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Abstract

Background: Known is the fact that adult tobacco users mostly had their initiation into the habit during the adolescence period. The current study was conducted to evaluate the impact of awareness programmes among adolescent students in rural Kerala, India, in terms of knowledge enhancement on tobacco hazards. Methods: A total of 10 high schools and higher secondary schools from one educational sub district were selected using multi stage sampling design. Male students in the age group 13-19 years studying in class IX and X (high school category) and class XI and XII (higher secondary school) were included in the study. The effectiveness was assessed using pre and post training evaluation forms based on mean knowledge scores. Results: 1,114 students participated by filling both the forms (mean age 15.6, SD 1.3). The response rate was 92.8%. The prevalence of ‘current users’ in the study was 4.3% (95% CI 3.11- 5.49). Overall difference in mean knowledge scores among study subjects was evident when pre and post training responses were compared (p<0.001). The difference in knowledge scores was evident among ‘never users’ of tobacco before and after the awareness programmes (p<0.0001). However, no significant difference in mean scores was observed among ‘ever users’ of tobacco (p = 0.584), age groups of ever users (p =0.208), students of high schools (p = 0.242) and higher secondary schools (p= 0.994). Comparison of never, ever and current users revealed significant difference between ‘never’ and ‘current’ users (p = 0.001). However, no such difference was observed between ‘ever’ and ‘current’ users (p =0.138) and ‘ever’ versus ‘never’ users (p =0.099). Conclusion: The study was useful to improve knowledge among school students in general. However, newer strategies have to be tested to understand the best possible measures for tobacco awareness training among adolescent tobacco users.
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Introduction

Tobacco continues to pose a major threat to humanity globally, claiming more than 6 million lives every year (Britton, 2017). The consequence of tobacco exposure is not limited to cancer alone but affects almost every organ of the body. Strengthening tobacco control measures has resulted in a decline in tobacco use in developed countries. However, a shift is now evident in low and middle income countries, where tobacco consumption has increased convincingly among younger age groups (Stone and Peters, 2017). Adolescent tobacco consumption, if left unchecked, is a major risk factor for non-communicable diseases in adulthood (Singh et al., 2017; Park 2011).

In India, the Global Adult tobacco Survey-2016-17 (GATS-2), conducted among subjects 15 years and older, reported of nearly a third of the population (28.6%) using tobacco in some form or the other. However, the prevalence of tobacco users among minors in the 15-17 years’ age group was reported at 4%. The result has dual implications in the Indian context. On one side, the results look promising considering a decrease of tobacco prevalence by 6% units from the year 2009-10 and among minors from 10% to 4% (Mohan et al., 2018; MoHFW Government of India, 2016-17). But on the other side, the outcome points to the enormous measures needed to further control this problem in a country which has over a billion population, having the world largest youth population and also being the second largest consumer of tobacco (Mishra et al., 2012).

Though there are various tobacco control measures to check the demand and supply of tobacco, sensitization programmes targeting young population who are at risk of tobacco exposure were found useful to generate awareness.
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Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the rural schools of Thiruvananthapuram district in Kerala state. In Kerala, for ease of administration, each revenue district is categorized as an educational district and additionally into educational sub districts. Out of the 3 educational districts, which also included 12 educational sub districts, one educational district was chosen randomly. In the government school category, around 40 high school/higher secondary schools are present in each educational district. For study purpose, only government schools/government aided schools were selected. High schools and higher secondary schools in a particular place are located in the same campus though they are functioning independently. In all these schools, education is free for all students irrespective of the socioeconomic status. Hence student enrollment is high particularly in the rural areas. Due to the high prevalence of tobacco use in the rural areas of India (Gupta et al., 2010) and the possibility that adolescence being a transition phase in a person’s life, where the vulnerability of getting exposed to risk factors such as tobacco was also taken into consideration to initiate the study.

