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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is a great concern for women’s health; early detection can play a key role in reducing associated morbidity and mortality. The objective of this study was to systematically assess the effectiveness of model-based interventions for breast cancer screening behavior of women. Methods: We searched Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, Science Direct, Cochrane library and Google scholar search engines for systematic reviews, clinical trials, pre- and post-test or quasi-experimental studies (with limits to publication dates from 2000-2017). Keywords were: breast cancer, screening, systematic review, trials, and health model. In this review, qualitative analysis was used to assess the heterogeneity of data. Results: Thirty six articles with 17,770 female participants were included in this review. The Health belief model was used in twenty three articles as the basis for intervention. Two articles used both the Health belief model and the Health Promotion Model, 5 articles used Health belief model and The Trans theoretical Model, 2 used Health belief model and Theory planned behavior, 2 used the Health belief model and the Trans theoretical Model, 2 used the Trans theoretical Model, 1 used social cognitive theory, and 1 used Systematic Comprehensive Health Education and Promotion Model. The results showed that model-based educational interventions are more effective for BSE and CBE and mammography screening behavior of women compare to no model based intervention. The Health belief model was the most popular model for promoting breast cancer screening behavior. Conclusions: Educational model-based interventions promote self-care and create a foundation for improving breast cancer screening behavior of women and increase policy makers’ awareness and efforts towards its enhancement breast cancer screening behavior. Keywords: Breast cancer- health- model- screening- systematic review- women

Introduction

Breast cancer is a prevalent disease of women (Abolfotouh et al., 2015) and also a public concern that threaten lives of women (Nergiz-Eroglu and Kilic, 2010). It is anticipated that more than one million new cases of breast cancer occurs annually worldwide (Shiryazdi et al., 2014). Early detection of women’s breast cancer leads to increase their survival rates after diagnosis and reduces the related mortality (Iz and Tümer, 2016; Ardahan et al., 2015). So, promotion of breast cancer screening behavior decreases breast cancer morbidity and mortality through early diagnosis of the disease (Arrospide et al., 2015).

There are three ways for breast cancer screening including: breast self-examination, clinical examination by medical personnel, and mammography (Calonge et al., 2009).

Several factors including: type of medical insurance of women and women’s employment status (Tsunematsu et al., 2013), history of breast disease and familial history of BC (breast cancer) (Allahverdipour et al., 2011), low knowledge and breast cancer literacy (Talley et al., 2016), are shown to be effective on breast cancer screening behavior of women.

Health beliefs of women impact on their breast cancer screening approach (Ersin et al., 2015) such as concerns about breast cancer (Hay et al., 2006), low perceived susceptibility (Petro-Nustas et al., 2013), low motivation, perceived benefits and self-efficacy (Hajian-Tilaki and Auladi, 2014), lack of perceived benefit, low motivation for performing breast cancer screening (Veena et al., 2015, Dündar et al., 2006) are known to be barriers of screening behaviors (Tavafian et al., 2009), Overcoming these barriers and increasing perceived self-efficacy and motivation are important to promote breast cancer screening behavior among women (Noroozi and Tahmasebi, 2011).
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Theoretical models identified the factors that underlie health behaviors (Noar and Zimmerman, 2005), comprehensive integrative psychosocial models, are an essential first step for enhancing health behavior (Reid and Aiken, 2011). Some evidence indicated that interventions which used for promotion of health based on behavioral theories are more effective than those without a theoretical base (Glanz and Bishop, 2010). Different models for health change behavior were the base of interventions to promote breast cancer screening behaviors (Ashing-Giwa, 1999). Educational cancer prevention program is very cost-effectiveness program which empower people to give preventive behaviors (Changizi and Kaveh, 2017). Evidence showed that education about breast cancer prevention methods can improve BCS behavior of women (Levano et al., 2014).

There is lack of any review on model based educational interventions for promoting breast cancer screening behavior (O’Mahony et al., 2017). This study aims to review the application of health behavior model-based educational interventions for promoting breast cancer screening behavior of women. Hopefully, the review could help to plan effective model based future strategies to improve screening behavior of women and consequently reduce mortality and morbidity of breast cancer among women.

Materials and Methods

Search Strategy

This study is a systematic review to determine the effects of model-based interventions to improve Breast cancer screening behavior (BCS) of women. All published articles (RCT, pre- and post-test design or quasi-experimental) were assessed from July 2000 to March 2017 in English language. We searched from databases including Scopus, PubMed, Science Direct, Cochrane library and Google scholar search engine. The search was based on the following keywords: breast cancer, screening, health belief model, health promotion model, social cognitive theory, theory of planned behavior, Trans theoretical Model, PRECEDE-PROCEED model, Systematic Comprehensive Health Education and Promotion Model. Therefore, articles were limited to date 2000 – 2017.

