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Abstract

Background: Around half of input data in the global burden of disease cancer collaboration (GBD-CC) and GLOBOCAN projects come from low quality sources, mainly from developing countries. This may lead to loss of precision in estimates. Our question was: Are the absolute values and trends of the GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN estimates for lung cancer (LC) in Iran consistent with available statistics?. Materials and Methods: Incidence and mortality statistics were extracted from national reports (N.IRs & N.MRs) and GBD-CC (GBD-incidence & mortality) and GLOBOCAN databases for 1990-2013 where available. Trends were analyzed and absolute values and annual percentage changes (APCs) were estimated and compared. Incompleteness of case ascertainment at the Iranian national cancer registry and Iranian national civil registration was assessed for better understanding. Results: Trends of N.IRs were significantly rising for males (APC: 19.4; 95% CI: 12.5-26.7) and females (23.2; 16.0-30.8). Trends of GBD-incidence were stable for males (-0.2; -1.5-1.1) and females (-1.0; -2.3-0.4). Absolute N.IRs were less than GBD-incidence steadily except for 2009. Trend of N.MRs was increasing up to 2004, but stable thereafter. Trends of GBD-mortality were also stable. Absolute N.MRs were less than GBD-mortality for years up to 2003 and more than GBD-mortality since 2005. The estimates of GLOBOCAN were more than N.IRs and N.MRs. Conclusions: The GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN values for LC in Iran are underestimates. Generation of data quality indices to present along with country specific estimates is highly recommended.
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Introduction

The GLOBCAN and Global Burden of Disease-Cancer Collaboration (GBD-CC) are the first two major efforts to measure global burden of cancer. Both of them have used cancer incidence and mortality statistics from different sources including published data in the Cancer Incidence in Five Continents (CI5) reports, mainly for developed countries, and also other national or subnational reports, mainly for developing countries (GLOBOCAN 2012, 2013a; Fitzmaurice et al., 2015). However, most data which are not eligible to publish in CI5, suffer from serious data quality shortages.

As a reality, low qualified input data, which is not avoidable in global scope, may leads to lose of precision of output estimates. Considering questionable quality of around half of data which has been used by the GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN, a debate arises: “May the GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN estimates have been affected by low qualified input data?” The debate continues after surprising estimates presented in “The global burden of cancer 2013” regarding cancer incidence and mortality in Iran. It reports the highest decrease in cancer incidence and also one of the highest decease rate in cancer mortality for Iran during recent decades (Fitzmaurice et al., 2015). How is it possible? Iran is experiencing rising trends of incidence and mortality for the most of common cancers (Farahmand et al., 2009; Pourhoseingholi et al., 2011; Haidari et al., 2012; Rahimi and Heidari, 2012; Fazeli et al., 2013; Safavi et al., 2015), population ageing (Pourmalek et al., 2009; Noroozian, 2012) and rising prevalence of unhealthy lifestyle (Mohammad et al., 2001; Kelishadi et al., 2008; Farzadfar et al., 2011), and also absence of an strong long-term cancer control program.

We believe that this inconsistency may be a result of lack of a reliable population- based representative cancer registry in Iran and consequently, imprecise data which has been used by GBD-CC. Herein, the GLOBOCAN and GBD-CC estimates of lung cancer incidence and mortality in Iran were compared with available national and local data from Iran, to answer if the estimates are consistent with actual data?
Materials and Methods

Data sources

Incidence rates (IRs) for LC were extracted from 1) the Iranian national cancer registry (IR.NCR) reports for 2003-2009 (2004; 2008; Mousavi et al., 2009; 2010; Khosravi A et al., 2013; Vaheedi et al., 2013); 2) the official annual reports by known ongoing regional population-based cancer registries including Golestan, Ardabil and Tehran (Sadjadi et al., 2003; Semnani et al., 2007; Semnani et al., 2008; Babaei et al., 2009; Mohagheghi et al., 2009; Semnani et al., 2010; Roshandel et al., 2012); and 3) Published articles from population-based cancer surveys conducted at Semnan, Kerman and East Azerbaijan (Babaei et al., 2005; Somi et al., 2008; Sadjadi A, 2007). Estimated age-standardized incidence rates (ASIRs) by the GLOBOCAN 2002, 2008, 2012 were also extracted (2012, 2013c).

