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Abstract

Breast cancer is the first or second malignancy among women worldwide. Illness perception (IP) and quality of life (QoL) are major issues considering breast cancer management. An attempt was here made to inspect the predictive variables influencing IP and their impact on QoL in cancer patients. The key predictors adapted from previous studies including life satisfaction, perceived social support, self-esteem, hope, optimism, and spiritual well being were taken into account. Our sample included 200 female volunteers suffering from breast cancer applying exclusion criteria. The data were collected using various questionnaires and statistically analyzed by means of path analysis and structural equation modeling. The results revealed that of the six predictors, spiritual well being and social support had direct effects on QoL and IP. The only path with significant indirect correlation with IP was social support. Spiritual well being had the second significant direct effect on IP. Self-esteem occupied the third rank in direct effects on both QoL and IP. In conclusion, here in Iran and most likely in other strongly religious communities, spiritual intervention is an effective strategy to raise QoL. Also social support helps women suffering from breast cancer to experience better conception and coping strategies.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the second malignancy common among women throughout the world and is still the heading reason for women’s untimely death over the last decades in some countries (Taghavi et al., 2012). As a result of improvements in early diagnosis, surgery and adjuvant therapies, the number of breast cancer deaths has significantly decreased and it turned into the most prevalent female cancer. In Iran, breast cancer is the first malignancy among both sexes with age standard rate (ASR) around 30 per 100,000 (Taghavi et al., 2012). Therefore, foremost psychosocial problems are highly evident during the diagnosis, treatment and follow up period albeit these problems can be reduced to some extent by psychological interventions (Carlsen, Jensen, Jacobsen, Krasnik, and Johansen, 2005). This has called for growing research interest on Quality of life (QoL) in cancer patients suffering from cancer (Härtl et al., 2010). More recent studies on behavioral phase of cancer, nevertheless, are inclined to go further than the concept of QoL. Recent theories and their clinical applications, psychological in nature yet practical in medicine, focus on self-regulation (Kaptein et al., 2011). Therefore, some encouraging psychological approaches focus on patients’ attitudes towards their illness (Stafford, Berk, and Jackson, 2009). The Self-Regulation Model (SRM), expanded by Leventhal (Leventhal, Brissette, and Leventhal, 2003), covered illness perceptions and coping strategies as determinants of QoL (Kaptein et al., 2011). Fundamentally, the SRM implies that people sort out their beliefs about illness around five elements (briefly introduced in the following paragraph), which have been termed Illness Perceptions (Aalto, Heijmans, Weinman, and Aro, 2005).

These components consist of thoughts about (a) identity, i.e. the symptoms the patient links with the illness, (b) cause, (c) consequences, (d) timeline, i.e. how long the patient considers the illness will last, and (e) cure/control. Although, the patient’s beliefs somehow determine the QoL, rather slight work has explored their determinants in homogenous patient groups (Aalto et al., 2005). Moreover, while the self regulatory theory has accepted the fact that IP is affected by many factors, it has not been clearly established how IP is developed and how it might be...
modified (Aalto et al., 2005). Leventhal (Leventhal et al., 2003) has proposed that IP is affected by a range of personal, cultural and social factors. The significance of this study lies in the fact that, unlike previous ones, it clarifies the factors affecting both IP and QoL. Given that the patients’ attitudes towards illness build up higher QoL, we aim to examine some predictable variables affecting IP as a median and QoL as a criterion for breast cancer patients. Since the mentioned studies were on the basis of other countries’ patients and mainly on the vernacular population, they were not applicable for the Iranian patients.

We took into consideration the past important variables among other studies such as optimism, satisfaction with life, hope, self-esteem, spiritual well being, and perceived social support (Aalto et al., 2005; Butow et al., 2000; Foster et al., 2008; Frostholm et al., 2007; Härtl et al., 2010; Rifkin, Doddi, Karagji, and Pollack, 1999).

This model can be possibly applied as a pointer for preventing the frustrating perception of illness on the breast cancer patients in a practical manner for the women suffering from the illness in the region. The model is illustrated in Figure 1.