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Selection of studies

Two authors reviewed the eligibility of all included articles and also evaluated the risk of bias and the data for included articles such as country of origin, information on demographic characteristics of participants of the study, the number of participants in each group, aim of study, design and duration of study, measurement tools, adverse effect of each intervention and the type of educational intervention and main results of study were extracted. All studies based on different models for their educational programs for breast cancer screening were considered as the inclusion criteria for the study. All the trials used a standard, valid and reliable questionnaire for measuring the breast cancer screening behavior of women.

Types of Participants

All clinical trials (RCT, pre- and post-test or quasi-experimental) with inclusion criteria of all women without diagnosis a previous breast cancer.

Types of Interventions

All clinical trials (RCT, pre- and post-test or quasi-experimental) involving educational program based on health models versus no intervention or versus another educational intervention.

Types of Comparator/control

Another intervention or No intervention.

Types of Outcome measures

Educational interventions based on different health behavior models’ adverse outcomes related to false positive findings of symptoms assessed by any validated scale.

Risk of Bias

The EPHPP is a tool used to evaluate of intervention design studies. This tool evaluates six domains: study design, blinding, selection bias, data collection method, confounders and dropouts. In this tool each domain is rated as weak (1), moderate (2) and strong (3) and total score provided by average of domain scores. Based on total score, quality of studies is rated as weak (1.00–1.50), moderate (1.51–2.50) or strong (2.51–3.00) and the maximum total score is three (Thomas et al., 2004; Deeks et al., 2003; Armijo-Olivo et al., 2012). Two researchers were performed Search in databases; the abstracts were first assessed and then some articles underwent final assessment according to EPHPP and inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. According to these criteria, articles achieving a score of 1.51 or more were included in the study.

Data analysis

The qualitative analysis was used in this review due to the heterogeneity of the data.

Results

Thirty six articles with 17,770 female participants in different contraries and Continents of world included in this twenty three article utilized a Health belief model (HBM) and 1 articles used both HBM and HPM, 5 articles used HBM and The Trans theoretical Model (TTM), 2 used HBM and ( Theory planed behavior) TPB , 3 used TTM, 1 used Social cognitive theory (SCT) and finally 1 used Systematic Comprehensive Health Education and Promotion Model (SHEP).

The results of our study showed that several health behavior models were influencing on BSE, CBE and mammography screening behavior of women.

Health belief model

The results of the present review showed HBM-based educational intervention increases the women’s health motivation about BCS. Individuals’ behaviors and
decisions related to general health conditions such as breast cancer can be evaluated using HBM (Aşcı and Şahin, 2011). According to this model, a woman decide to perform the screening while she perceives susceptibility to BC and severity of BC and perceives benefits and barriers of breast cancer screening behavior (Dündar et al., 2006).

Characteristics of Health belief model based studies showed in Table1.