Mortality rates of LC were extracted from the Iranian national civil registration (IR.NDR) reports for 1995-2010 and official reports by the Golestan and Ardabil population based cancer registries if were available (2004; 2008; Mousavi et al., 2009; 2010; Khosravi et al., 2013; Vaheedi et al., 2013). Estimated mortality rates for Iran were also extracted from the GBD database for 1990-2013 and also the GLOBOCAN for 2002, 2008, 2012 (2012, 2013c).

Data manipulation and analysis

Data were manipulated in three main sections. In first section, IRs and crude mortality rates (MRs) (hereafter: N.IRs and N.MRs) were retrieved in MS Excel software from the GBD and N.MRs were graphed using MS Excel software. Registration improvements (at 2007-2009 for N.IRs and at 2004-2005 for N.MRs). Observed and predicted N.IRs and N.MRs were estimated after removing the effect of the major registration improvements (2007-2009 for N.IRs and 2004-2005 for N.MRs). Observed and predicted N.IRs and N.MRs were graphed using MS Excel software.

In second section, estimated MRs of LC in Iran were also retrieved in MS Excel software from the GBD database. These MRs (hereafter: GBD-mortality) were used to calculate IRs by year (hereafter: GBD-incidence), using following equation (Parkin and Bray, 2009):

\[
\text{GBD-}\text{Incidence}=\frac{\text{GBD-mortality}}{(1-\text{survival})} \quad \text{Equation 1}
\]

Five-year survival rate of the LC in Iran was assumed 1% at 1990, with a 0.5% increase per year, up to 12.5% at 2013 (Zahir and Mirtalebi, 2012; Gelband et al., 2015).

Trends of the GBD-incidence and mortality rates were analyzed using logarithmic Poisson Joinpoint regression and APCs were estimated by genders.

In last section, the extracted data from the GLOBOCAN and regional registries or surveys were tabulated, in three time periods. Mortality: Incidence (M:I) ratios were estimated for regional and national registries and completeness of case ascertainment at the IR.NCR were estimated based on the following equation, if applicable:

\[
\text{C}=\frac{\text{N.ASIRs}}{\text{Average of reported local ASIRs}} \quad \text{Equation 2}
\]

Results

Trends of N.IRs were significantly rising for males (APC: 19.4; 95% CI: 12.5, 26.7) and females (APC: 23.2; 95% CI: 16.0, 30.8), even after exclusion of effect of registration improvement. There was a significant change point in trend of N.IRs in males at 2007. Trends of GBD-incidence were stable for males (APC: -0.2; 95% CI: -1.5, 1.1) and females (APC: -1.0; 95% CI: -2.3, 0.4) (Fig 1, A). Trend line of N.IRs caught the trend line of GBD-incidence at 2009. Accordingly, absolute N.IRs were steadily less than GBD-incidence up to 2009.

There was a significant change point in trends of N.MRs of LC at 2004. Trends of LC mortality in males and females were increasing up to this point, but trends were stable for males (APC: 0.06; 95% CI: -4.0, 3.3) and females (APC: -0.09; 95% CI: -3.2, 3.4) since 2004. Trends of GBD-mortality were stable for males (APC: -0.7; 95% CI: -2.0, 0.5) and decreasing for females (APC: -1.5; 95% CI: -2.8, -0.2) (Figure 1B).

Trend lines of N.MRs and GBD- mortality crossed each other at 2004. Accordingly, absolute N.MRs were less than GBD-mortality for years up to 2003 and more than GBD-mortality since 2005.

The GLOBOCAN estimates for ASIRs increased slowly in males and females from 2002 to 2012 (Table 1). There was no local report for 2008 and thereafter, but in earlier years, each of the Golestan and Ardabil registries reported slightly increasing trends of ASIRs, up to 2008 (Table 1). Based on the Golestan registry for 2004-2007, trend of MRs had been decreasing in males, and increasing in females.

