Introduction

Tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship activities increase tobacco consumption and usage, especially among youth. Despite the regulation on prohibiting advertisement of any tobacco product, tobacco advertisement and promotion activities are still common in Vietnam. This article presents current exposure to tobacco advertising and promotion (TAP) among school children aged 13 to 15 years in Vietnam in 2014 and potential influencing factors. Data from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey 2014 in Vietnam covering 3,430 school aged children were used. Both descriptive and analytical statistics were carried out with Stata 13 statistical software. Binary logistic regression was applied to explain the exposure to TAP among youth and examine relationships with individual factors. A significance level of p<0.05 and sampling weights were used in all of the computations. In the past 30 days, 48.6% of the students experienced exposure to at least 1 type of tobacco advertising or promotion. Wearing or otherwise using products related to tobacco was the most exposure TAP type reported by students (22.3%). The internet (22.1), points of sales (19.2) and social events (11.5) were three places that students aged 13-15 frequently were exposed to TAP. Binary logistic results showed that gender (female vs male) (OR = 0.61, 95%CI: 0.52 - 0.71), susceptibility to smoking (OR = 2.12, 95%CI: 1.53 - 2.92), closest friends’ smoked (OR = 1.43, 95%CI: 1.2 - 1.7) and parents smoking status (OR = 2.83, 95%CI: 1.6 - 5.01) were significantly associated with TAP exposure among school-aged children. The research findings should contribute to effective implementation of measures for preventing and controlling tobacco use among students aged 13-15 in Viet Nam.
the study also revealed that smokers were more likely to feel smoking attractive than those who had not smoke (Xiao et al., 2015).

Vietnam is one of 15 leading countries having the highest rate of adult male smokers in the world (Ministry of Health, 2010). The Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) conducted in Vietnam in 2007 showed the prevalence of smoking among students aged 13-15 was 3.3%, 5.9% among males and 1.2% among females (Ministry of Health, 2007). This prevalence was low compare to other countries in Western Pacific such as Guam (25%), Lao PRD (8%), and Philippines (9%) (World Lung Foundation, 2015), however, this issue should not be neglected because the tobacco industry in Vietnam has been investing extensively to promote smoking among adolescents even though Vietnam applied the Tobacco Control Law, in which, TAP had prohibited (VN National Assembly, 2012b; VN National Assembly, 2012a).

Prevention and control of tobacco use are priority public health issues in Vietnam, especially regarding school-aged students. Scientific information on exposure to tobacco advertising and promotion among youth and factors influenced these exposure should increase our understanding to contribute to the combat against the tobacco use problem.

Materials and Methods

Data source

Data used in this paper were obtained from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) conducted in Vietnam in 2014. The Viet Nam’s GYTS 2014 is a school-based cross-sectional survey with secondary school and high school children aged 13-15 (grades of 8-10). 13 provinces represented for 6 geographic areas in Vietnam were selected by US Center for Disease Control (CDC). Two-stage cluster sample design was used to produce a representative sample of students in grades 8-10. A total of 3430 school children aged 13-15 years were selected for the survey. More detailed information can be found in the method paper (Giang et al., 2016).

Measurements

GYTS survey included core questionnaires that provided by the CDC; countries may add more specific questions based on the local situation and context. 6 types of exposure to TAP among school aged children were defined through 6 questions: (1) During the past 30 days, did you see any advertisement or promotion on tobacco product at point of sale such as store, shops...? (2) During the past 30 days, did you see any advertisement on tobacco products at sport events, concerts, community events or social gatherings? (3) Have you ever used or worn something such as lighter, t-shirt, hat or sunglasses with Tobacco Company or tobacco product name and branch? (4) During the past 30 days, did you see any advertisement on tobacco products on the internet? (5) Have you ever receive the voucher at any shop or tobacco companies when buying tobacco products? (6) Has any person working for tobacco company ever invite you a free tobacco product?. Exposure to TAP was defined as a student answered “yes” to any type of TAP listed above.

Independent variables included: (1) Ever smoke status: ever smoke or never smoke any kind of tobacco (cigarette, shisha, E-tobacco, smokeless...); (2) Susceptibility to smoking: based on 2 question: (a) “If 1 of your best friends offered you a cigarette, would you smoke it?”, (b) “During the next 12 months, do you think you will smoke any form of tobacco product?”. Possible responses were “definitely not,” “probably not,” “probably yes,” and “definitely yes.” Students who had never smoked and answered “definitely not” to both above questions were considered to be non-susceptible; all other students were considered to be susceptible; (3) Demographic variables: age; sex; geographical region; parents smoking status; closest friend smoking status.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive and statistical analyses with percentages and 95% confidence interval (CI) were performed using IBM SPSS 22.0. The relationship between independent variables were conducted. Logistic regression modeling was used to identify what variables associated with exposure to tobacco advertising and promotion. A significance level of p<0.05 and sampling weights were used in all of the computations.

Ethical considerations

The study was presented and discussed among those who are in charge of health research at the Ministry of Health and provincial authorities to get approval. Approval was also received from teachers, representatives of parents and students before carrying out survey.

Results

Altogether 3,430 school children aged 13-15 years participated in the survey, 49.1% males and 50.9% females. The prevalence of tobacco smoking among the students was 3.5 %, 6.3% among males and 0.9% among females (Giang et al., 2016).