Kocaöz et al., (2017) indicated that education program based on HBM increased the attitudes and BCS behaviors of women. Parsa et al., (2016) study, showed that HBM based intervention with GATHER (Greet, ASK, TELL, Help, Explain, Return) consultancy technique could help to improve the knowledge and beliefs about BCS and BSE performance. Heydari and Noroozi, (2015) reported that group education and multimedia education based on HBM lead to raise BC knowledge and participation in mammography. Kolutek et al., (2016) reported that HBM based intervention significantly increased rate of performing BSE. Akhtari-Zavare et al., (2016) in their study indicated that in the intervention group only three subscales score of HBM (benefits, barrier, and confidence of BSE) were significantly improved. Peterson et al., (2012) reported that in women with mobility impairments the HBM based education was not effective on mammography screening behavior. In Eskandari-torbaghan et al., (2014) study, after HBM based intervention in the intervention group the awareness, perceived susceptibility and benefits, barriers and behavior were significantly higher than control. Farma et al., (2014) reported that HBM based education have significant impact on improving BCS behavior. Rezaeian et al., (2014) reported that small group education based on HBM increased the knowledge and health beliefs about BC and mammography. Hall et al., (2005) reported that HBM based education were effective on knowledge and beliefs about breast cancer. Moodi et al., (2011) reported that HBM based education improved attitude and knowledge of female university students regarding BSE. Cebert et al., (2010) reported that the mean score of BC knowledge of women in the experimental group were higher than the control. The experimental group significantly more likely motivated and to feel confident, but there were no significant differences in perceived susceptibility, seriousness of BC, benefits and barriers to BSE. Avcı and Gozum, (2009) study showed that both video and method intervention based on HBM were effective in changing BCS health beliefs of women. Aghamolaei et al., (2010) reported that health education program based on HBM promote BSE in women. Wang et al., (2012) reported that in the cultural and generic video interventions based on HBM modified mammography screening attitude of Chinese immigrant women. Sadler et al., (2011) resulted that after the HBM based program, women in the intervention group significantly higher rate of mammography screening. DeFrank et al., (2009) showed that mailed and automated telephone reminders interventions based on HBM were effective in promoting repeat mammography. Doris et al., (2002) demonstrated that intervention based on HBM in the loss-framed message group lead to women in this group were 6 times more likely to obtain a mammogram performance. Özgül et al., (2009) reported that peer education based on HBM increased BC knowledge and improved the BSE performance. Gozum et al., (2010) mentioned that after peer training based on HBM had positive effect on promoting practice, beliefs and knowledge, of women. Secginli and Nacivan, (2011) reported that for the intervention group, significant changes were seen in perceived susceptibility, benefits of BSE and mammography, and confidence (all increased), but perceived barriers to mammography decreased. Results of Cohen and Azaiza (2010) Study shows that culture-based intervention based on HBM effective in BCS behavior of women. Gursoy et al., (2009) indicated that the HBM based education from daughter to mother enhance women’s knowledge about BSE. Lu et al., (2001) stated that the program significantly increased BSE accuracy, BSE frequency, perceived benefit of BSE, perceived competence in BSE and decreased perceived susceptibility to breast cancer and perceived barriers to practice BSE.

The Trans theoretical Model (TTM)

According this model behavioral changes occur through a process of different stages (precontemplation, relapse, relapse risk, contemplation, action and maintenance stages). Farajzadegan et al., (2016) and Ghahremani et al., (2016) reported that educational interventions base on TTM improve BSE performance of women. Lin and Judith (2010) mentioned that tailored intervention group based on TTM had a better outcome and higher mean posttest scores relative to the Standard in group (Lin and Effken, 2010). Lin and Wang (2009) in their study reported that complete tailored intervention had significantly higher scores on intention to have a mammogram relative to the standard intervention group. Characteristics of TTM model based studies showed in Table 2.

Social cognitive theory (SCT)

This theory demonstrate that a multifaceted causal operate together with goals, outcome and perceived environmental barriers and facilitators in the regulation of behavior (Bandura, 2004). Goel and O’Conor, (2016) showed that a brief, pre-visit video based on SCT significantly increased mammography referrals. Characteristics of SCT model based studies showed in Table 2.

Systematic Comprehensive Health Education and Promotion Model: (SHEP)

SHEP is an innovative developmental method in the health promotion system, this model based on the off “Knowledge Management” theory (Mizraii et al., 2016). Mizraii et al., (2016) mentioned that education based on SHEP had positive effect on attitudes and BCS behavior of women. Characteristics of SHEP model based studies showed in Table 2.