Maximum M:I ratios were 0.47 in Golestan registry;

Table 1. Available data on Lung Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardabil</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golestan</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semnan</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Azerbaijan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOBOCAN</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness*</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Completeness= N.ASIRs/ Average of ASIRs by local population-based reports
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and 0.62 in Ardabil registry. However, for the GLOBOCAN estimates, M:I ratios was 0.76. According to the national reports, M:I ratio was more than 1 and exceed 2.28 (Table 1).

Discussion

We presented an updated overview of incidence and mortality rates of LC in Iran, using available data from the IR.NCR, IR.NDR and GBD-CC estimates. In opposite to stability of GBD- incidence, gender-specific trends of N.IRs of LC were increasing. Despite of increasing trends of N.MRs up to 2004, trends of N.MRs and GBD-mortality were stable and similar since 2004. Absolute values of N.MRs were more than the GBD-CC estimates since 2004. Absolute N.IRs were steadily less than GBD-incidence except for 2009, when the N.IRs and GBD-CC were equal.

Trend line of N.IRs caught the trend line of the GBD-incidences at 2009, due to a positive shift in N.IRs at that point of time. This shift is a result of improvement of completeness of case ascertainment at IR.NCR in 2008 (Lankarani et al., 2013). In addition to this shift, it seems that increasing trends of N.IRs could be justified by slight improvements in IR.NCR coverage and accessibility of diagnostic methods (2004; 2008; Mousavi et al., 2009; 2010).

Increasing trend of N.MRs may be partly because of improvement of death registration at IR.NDR up to 2004 (Khosravi et al., 2007a; Khosravi A et al., 2013). However, sudden stability of this trend since 2004, may be not a real pattern, as LC is a non-communicable disease with a long time induction period.

According to a previous study by a well-known team of Iranian cancer researcher, completeness of cases ascertainment at IR.NCD had been 26% for LC in years up to 2007 (Zendehdel et al., 2011). Therefore, with a rough estimate, if reported N.IR had been 4.2 (per 100000; for males in 2007), its real estimate would be around 16 per 100000. Now consider reported N.IR at 2009 (8.5 per 100000 in males), after the major improvement of IR.NCR. It is equal with 53% of expected real IR (16 per 100000). If this justification be true or relatively true, then the GBD–incidence and GLOBOCAN estimates would be less than 50% of the true value of LC incidence in Iran.

In support of high incompleteness at IR.NCR, our results show that, if one could assume a 100% completeness of case ascertainment in regional population-based reports, then completeness of IR.NCR may be less than 40%, in case of LC registration. However, based on the study results, assuming a 100% completeness in regional registries may not be a reasonable assumption. As estimated M:I ratios at the Golestan registry were implausibly lower than 0.3. This surprisingly means a 70% survival rate for LC patients in Golestan, while maximum survival rates of LC have been reported in high income countries as 30% and 10-20% in developing countries (Gelband et al., 2015). The M:I ratio was 2.28 for the IR.NCR, which could interpret as a strong evidence of incompleteness of case ascertainment at this registry (Parkin and Bray, 2009).

A similar justification is defensible, regarding

Figure 1. Trends of Lung Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Iran According to the National and Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration Estimates. A. Incidence; B. Mortality; IR.NDR, the Iranian National Civil Registration; IR.NCR, the Iranian National Cancer Registry; *significantly different from zero (P-value<0.05); #calculated according to GBD-mortality and survival rates for LC patients.
comparison of the absolute values of N.MRs and GBD-
mortality and GLOBOCAN estimates, considering
previously reported underestimation or misclassifications
at IR.NDR in several reports (Mathers et al., 2005;
Naghavi, 2005; Khosravi et al., 2007a; 2007b; 2013).

Therefore, if one wants to use LC incidence and
mortality rates, reported by IR.NCR and IR.NDR, she
inevitably needs to consider a 60-70% of incompleteness
in LC incidence estimates (Zendehdel et al., 2011; Maryam
et al., 2015) and also at least 20-40% of underreporting
or misclassification of cause of death in LC mortality
estimates (Khosravi et al., 2007a; Maryam et al., 2015).

As we showed, the GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN
estimates are meaningfully less than expected LC
incidence and mortality in Iran. This underestimation is
mainly due to lack of a representative national cancer
registry in Iran and perhaps simplistic methodology,
mainly because of their global scope, which have been
adopted by the GBD-CC and GLOBOCAN to generate
final input data in case of Iran.