Materials and Methods

The present study was a non-experimental one, to be more precise, a correlation study. Considering our hypothesis, instead of regression, path analysis was employed to reach optimal results of the default model. Path analysis allows for model verification and establishment of the relation among variables. To address the main question put forward by the study, whether the specified model of QoL in breast cancer patients fits the experimental data or not, we have applied path analysis and Lisrel software version 8.7.

The population included 200 women ages between 25 and 65 and one case 18, suffering from breast cancer being treated by surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy, either separately or combined. The sampling in the present study was carried out selectively. To do this, among the women receiving treatment from different health centers, some were selected in non probability and they all consciously agreed to sign the Consent Form.

Considering the goal of the research, the used instrument was a questionnaire. For assessing our six predictor variables (Satisfaction with life, optimism, spiritual well being, hope, perceived social support and self-esteem) plus one median variable (illness perception) and one criterion variable (QoL), we applied different appropriate questionnaires which will be described shortly afterwards. In finalizing the questionnaire, the classical measure analysis was conducted: field item analysis, reliability and validity tests. To determine the validity of the questionnaire, we investigated the face, content and construct validities. For instance, to find out the face and content validity of our instrument, we collected the viewpoints of some elites of the field until the researcher became sure of the reliability of the study. Meanwhile, the construct validity of the questionnaires has been investigated by the confirmatory factor analysis.

Quality of life

The EORTC QLQ-C23 (the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QoL Questionnaire) is a QoL questionnaire (Fayers and Bottomley, 2002) containing 23 questions, organized into scales consisting of an overall health standing scale, 5 functional scales, and 9 symptom scales. For the general health and functional scales, higher scores specify better general health and functioning. For the symptom scales, higher score is a sign of aggravated symptoms. The Iranian version of the EORTC QLQ-C23 was developed following precise EORTC translation process and has been validated for breast cancer (Montazeri et al., 2008).

Illness Perception

In the present study, we have chosen the Brief IP

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Condensation Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 60</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital status</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Condensation Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>married</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Descriptive Statistics Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard error of mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illness perception</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Spiritual well being

The Spiritual well being scale (SWBS) developed by Paloutzian is one of foremost applied tools in psychology. The 20 item scale is composed of two subscales which contains religious well-being subscale and the existential well-being subscale.

In the cases in which the patient was illiterate, was not able to read without wearing glasses or was not willing to read and answer the questionnaire thoroughly, the researcher had to read the questions and mark the answers by herself. On the whole, 176 questioners were reliable enough to be used in the study. It is noteworthy that before analysing any data, we made sure of the accuracy of the filled questionnaire by data screening in an attempt to reach this significant goal: Eliminating patients who left out more than 20% of the questions blank and eliminating patients who had the parallel answer patterns as well. The analyses were executed on 176 valid questionnaires. For the next step, we improved or removed outlier data. From the hypothesis of parametric data gathering, normal and homogenious nature was studied. Of course, since almost all of the data is in Likert scale and from the theoretical view is in ordinal scale, we took them into consideration. The final step in data screening has been replacing blank left out questions and to do this

Table 3. Correlation Matrix of Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QoL</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Optimism</th>
<th>Hope</th>
<th>Social support</th>
<th>Self esteem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illness perception</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. support</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction with Life

For assessing satisfaction with life, satisfaction with life scale has been used, raised and developed by Diener (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, and Griffin, 1985). It includes 3 factors; life satisfaction, negative and positive effect. These factors were revealed in 5 items on the basis of “semantic similarity” (Diener et al., 1985). Respondents are to rate each item using a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Item ratings are summed up to provide a total score ranging from 5 - 35 where higher scores are indicative of greater life satisfaction. (Diener et al., 1985; Pavot and Diener, 1993).

Self-esteem

Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale is a ten item Likert scale with items answered on a four point scale - from strongly agree to strongly disagree (Rosenberg, Rosenberg, and McCord, 1978).