Mixed model

In this study eight articles used different mix
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author/Year/ Location</th>
<th>Study Method/sample</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kocaöz et al, (2017)/Turkey</td>
<td>&quot;semi-experimental / N=342&quot;</td>
<td>Theoretical and practical education</td>
<td>In participants the susceptibility, benefits of BSE self-efficacy, and benefits of mammography perceptions increased while the seriousness, barriers of BSE, and mammography decreased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsa et al, (2016)/Iran</td>
<td>&quot;quasi-experimental / 250 women (n=75 each group) &quot;</td>
<td>The intervention group received 90 minutes in four sessions GATHER consultancy technique and educational booklet /the control group received no intervention</td>
<td>In both groups 3 month After intervention, there was significant difference between the mean scores of perceived benefits and barriers, health motivation, self-sufficient, and doing the screening. in the intervention group there was no significant difference between the mean score of perceived susceptibility and severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhtari-Zavare et al, (2016)/Malaysia</td>
<td>RCT/N=370(intervention=186,control=184)</td>
<td>The intervention group received 16, 2-h workshops</td>
<td>The result showed that 6, 12 months after intervention the mean total HBM score in intervention group was significantly higher than CON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heydari et al, (2016)/Iran</td>
<td>RCT/N=120 (n=60 group education/n=60 multimedia education)</td>
<td>In group education two sessions lasting 45-60 min. In multimedia education planned based on HBM through CD, and educational SMS to their telephone</td>
<td>Result showed that in group education health motivation and perceived benefit were higher than the multimedia group. (93.33%) of group education and (83.33%) of multimedia group had intention of mammography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolutek et al, (2016)/Turkey</td>
<td>quasi-experimental/N=153</td>
<td>Training practices were conducted using lecturing, demonstration, and question and answer techniques. The Telephone Reminder Intervention</td>
<td>After the training practices mean scores of the seriousness, benefits of BSE and self-efficacy, susceptibility, barriers to BSE, and mammography and benefits of mammography under the HBM Scale for BC Screening significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezaeian et al, (2014)/Iran</td>
<td>Population‐based controlled trial / N=290 Control=145 Intervention=145</td>
<td>The intervention group received educational program (PowerPoint presentation, educational film, group discussion, brain storming, question and answer and pamphlet) /the control group received no intervention</td>
<td>After intervention in intervention group the mean scores of perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, barriers and self‐efficacy of mammography and health motivation significantly higher than the CON group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eskandari-torbaghan et al, (2014)/Iran</td>
<td>&quot; Interventional design N=130 (65 intervention,65 control) &quot;</td>
<td>Intervention group received Lectures ,questions and answers , PowerPoint presentation , video and educational booklet/ Control group received no any intervention</td>
<td>After intervention awareness, perceived sociability and benefits, barriers and behavior in the intervention group was significantly higher than CON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farma et al, (2014)/Iran</td>
<td>&quot;semi-experimental/ N=240&quot;</td>
<td>The educational intervention( lecture, view video, group discussion)</td>
<td>In the intervention group score of all subscale of HBM significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson et al, (2012)/Oregon</td>
<td>RCT/ N=211 women with mobility impairments</td>
<td>A 90-minute, small-group, participatory workshop with 6 months of structured telephone support</td>
<td>There were No significant group effect was observed for mammography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moodi et al, (2011)/Iran</td>
<td>&quot;semi-experimental/ N=243&quot;</td>
<td>One educational session (120 minutes)</td>
<td>After intervention the mean scores of knowledge, perceived susceptibility, severity, benefit and barrier significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadler et al, (2011)/America</td>
<td>RCT/N=428 (219 intervention 209 control group)</td>
<td>Intervention group salon-based BC education program /control group received information about diabetes</td>
<td>After the first 6 months of the program's operation, women in the BC intervention group significantly greater frequency engaged in mammography screening relative to CON group. Consistent with the HBM, women in the BC intervention showed a shift in behaviors and increased BC screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Author/Year/ Location</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secginli et al. (2011) / Turkey</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=198 (intervention=97, Experimental=116, Comparison=27)</td>
<td>The intervention group received Education with Booklet, Film, Calendar, Card. The control group received No intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris et al. (2002) / Florida</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental design</td>
<td>N=1345 Enhanced letter reminder</td>
<td>Women assigned to ATRs were significantly more likely to have had mammograms than women assigned to EUCRs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aydin Avci et al. (2009) / Iran</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=51 in model group and 42 in video group</td>
<td>In the intervention group, significantly increasing changes of knowledge levels were seen from pre- to posttest. The video group received a videotape explaining BSE, CBE and mammography. After peer education, knowledge scores significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Lu et al. (2001) / Taiwan</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental design</td>
<td>N=198 (intervention=97, Experimental=116, Comparison=27)</td>
<td>In the intervention group, significantly increasing changes of knowledge levels were seen from pre- to posttest. The video group received a videotape explaining BSE, CBE and mammography. After peer education, knowledge scores significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gursoy et al. (2009) / Turkey</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=1345 Enhanced letter reminder</td>
<td>Women assigned to ATRs were significantly more likely to have had mammograms than women assigned to EUCRs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeFrank et al. (2009) / Carolina</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=847 Enhanced usual care reminder</td>
<td>Women assigned to ATRs were significantly more likely to have had mammograms than women assigned to EUCRs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceber et al. (2010) / Turkey</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=240 (129 in each group)</td>
<td>In the intervention group, significantly increasing changes of knowledge levels were seen from pre- to posttest. The video group received a videotape explaining BSE, CBE and mammography. After peer education, knowledge scores significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aghamolaei et al. (2010) / Iran</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=291 (intervention=134, Control=157)</td>
<td>In the intervention group, significantly increasing changes of knowledge levels were seen from pre- to posttest. The video group received a videotape explaining BSE, CBE and mammography. After peer education, knowledge scores significantly increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aydin Avci et al. (2009) / Iran</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>N=5100 with 40 women</td>
<td>The results of the study showed that the program significantly increased mammogram performance in the loss-framed message group. Women assigned to EUCRs were significantly more likely to have had mammograms than women assigned to EUCRs. The EUCRs, delivered as mailed letters, were more effective than the mailed ELR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gozum et al. (2010) / Turkey</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental design</td>
<td>N=193 female</td>
<td>After peer education, knowledge scores significantly increased. The rate of regular BSE significantly increased, perceived benefits and confidence of BSE increased and perceived barriers significantly decreased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al. (2005) / United States</td>
<td>Pretest-posttest</td>
<td>N=53 (intervention=30, Control=23)</td>
<td>In the intervention group, the mean score of Susceptibility, Benefits and Confidence were significantly higher than CON.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
models. Tuzcu et al., (2016) demonstrated the rates of mammography; BSE and CBE in the intervention group based on HBM-HPM were significantly higher than control group. In the intervention group, the self-efficacy perceptions benefit and health motivation, increased but perceptions of barriers and susceptibility decreased. Farhadifar et al., (2016) reported that HBM and TPB based interventions had positive effect on mammography screening behavior. Lee-Lin et al., (2015) mentioned that the culturally targeted educational based HBM-TTM program significantly increased mammogram screening in women. Taymoori et al., (2015) reported that educational intervention based on HBM and TPB improved mammography screening of women. The Results of Cohen and Azaiza (2010) Study shows HBM-TTM culture based intervention reduced the berries and improved BCS behavior. Champion et al., (2006) demonstrated that tailored HBM-TTM based education program is more effective than targeted messages (print or video format) in mammography screening behavior of low income African American women. Champion et al., (2007) reported that all interventions based on HBM-TTM had positive effect on mammography screening behavior of women. In Champion et al., (2003) study tailored interventions based on HBM and TTM lead to increase mammography screening in older women. Characteristics of Mixed model based studies showed in Table 2.