Using a multistage sampling method, 10 schools (5 high schools and 5 higher secondary schools) out of 17 schools were selected from one educational sub district using computer generated random numbers. 10 awareness programmes were conducted representing 10 schools. Sampling unit was the class division. Students enrolled in class IX, X, XI and XII were eligible for participating in the study. 35 to 50 students were present in each class division. One division was selected randomly from each class. Males students were enrolled for the study due to the reason that tobacco habits were mainly reported among them while among female students, the prevalence was negligible (Muttappallymyalil et al., 2012; Jayakrishnan et al., 2011). Information was collected from each student before and after delivering anti- tobacco awareness sessions. Details of sampling unit, inclusion and exclusion criteria, study questionnaire and methods of awareness on tobacco hazards have already been reported (Jayakrishnan et al., 2016).

Pre and post training evaluation forms were used to assess the impact of awareness among each study subject. Pre-tested semi-structured questionnaires were used for the study. Both pre and post training questionnaires were distributed before the programme with a unique number provided in the questionnaire set and were advised not to reveal their identity in the forms. The pre-training questionnaire comprised of two sections. The first section included general questions related to the demographic characteristics, tobacco use history of the individual’s and their parent’s. While the second part consisted of two open ended questions and 14 multiple choice questions. The post-training questionnaire was the same as the pre-training part except for the first section which was totally excluded. The maximum score a subject could achieve was 16 which coincide with 1 mark for each question. The questions were framed to understand the knowledge of the student on common ingredients in tobacco, diseases caused due to tobacco use and knowledge on tobacco legislation. The research team explained each question to the subjects and also ensured that the information received from each student would be kept confidential. Students were told to fill the pre-training form initially and advised to fill the post
training form after the awareness programme. Participation to the study was purely voluntary. The resource person (RJ) conducted awareness programme using audiovisual slides. Before concluding the programme, a documentary film on tobacco developed by the Regional Cancer Centre, Thiruvananthapuram, was also screened.

In the present study, “ever user” of tobacco was defined as a person who had experienced tobacco at least once during the academic year, while weekly three days or more of tobacco use was termed as “current user”. Statistical analysis was done using the SPSS version 11 software. Chi square statistics was used for categorical variables and if the expected value of a cell was less than five, Fisher’s exact test statistics was used. For continuous variables, Students t-test was used to test mean differences between two groups, while, Analysis of Variance Test (ANOVA) was used to test mean differences between more than two groups. For those significant variables, Bonferroni multiple comparison test was used to find the significantly differing groups.

Results

Subject Characteristics

Self reporting questionnaires were distributed to 1,200 high school and higher secondary school students of which 1,114 students participated. The response rate was 92.8%. Pre-training and post-training information was collected from 539 HS students and 575 HSS students. Self-reported ever users of tobacco in the current academic year was 7.4% (95% CI 5.86-8.94) of which 4.3% subjects were current users (95% CI 3.11- 5.49). Among ever users (n =83), 49% were current users of tobacco (Table 1). (Jayakrishnan et al., 2016). HSS students constituted 66.2% of the tobacco users in the study. 43.2% of HSS students reported of cigarette use while 35.7% of the HS students were panamasala users (Table 2).

Knowledge difference among study participants before and after awareness programme

Overall difference in knowledge among study subjects was evident after the awareness programme when pre and post training responses were compared (p.<0.001).

Comparison of high school and higher secondary school students

Significant difference was observed in the mean knowledge scores among high school students before and after the awareness programme (p.<0.001). While there was improvement in knowledge among high school students, no such difference was observed among higher secondary school students (p = 0.959).

Comparison of never and ever users of tobacco

The difference in knowledge in terms of knowledge enhancement was evident among never users of tobacco before and after the awareness programmes (p.<0.0001), while, no such difference was observed among ever users of tobacco (p value = 0.584).