Figure 1. Path diagram: A Pictorial Representation of the Model
we utilized Expectation-Maximization (EM) method.

Results

The characteristics of the study samples are shown in Table 1. Moreover, 49.4% of them consisted of housewives and 50.6% were working women. As can be seen in Table 2, from the predictor variables the prominent mean is satisfaction with life and the lowest mean is spiritual well-being. The results of path analysis showed degrees of correlation between the variables (Table 3).

The results in Table 3 show that in 95% level, there is a meaningful correlation between all variables except optimism and social support with the QoL, and social support with IP (p<0.05). The initial founding data for these indices show unacceptable model fit, so, the model has been revised and the modifications are meaningful. In Table 3 the most important indices of fitting test of the conceptual model is summarized.

Regarding that each of the presented indices is not merely enough for passing judgment on the fitness or unfitness of the model, it is essential that these indices be juxtaposed. Table 3 shows that after applying the necessary improvements, the fit model indices have been modified in an appropriate state, and as a result the research hypothesis is hereby answered. For the next step in the final (modified) model, the standard coefficient path (β) is reported.

Path coefficient test in Figure 2 shows that the whole standard path coefficient (the direct effects of all predictors on IP and QoL) was significant in 95% level, except for the direct effect of optimism and hope on IP and perceived social support on QoL as well. (p<0.05) moreover, in order to modify the final model, the direct path from hope to QoL and satisfaction with life to IP were eliminated; so that no amount of β is acquired for those two paths. Another important issue that the present study is trying to address is to estimate the indirect effect of predictor on QoL with a mediation of IP as a median variable. The results of indirect effect testing by Lisrel software showed that only indirect effect of perceived social support on QoL with the mediation of IP is significant (β=0.1) and the indirect effect of other predictors are not significant on QoL (p>0.05). Also most of the variables are significant. In order to indicate a “large” direct effect or a “small” one, we employed significance tests. The result of tests reflects the absolute magnitudes of path coefficients.