The prevalence of students who ever tried or used tobacco product was 12.3 %, and the figure for those susceptible to smoking was around 17.6%. There were 55.5% of parents not smoking and 42% either father or mother smokes with just 2.5% of both parents smoking. The percentage of students not having a close friend who smoked was double that for students have close friend smoking (66.6% compared to 33.4%).

Figure 1 presented types of exposure to TAP from research subjects. 22.3% of students aged 13-15 wear or used products related to tobacco products, such as T-shirts, caps, lighters that had tobacco brand-name or tobacco logo printed. The results also revealed that tobacco advertisement or promotion attracted students most on the internet (22.1%), followed by at the vendors/shops (19.2%). Exposure to TAP at social events such as sport, fashion events were also reported but not usual with 11.5%. Direct exposure to TAP such as inviting by TI staff and receiving coupons were not prevalent, accounted for 3% and 4.5% respectively.

The multiple exposure to TAP was showed in the
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Figure 2. One source of TAP exposure was seen popularly with the highest percentage of 41.5%, followed by two sources and three sources of TAP exposure with 22.7% and 11.3%, respectively. As can be seen clearly that the trend of number TAP exposure sources was falling down. The percentage of students never exposed to TAP was 16.5%, in other words, 83.5% of students aged 13-15 was exposure to at least 1 source of tobacco advertisement or promotion.

Table 1 summarizes the findings from binary logistic regression analysis with weighted sample between influenced factors and TAP exposure status. Region, age and gender were included to calculate adjusted odd ratio. Significantly lower odds of TAP exposure was found among female students comparing to male students (OR = 0.61, 95%CI: 0.52-0.71). Those who had susceptibility to smoking had higher odds of exposure to TAP (OR = 2.12, 95%CI: 1.53-2.92). Also, closest friend and parent smoking history increased the odds of TAP exposure among students. Students who had both parents smoke had higher odds to exposure to TAP comparing with those whose parents did not smoke (OR = 2.83, 95%CI: 1.6 - 5.01). Having closest friends smoked increased 1.43 times of odds exposure to TAP compared to student who did not have closest friends smoked (OR = 1.43, 95%CI: 1.2 - 1.7).

Discussion

The study result shows that 48.6% of students aged 13-15 had a chance to see advertisement or promotion programs on tobacco. This prevalence was similar with what found in a study among 155,117 school aged children in 31 provinces in China (Xiao et al., 2015). Regarding to types of TAP exposure, the findings from this study showed that except the increase from 12% to 22.3% in exposure to products that had tobacco brand name or logo printed, a downward trend was presented in all other kind of TAP exposure comparing with the TAP figure showed in 2007.

TAP exposure at the point of sales decreased sharply from 42.7% in 2007 to 19.2% in 2014, while that at outdoor events was reduced from 53.6% to 11.5%. In addition, two traditional TAP which were offered coupons at point of sales and free tobacco product by tobacco company representatives were lower than the figure showed in 2007 where 6.6% and 9.5% students in Vietnam GYTS 2007 reported having exposure to these types of TAP respectively (Ministry of Health, 2007).

Compared with other countries, this pattern was quite different from China where tobacco advertisement or promotion were most frequently seen on TV (21.3%), followed by outdoor billboard (20.1%), at the stands for sale (17.5%), and Internet (15.6%) (Xiao et al., 2015) and was lower compared to the study results at 20 low and middle income countries (LMICs) where outdoor community events were more important (30.6% in Rwanda to 79.4% in the Philippines (Agaku et al., 2013).

The positive figure of TAP exposure shown in this study could be a result of various tobacco control programs

Table 1. Logistic Regression Analysis of Exposure to Tobacco Advertising and Promotion Channels and Selected Factors, GYTS, Vietnam, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Exposure to TAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ever smoke any tobacco products</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Susceptibility to smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parents smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither parent smokes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either father or mother smokes</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents smoke</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closest friends’ smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have closest friends who smoke</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have closest friends who smoke</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Weighted Prevalence of Type of Exposure to Tobacco Advertising and Promotion, GYTS Vietnam 2014

Figure 2. Weighted Distribution of Level of Exposure to Tobacco Advertising and Promotion Sources, GYTS Vietnam 2014
In summary, we found that the prevalence of TAP exposure among school-aged children in Viet Nam was still high (48.6%). Even though the study results on the types of TAP exposure and level of exposure revealed a positive sign of TAP exposure situation in Viet Nam as a consequence of various efforts made by Viet Nam tobacco control agencies within recent years, the results also presented a high prevalence of TAP exposure on the internet and at the point of sales among student aged 13-15, especially the exposure through products related to tobacco, such as T-shirts, lighters, caps... that had tobacco logo or brand name printed. It means that monitoring activities in these types of TAP need to pay more attention in the future. In addition, we also found that gender, susceptibility to smoking, parents smoking status and friends’ smoking status were significantly associated with student’s TAP exposure status. These findings give scientific evidences for effectiveness implementation on preventing and controlling tobacco use among student aged 13-15 in Viet Nam.

We need to note some limitations of our data. The GYTS relies on self-completion of the questionnaires. The accuracy of reporting in this study is not known. The GYTS applied only to school students. The survey did not include those who were outside the school environment.
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