In GLOBOCAN project, data provided by the IR.NCR,
Golestan, Ardabil, East Azerbaijan and Tehran registries
were used for estimation. Then, final incidence rates have
been generated by population weighted averaging on
abovementioned data. Then, estimated incidences have
been used for estimation of mortality rates (2012, 2013b).
We believe that, this procedure in not the best, because
of presence of a serious incompleteness in national and
may be in other regional registries (Zendehdel et al., 2011;
Maryam et al., 2015).

In GBD-CC project, the IR.NDR data (1980 to
2010) have been used in addition of the input data by
GLOBOCAN, except for data reported by Tehran and
East Azerbaijan, to generate estimations for Iran. Then,
incidence based mortality rates were estimated. We could
not find any procedure in the GBD-CC methodology
regarding correction for incompleteness of input data,
except for a weighted averaging procedure in which
IR.NCR get a full weight (2015; Fitzmaurice et al.,
2015), by this incompleteness of IR.NCR has been
ignored. In an e-mail conversation with GBD-CC team,
they explained that the observed decreases in the cancer
incidence and mortality estimates for Iran are due to an
age standardization. Thus we used crude rates in our study.

Accordingly, we believe that providing alarming data
quality indices is essential, to keep in mind by users of
the GLOBOCAN and GBD databases. Such indices are
generated and available along with the GLOBOCAN
estimates. In addition, GLOBOCAN readers are able to
see how country specific final data have been generated
(Mathers et al., 2005; 2012, 2013a). As we know, no
data quality index has been presented in GBD-CC
reports regarding final country specific estimates (2015;
Fitzmaurice et al., 2015).

We compared LC statistics in a country without
a national population based cancer registry with respective
estimated statistics by two global projects. Therefore,
our conclusion may be only generalizable to the GBD-
CC and GLOBOCAN estimates for countries without a
representative cancer data. This study is not to criticize the
value of the GBD-CC or GLOBOCAN projects, but only
a call for reporting data quality indices along with cancer
estimates in developing world, as a short time action.

In conclusion, absolute values of the GBD-CC and
GLOBOCAN estimates of lung cancer incidence and
mortality in Iran are underestimated. Trends of these
values are inconsistent with those drown from national or
subnational available data. Accordingly, it is most probable
that the GLOBOCAN and GBD-CC estimates were
affected by low qualified data from developing countries,
generation of data quality indices which presented along
with country specific estimates is recommended.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Aliakbar Haghdooost and
Dr. Kazem Zendedd in for their valuable comments.

References

incidence and mortality in Ardabil: Report of an ongoing
population-based cancer registry in Iran, 2004-2006. Iran J
Public Health, 38, 35-45.

in Semnan Province, Iran: results of a population-based
cancer registry. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 6, 159-64.

Trend of bladder cancer in Fars province, southern Iran,

and subnational mortality effects of metabolic risk factors
and smoking in Iran: a comparative risk assessment. Popul
Health Metr, 9, 1.

cancer mortality trend in Iran, from 1995 to 2004. Iran J
Cancer Prev, 6, 170.

The global burden of cancer 2013. eAppendix. JAMA oncol,
1, 505-27.

Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package
of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-
income countries: key messages from Disease Control
Priorities. Lancet, 387, 2133-44.

GHDx. 2015. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 (GBD 2013)
Data Citations, Iran [Online]. Institute for Health Metrics
and Evaluation.

GLOBOCAN. 2013a. Data sources and Methods [Online].
France, Lyon: IARC.

GLOBOCAN. 2013b. Data Sources and Methods, IR Iran

GLOBOCAN. 2013c. Incidence/ Mortality Rates: Cancer by

of gastric cancer incidence in Iran and its six geographical
areas during 2000-2005. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 13,
3335-41.

associated lifestyle behaviours in Iran: findings from the first
national non-communicable disease risk factor surveillance

mortality in Iran. Popul Health Metr, 5, 1.

the islamic republic of Iran, 1964-2004. Bull World Health
Organ, 85, 607-14.
Can We Rely on the GLOBOCAN and GBD Cancer Estimates? Case of Lung Cancer Rates and Trends in Iran


