table 5 and Figure 7 demonstrate the comparison of IC_{50} value of T47D and Vero cells under the treatment of RIP-MJ nanoparticles and native RIP-MJ. T47D cell proliferation were inhibited by anti-EpCAM-conjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles with IC_{50} value of 13.269 µg/mL of loaded RIP-MJ concentration, while the IC_{50} value of T47D cells treated with unconjugated nano-particles is 14.868 µg/mL. On the other hand, the IC_{50} value of Vero cells treated with anti-EpCAM-conjugated and unconjugated RIP-MJ nanoparticles are 33.619 µg/mL and 27.841 µg/mL respectively. These results indicate that RIP-MJ nanoparticles were considerably toxic to T47D cells than Vero cells.

The IC_{50} values of both conjugated- and unconjugated-RIP-MJ nanoparticles are lower than that of native RIP-MJ on both cells (>500 µg/mL). The IC_{50} value of the cells that were treated with nanoparticles and native RIP-MJ are significantly different because native RIP-MJ were not probably be able to enter the cell in 3 hours. Cell membrane has low permeability to macromolecules (Torchilin dan Lukyanov, 2003), including protein such as RIP-MJ. Additionally, RIP-MJ is classified as type 1 RIP which is known to have difficulty in entering the cell (Barbieri et al., 1993).

RIP-MJ nanoparticles enter the cell through ionic interaction between nanoparticle surface and cell membrane. Cell membrane consists of highly anionic glycosaminoglycans while nanoparticle surface is positive-charged. The interaction between the two different charges facilitates RIP-MJ nanoparticles to enter the cell via endocytosis (Hartig et al., 2007). The same interaction does not probably occur between native RIP-MJ and cell membrane. The ionic interaction helps RIP-MJ nanoparticle to enter the cell within the incubation time. This explains the higher percentage of dead cell in RIP-MJ nanoparticle administration compared to that in the native RIP-MJ treatment.
Figure 7 proposed that the IC₅₀ of RIP-MJ nanoparticles were much lower than that of the native RIP-MJ. This phenomenon was related to the comparable size between the nanoparticles and native RIP-MJ. Moreover, as we mentioned before, the ionic interaction between the native RIP-MJ and cell surface was more difficult to occur. RIP-MJ nanoparticles will be easily passing through cell membrane and engulfed by lysosomes. Inside the lysosomes, chitosan was protonated and enzymatically degraded. The protonation induced the change of osmotic pressure leading to disruption of lysosome membrane so that the nanoparticles successfully entered the cytoplasm.

In addition, the protonation causes chitosan to swell yet still allow it to protect the encapsulated protein (Panyam and Labhasetwar, 2003; Hashimoto et al., 2005). Gan and Wang (2007) reported that nanoparticles enter the cell in 3 hours, after that the protein will be gradually released through a sequence of degradation in 6 hours. RIP-MJ nanoparticles were relatively small so that the protein could be rapidly released, so that RIP-MJ could induce the cell death in the next 24 hours. From this we can conclude that the formulation of RIP-MJ into nanoparticles increases the efficacy of the protein in entering cancer cells. The IC₅₀ of RIP-MJ nanoparticles on T47D were lower than on Vero cells, thus it was assumed that RIP-MJ nanoparticles preferably entered T47D cells than Vero cells. Nevertheless, the mechanism of this preference, whether it is due to the conjugated antibody on the nanoparticle surface, is still unknown. Sterzynska et al. (2012) reported that EpCAM clone AUA-1 was negatively expressed on T47D cells. It was assumed that the permeability of T47D cell membrane to RIP-MJ nanoparticle might be higher than that of Vero cells. Thus, the nanoparticles could easily penetrate to T47D cells leading to accumulation of nanoparticles in the cells.

In conclusion, Based on this study, it can be concluded that RIP-MJ could be formulated into nanoparticles for protein delivery in vitro. However, further study about the appropriate target for the therapy is needed to determine the selectivity of the therapy.
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