**Discussion**

This review provides new insight into the effectiveness of model based interventions on breast cancer screening behavior of women; our result showed that health behavior models could help to enhance BCS behavior of women. About three fourth of the studies were included in our study were about the HBM based interventions with different educational intervention (including: GATHER consultancy technique, multimedia education, brain storming, pamphlet, video and educational booklet, mailed letters, telephone reminder, reminder cards etc.). Almost all of HBM based studies showed positive
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author/Year/Location</th>
<th>Study Method/sample</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuzcu et al, (2016)/Turkey</td>
<td>Quasi experimental N=200 women (100 in each group)</td>
<td>HBM-HPM</td>
<td>In women in the intervention group after intervention the rates of mammography, BSE and CBE were significantly higher than women in the CON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee-Lin et al, (2015)/America</td>
<td>RCT N= 300 Intervention=147 Control=153</td>
<td>HBM/TTM</td>
<td>The result showed, that intervention group compared the CON was 9 times more likely to complete mammograms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen &amp; Azaiza (2010)/Israeli</td>
<td>Pretest posttest N=66</td>
<td>HBM/TTM</td>
<td>After intervention 47.6% of women in intervention group and 12.5% of women in CON group scheduled or attended a CBE (p&lt;0.05), 38% of the intervention group and 75% of the CON group had only irregularly attended or never CBE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion et al, (2007)/India</td>
<td>Prospective randomized intervention N=1244</td>
<td>HBM/TTM</td>
<td>For contemplators, the combination of telephone and print was clearly the most effective intervention for promoting mammography; it appears that adding the printed material to the phone messaging had an additive effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion et al, (2006)/America</td>
<td>Prospective randomized intervention N=344</td>
<td>HBM/TTM</td>
<td>The result showed that adherence to mammography in the interactive computer-assisted instruction program group was greater than two other intervention groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion et al, (2003)/America</td>
<td>RCT N=773</td>
<td>HBM/TTM</td>
<td>All intervention groups have higher odds of mammography relative to the usual care group. Women receiving a combination of physician recommendation and in-person counseling have a higher odds of mammography adherence relative to the physician recommendation group (OR = 1.84) and telephone counseling group (OR =1.78).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taymoori et al, (2015)/Iran</td>
<td>RCT N=184 TPB (N = 60) HBM (N = 63) control group (N = 61)</td>
<td>HBM/TPB</td>
<td>In the intervention groups women perceived severity and susceptibility of BC and perceived benefits and self-efficacy of mammography use increased but perceived barriers about mammography use decreased. Women in intervention groups have greater perceived control and higher levels of positive subjective norms regarding mammography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farhadifar et al, (2016)/Iran</td>
<td>N=176 (TPB = 62; HBM = 58; CON = 56).</td>
<td>HBM/TPB</td>
<td>The screening in women in the HBM group have significantly increased compared to CON group due to greater susceptibility, perceived control, and self-efficacy, and women in the TPB-group have greater odds of performing mammograms compared to CON lead to increased self-efficacy and much reductions in barriers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
effect in different subscale of HBM on BSE, CBE or mammography screening behavior but only in one study which included women with mobility impairments there were no significant group effect for mammography. Sohl and Moyer, (2007) in a meta-Analytic review reported that Tailored Interventions based on HBM had strong effect on BCS behavior. In this review only 45% of studies HBM mixed to other models, these interventions improve the BC awareness of women and increased awareness and performance of the BSE, CBE and mammography screening in the intervention group. The result of a systematic review which conducted by Ersin and Bahar, (2011) about Effect of Health Belief Model and Health Promotion Model on Breast Cancer Early Diagnosis Behavior showed that the intervention based on these models in improving and maintaining breast cancer screening behavior. A study in Asian American women about BCS rate showed that culturally cancer education programs could increase access to breast cancer screening (Sadler et al., 2009). Also cancer education interventions have a positive effect on breast cancer knowledge of women (Zeinomar and Moslehi, 2013).