Further, age-group wise assessment of knowledge scores of ever users also observed no significant

Table 1. Study Sample Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Never users (n = 1031)</th>
<th>Ever users (n = 83)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤14 years</td>
<td>254 (97.7)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–17 years</td>
<td>738 (91.8)</td>
<td>66 (8.2)</td>
<td>804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18 years</td>
<td>39 (78.0)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use among students based on class division</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IX</td>
<td>191 (95.5)</td>
<td>9 (4.5)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class X</td>
<td>320 (97.3)</td>
<td>19 (5.8)</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class XI</td>
<td>218 (91.2)</td>
<td>21 (8.8)</td>
<td>239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class XII</td>
<td>302 (89.9)</td>
<td>34 (10.1)</td>
<td>336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant at 5%. Figures in parenthesis are row percentages; Source: Jayakrishnan R, Seema G, Krishna KMJ et al (2016). Tobacco and Alcohol Use and the Impact of School Based Antitobacco Education for Knowledge Enhancement among Adolescent Students of Rural Kerala, India. J Addict, 2016:9570517

Table 2. Tobacco Use Pattern among Study Subjects based on Education and Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student’s category (HS = 539, HSS =575)</th>
<th>Total participants (N = 1114), Type of tobacco use (n =83)</th>
<th>Panamasala</th>
<th>Cigarette</th>
<th>Bidi</th>
<th>Betelquid</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school (HS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
<td>7 (25)</td>
<td>6 (21.4)</td>
<td>5 (17.9)</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher secondary school (HSS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 (25.5)</td>
<td>24 (43.6)</td>
<td>9 (16.4)</td>
<td>8 (14.5)</td>
<td>55 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (28.9)</td>
<td>31 (37.3)</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
<td>13 (15.6)</td>
<td>83 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group of tobacco users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 (28.8)</td>
<td>24 (36.4)</td>
<td>11 (16.7)</td>
<td>12 (18.2)</td>
<td>66 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=18</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td>7 (66.6)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (28.9)</td>
<td>31 (37.3)</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
<td>13 (15.6)</td>
<td>83 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value*, Chi-Square test, P-value*, Fisher’s Exact test. Figures in parenthesis are row percentages

Figure 1. Legend?
difference in the scores before the session (p=0.06) and after the awareness session (p =0.208) which indicates no significant improvement in mean knowledge scores among different age groups before and after the awareness programme (Figure 1).

**Knowledge difference (ever vs. current users)**

Analysis of ever vs. current users revealed no significant difference in knowledge scores before the awareness programme (p=0.600). On the other hand, significant difference was observed among the two groups in the post training evaluation (p = 0.030) which points to the fact that ‘ever users’ had improved their mean knowledge scores after the awareness programme compared to ‘current users’ (Table 3).

**Comparison of never, ever and current users of tobacco**

Comparison of never, ever and current users revealed significant difference between ‘never’ and ‘current’ users (p = 0.001) while no such difference was observed between ‘ever’ and ‘current’ users (p = 0.138) and ‘ever’ versus ‘never’ users (p = 0.099). Among ever users of tobacco, no significant difference was observed among high school and higher secondary school groups (p = 0.424) and among different age groups (p = 0.092).

**Discussion**

This cross-sectional study highlights the benefits and limitations of tobacco awareness programmes targeting adolescent students in rural schools conducted in the year 2014-15 in rural Kerala. The study explored the possibility of improving awareness among school students utilizing resource persons to conduct awareness programmes and also using videos of tobacco hazards in schools to find out the extent of knowledge acquired by study subjects. In this study, the self reported ‘ever-users’ (7.4%) and ‘current users’ (4.3%) was reasonably high compared to the GATS-2 report of Kerala where tobacco prevalence among youth (15-17 years) was reported at 3.7%. Unlike urban areas, increased prevalence of tobacco use among the general public and adolescent group in rural areas was reported by studies from India (Chockalingam et al., 2013; Sabnis et al., 2016). The present study was conducted in rural settings where the socio-demographic characteristics of the rural community in general viz. education, occupation and income could have been reasonably low. Moreover, the laxity in strict enforcement of tobacco legislation in rural areas complemented with lack of awareness on tobacco hazards and tobacco control laws particularly selling restrictions near schools might have augmented the sale of tobacco products to a certain extent. Hence it is of paramount importance to educate adolescent students on the need for implementing effective tobacco control strategies to check illicit trade of tobacco and further to make them aware of the consequences of tobacco use likely to occur in adulthood. The current study demonstrated the effectiveness of one-time awareness programme done in schools to improve awareness of students as a whole, which is a positive sign. However, there were certain loose strings to be tightened in terms of awareness among tobacco users. This was reflected in the ‘no significant difference’ in knowledge scores among tobacco users before and after awareness programmes. In the current study, age-group wise comparison also noted no significant difference in knowledge scores before and after intervention.