Discussion

Based on World Health Organization regulation (Sulmasy, 2002) the aspects for comprehensive health are biological, psychological, social and spiritual dimensions. The spiritual aspect has been the center of attention through the science history and more attention in Abrahamic religions such as Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. It is well accepted that spiritual health affects the quality of life and even the life expectancy and quantity of life. Also in our study the results revealed among the six predictors spiritual well being has the highest direct effect on QoL in Iranian women and the second significant effect on QoL. A possible explanation for that is spirituality helps people to rely on a superior force to fight against the negative effects of stress and to better manage the disease. It also directs people to go beyond the corporeality of life to find meaningful aspiration for their life. Considering the religious context (Iranian people deeply believe in the spiritual dimension of life), it will ensure a higher impact on QoL. This result is consistent with other past studies. Spirituality has been an important issue in the last decade which has attracted the attention of mental health professionals. Based on some previous studies, spirituality is a strong predictor of mental health and well being through which social support influences health by regulating the persons’ evaluation of the tenseness of the situation. Social support has been related to perception of stress (Helgeson and Cohen, 1996). A chronic illness can be seen as a source of stress caused by its adaptation difficulties (Fallah, Golzari, Dastani, and Akbari, 2011; Vermandere et al., 2011).When people believe that there is a source of power and energy that loves them on which they can rely, they find a higher sense of control over events and feel less fear and apprehension of the consequences of disease. They come along with the time line of illness more easily, and experience fewer negative thoughts and emotions (Ritkin et al., 1999). Meraviglia found that some cancer patients confess that spirituality can increase withstanding the physical and psychological crisis that followed the diagnosis and treatment of cancer (Meraviglia, 2006). Moreover, it has been observed in women with breast cancer who seek spirituality, it can be viewed as an effective source for coping with negative physical and psychological responses to breast cancer. The perceived social support has a direct effect on IP and also the highest indirect effect on QoL. To explain...
this, we might point out the theory of social support, which suggest that one possible way for sufferers is evaluation of illness affected by support received from others. This result is also consistent with other research; Helgesen and Cohen (1996) showed among cancer patients receiving social support, it acts as a shield against the negative consequences of cancer treatment. One of the key roles of the family and the medical team is to create a supportive environment. Supportive measures improve coping strategies people use to deal with stress which affect health and QoL by protecting the individuals against the negative consequences of stress. Self-esteem has third rank in both direct effect on QoL and IP. One explanation for the significant relationship between self-esteem and IP is that people with high self-esteem, feel greater control over their disease process and treatment and at diagnosis they are less prone to panic. Cohen and Lazarus (1983) showed people with high self esteem believe that they have the capabilities necessary to meet the needs of a threatening situation and thus turn it to a challenge. Also about the relation between self-esteem and QoL, Brinden et al (2002), showed people who have lower self-esteem, willingness to set goals are also less likely to achieve objectives. They are elusive from communicating with others and have more fears of their audience and ultimately they will have weaker self-concept. In conflict people with high self-esteem is more receptive and honest and can easily communicate with others to establish the better relation. This relationship will serve to reinforce their positive self concept and help to raise the QoL. The fourth order belongs to optimism on direct effect of QoL and after that satisfaction with life plays its role. Optimism is the point of view that good things are likely to happen. Optimists are liable to experience life’s difficulties with less misery than do pessimists (Scheier and Carver, 1992). They are also likely to have better health habits, better mental and physical health, and faster curing when they become sick in comparison with pessimists. Psychology’s ultimate goal is to improve the quality of human life through the processes of human cognition and behavior. People who have high sense of satisfaction with life feel much more fun and enjoy their lives (Diener, Oishi, and Lucas, 2003). Life satisfaction is remarkable from an emotional assessment view in that it is more cognitively than emotionally driven. Life satisfaction can affect all-purpose region of life. People who are satisfied with life are more patient and feel more relaxed in facing and coping with health problems and they are better at dealing with health difficulties (Diener et al., 2003). No significant direct effects of optimism and hope with IP have been seen. Although, Optimism is often associated with better immune functioning and optimists keep on in tough labors to control stressful conditions (Roy et al., 2010). Some research found some controversial results. Weinstein (Weinstein et al., 2003) studied how optimistic people view their future health by asking them, some questions. These results termed as unrealistically optimistic, this optimism is based on illogical ideas—for instance, some people think they are at lower risk than other people if the health problem happens rarely. Unrealistic optimism causes over-optimism in many individuals, leading them to think that protection is not so necessary anymore. The direct path from hope to QoL was omitted in order to modify the model. The linear relation with the IP and the QoL was significant. These results are compatible with previous studies and QoL has the most powerful effect on QoL between the first 6 predictors. Recent studies of Petri showed that IP is associated with self-management behaviors and QoL in chronic disease (Petrie, Jago, and Devich, 2007) Kapti et al (2011) reveal IP in Huntington patients strongly affects the physical and mental well-being. Ponz et al (2006) showed in Diabetes type II patients’ perception of short time line and control of illness has a positive effect on self management behavior. Scharlau et al (2005) revealed the significant relation between IP and QoL in different kind of cancer patients.

Although, nowadays more studies are designed to focus on illness perception behavioral and emotional outcomes; little work has been done to investigate impact factors of those components. The new area for researchers is to identify and introduce important factors which are related or affected illness perception and also are important for interventions and those consequential outcomes such as quality of life. In future, interventions should be designed to target the most important personal, cultural and social factors which shape IP. Our model has the capability to specify key factors effect on IP and QoL. As a result we can apply it as guidance on how preventive interventions should target these factors or more others to influenced IP and QoL after that. In recent decades spirituality and spiritual care is validated in some countries. Here in Iran it can be referred to specialists as a powerful tool. In addition, social support always has been on top of consideration and has proven its advantage. More research is needed to identify the accuracy of the model, and whether other else factors are affected.

Conclusion: Managing the breast cancer patients in an established manner needs to be more comprehensive for health care regarding more attention to spiritual, social and psychological aspects to get better outcome for quality and quantity of life for the patients and care givers.
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