The Trans theoretical Model, Systematic Comprehensive Health Education and Promotion Model, Health Promotion Model, theory of planned behavior are the other models used in different educational program such as workshops, tailored mail and telephone intervention, apartment billboards, peer education, which review in our study. Health models are useful on health perceptions of women (Ergin et al., 2012). The Health Promotion Model categorizes the factors that influencing human behaviors, this model survey the behavioral and situational factors and interpersonal relation (Galloway, 2003). The theory of Planned Behavior demonstrate attitude toward the behavior, and perceived behavioral control and subjective norm (Asare, 2015). The purpose of the systematic comprehensive health education and promotion model was increasing health literacy and mentoring peer health educators (Mirzaii et al., 2016). Trans theoretical Model helps planners programs based on an individual' motivation, and ability (Glanz et al., 2008).

Our review showed that the trans-theoretical model combined with the other models were successful than other models because this model is based on the stages of behavioral change but other models show the creating behavior mechanism , so for this reason, combined this model with the other models will lead to greater success program. Structure of the TTM is more complex than other models , and this model is effective to promote both individual and population level health behavior change programs (Taylor, 2007).Cancer education and Health Behavioral Counseling based on TTM can promote healthy lifestyles (McLaughlin et al., 2010).

In a meta-analysis study reported that Health models improve breast cancer screening in women (Ergin et al., 2012). In review article with title “Applying the Trans-theoretical Model to Cancer Screening Behavior” concluded that Stage of change and decisional balance appear to use to mammography performance (Spencer et al., 2005). Lawal et al., (2016) in their narrative review article reported that among four health behavioral theories and models (the HBM, TBP, TTM, and the theory of care seeking behavior), the theory of care seeking behavior uses broader constructs and is affective in participation of women in mammography screening. Ahmadian and Samah, (2013) in their article reviews several cognitive theories and models associated with BC screening and they reported that in Asian women a few empirical study were about the application of health theories in promoting to the BC prevention programs and a few studies addressed the individual cognitive factors that are likely to motivate women to protect against BC in Asia. Cancer education interventions lead to increasing constructive health behaviors (Booker et al., 2014). Breast cancer screening education is a low cost program with high benefit for women’s health in worldwide (Kennedy et al., 2016). Education about breast cancer can increase BCS practice and knowledge of women (Gözüm et al., 2010).

The strength of the study is that our study is based on experimental studies which performed in different area of world. Further recommendations for research would include studies specifically.

In conclusion, the educational model-based interventions promote self-care and create a foundation for improving breast cancer screening behavior of women and increase policy makers’ awareness and efforts toward enhancing breast cancer screening promotion. Model-based interventions are more successful than interventions that are not based model because these programs are based on understanding the mechanism of health behavior change and researchers with accurate understanding of the mechanism or process of behavior change programs are more likely to succeed plan.

Limitations

In this review due to the heterogeneity of the data, we cannot do meta-analysis. The other limitation of our study is that we only use common educational behavior change models so another studies needs to review the impact of other models on breast cancer screening behavior of women.
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