The impact of awareness programmes against tobacco was looked upon by studies from different perspectives. To understand the intention of taking up the habit in future, programmes targeting school children had found it successful when specific focus on smoking and oral health was taught in schools (Nazar and Almas, 2017). Similar result was observed in a study conducted in South India, where interventions benefitted adolescent students to develop a decision against future tobacco use and highlighted the need for enhancing awareness among students to develop an unfavorable attitude to future tobacco use (Ballal et al., 2016). Impact of awareness using various methods to augment knowledge among tobacco users was also reported. In a study conducted in the state of New Delhi, India, attempts to recall

---

Table 3. Comparison of Mean Knowledge Scores among Tobacco Users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pre-training (SD)</th>
<th>Post-training (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Pre-training (SD)</th>
<th>Post-training (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever user (n = 49)</td>
<td>8.88 (3.18)</td>
<td>9.41 (3.61)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current user (n = 34)</td>
<td>8.50 (3.26)</td>
<td>7.12 (5.31)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever user HS (n = 28)</td>
<td>8.14 (3.45)</td>
<td>8.46 (3.84)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever user HSS (n= 55)</td>
<td>9.02 (3.06)</td>
<td>8.47 (4.84)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 5% level using students T test
spot messages on tobacco hazards screened at cinema theatres was found unsuccessful among tobacco users (Kaur et al., 2012). Further, a longitudinal study had reported of effective antimoking television campaigns to reduce smoking initiation among younger adolescents compared to older adolescents (Siegal and Biener, 2000). Comparison on tobacco use, beliefs and risk awareness among university students of 23 countries reported of considerable variation in awareness, particularly low levels of awareness on health risk of smoking in developing countries (Stepoe et al., 2002). The Cochrane review 2013 findings recommended the effectiveness of school based interventions in reducing long term smoking rates, in the line of intervention including social skills development and social competence rather than information alone strategies (Thomas et al., 2013).

The current study looked into the extent of how far the awareness was effective before and after information dissemination, in terms of improving the knowledge against tobacco. In our study, no improvement in scores was observed when age-group wise analysis was done among ‘ever-users’. The possibility of less attentive attitude of students or a state of denial cannot be ignored among this group, as there were reports of mental distress being a factor for less academic performance among students with substance use (Mekonen et al., 2017; Dessie et al., 2013). Moreover, financial hardships, familial influence and psycho-social influence could also lead to poor academic performance (Abdu-Raheem, 2013). These factors could have its reflection in the current study as well resulting in less attention to awareness sessions. Currently the school health programme of the National Health Mission, Government of India gives importance for physical and mental health of the school children. Emotional and behavioral problems among students are referred to higher centres for further management. However identifying students with tobacco habits is crucial and is often a challenge to the authorities to unravel the source of sale of tobacco and the students involved in buying those products. The current study also has its own limitations. Our sample size of ever users of tobacco was small which could have affected the study results. Adolescent female students were excluded from the study which needs to be studied separately. However, the current study raises the question of how general awareness programmes could improve the knowledge among tobacco users considering the fact that there was a significant effect among never users.

In a diverse country like India, where nearly one third of adults are tobacco users, it seems imminent to initiate awareness programmes among adolescent groups. Multi-modal interventions are to be tested in different community settings to understand specific campaigns that suit adolescent users to improve their knowledge on tobacco hazards.
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