# RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

# Differences in Lifestyle of a Smoking and Non-smoking Population in Japan

Yosuke Kitagawa<sup>1</sup>, Shigeyuki Nakaji<sup>1</sup>, Tadashi Shimoyama<sup>1</sup>, Takashi Umeda<sup>1</sup>, Kazuo Sugawara<sup>1</sup>, Qiang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Juichi Sakamoto<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

If smokers have different lifestyle including dietary habit in comparison to non-smokers, this difference have an influence on the evaluation of the risks of smoking for tobacco-related diseases or the design of anti-smoking campaigns. In Japan, 1,745 men over the age of 40 were surveyed regarding health consciousness in 1996 and 2,136 men between the ages of 50 and 65 were surveyed regarding dietary habits in 1993-1994. Comparative analysis was done among the smoking and non-smoking groups. The rate of participation in cancer mass-screening for smokers was significantly lower than for non-smokers. Smokers had consumed significantly lower amounts of vegetables, fruits and beans, which are well known beneficial factors for health than non-smokers, and had consumed more salt, salty food and alcohol, which are well known risk factors for health. It is suggested that smokers have disadvantageous characteristics for promoting and maintaining a healthy lifestyle more than in non-smokers. Accordingly, to evaluate the risk of smoking for tobacco-related diseases in epidemiological researches, we should properly treat these data as confounding factors. Furthermore, anti-smoking campaigns should be performed considering these differences.

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 1, 247-251

Key words: Smoking, risk factor, bias, personality, behavior, dietary habit

### Introduction

Tobacco smoking is one of the few environmental risk factors for health that has been proved scientifically (US Public Health Service, 1964; Dawber, 1980; Liu, et al., 1982; Hiramaya, 1987; De Stefani et al., 1987; Hartge et al., 1987; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1988; La Vecchia and Negri, 1989; Hirayama, 1990; McLaughlin et al., 1990; Kobayashi et al., 1991; Ministry of Health Welfare, 1993), so that big anti-smoking campaigns are performed all over the world.

It has been suggested previously that smokers may have several different characteristics including personality and behavior (including dietary habit) in comparison to other people (Epstein et al., 1965; Eysenck, 1991; Thornton et al., 1994; Faruque et al., 1995; Baser et al., 1995; Dallongeville et al., 1998). If this is true, such differences may have an influence on the evaluation of the risks of smoking for

tobacco-related diseases or the design of anti-smoking campaigns. There are, however, few reports that surveyed the relationship tobacco smoking and lifestyle in Japan (Suyama and Itoh, 1992; Nakamura et al., 1996). On the other hand, it is well-known that although cigarette smoking prevalence of Japanese men is higher than that of Western people, their mortality or incidence from lung cancer are lower ("Japanese smoking paradox"). Therefore, the characteristics of Japanese smokers may be different to that of Western people. It is very important to clarify the characteristics of Japanese smokers in order to perform antismoking campaigns, to evaluate smoking risk, and to solve "Japanese smoking paradox"

In this study, we examined differences in health consciousness and behavior, especially with regard to dietary habits, between smokers and non-smokers in the Japanese population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Hygiene, Hirosaki University School of Medicine, Zaifu-cho 5, Hirosaki, 036-8562 Japan <sup>2</sup> First Department of Internal Medicine, Hirosaki University School of Medicine, Zaifu-cho 5, Hirosaki, 036-8562 Japan Tel. +81 (0) 172 395037 Fax. +81 (0) 172 395038, E-mail: nakaji@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp

## **Subjects and Methods**

We performed two surveys among the Japanese general population - one was regarding health consciousness and one was regarding dietary habits. Surveys were performed door-to-door employing a questionnaire, in which subjects were asked for responses reflecting their perceived normal behavior for the last 3 years. The subjects were divided into two groups, i.e. those currently smoking (smoking group) and those who were non-smoking (non-smoking group). Exsmokers were excluded from discussion in this study because of the heterogeneous composition of this group. Furthermore, subjects were excluded if they had the experienced the following disorders: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cerebral stroke, myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, cancer, as these diseases have an influence on lifestyle, especially dietary habit.

#### Survey of health consciousness and behavior

We surveyed the health consciousness and behavior for 1,745 men, aged 40 or over, in 16 areas of Aomori Prefecture in northern Japan. The survey period was weekdays from October to December in 1996. The numbers of the subjects and their average ages were: the smoking group 913 and  $50.8\pm9.3$  yrs, and the non-smoking group 242 and  $52.5\pm11.3$ yrs, respectively.

#### Survey of dietary habits

We surveyed food intake frequency for 2,136 men aged between 50 and 65 years in 4 areas of Aomori Prefecture and in Joboji-cho (Iwate Prefecture) in northern Japan. A questionnaire which was developed by Hirohata (Tokunaga et al., 1991) was employed, which had two characteristics; the first was a series of questions relating to the frequency of intake for food groups and the second was a series of questions relating to the volume of intake using actual-size photographs of food instead of food models. The survey period was from June 1993 to May 1994. The numbers of the subjects and their average ages were: the smoking group

1,227 and 55.8±5.0 yrs, and the non-smoking group 405 and 57.3±6.8 yrs, respectively.

#### Statistical analysis

The Student's t test was used to evaluate the difference in food intake between the smoking group and the non-smoking group. Chi-squared test was used to evaluate the difference in rate of answer regarding health behavior, health consciousness and alcohol consumption between two groups. P values < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

#### **Results**

Participation in cancer mass-screening within the last 3 years (Table 1)

The rate of participation of the smoking group (80.2%) was significantly lower (P < 0.001) than for the non-smoking group (90.5%).

#### Consideration of dietary balance and missing meals

As for consideration of dietary balance, there were no differences in the rates for each answer between the two groups (Table 2). As for the frequency of missing meals, the rate of answering the question "Once per day" in the smoking group was significantly higher than in the non-smoking group (*P*<0.01, Table 3).

#### *Time for health promotion* (Table 4)

There were no differences between the two groups.

#### Alcohol consumption (Table 5)

Daily alcohol drinking in the smoking group was significantly higher (P < 0.001) than that in the non-smoking group.

## Food intake (Table 6)

The smoking group consumed potatoes, vegetables, fruits, beans and milk in significantly lower amounts (P<0.05, P < 0.05, P < 0.001, P < 0.05, P < 0.01, respectively) than the non-smoking group. In contrast, the smoking group had a high intake of salt and salty food (pickle) than the non-

Table 1. Participation in cancer mass-screening within the last 3 years

	Participated	Not participated	Total
Smoking group	732 (80.2) <sup>a</sup>	181 (19.8) <sup>a</sup>	913 (100)
Non-smoking group	219 (90.5)	23 (9.5)	242 (100)

<sup>(); %, &</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>P<0.001, Significantly different from non-smoking

Table 2. Consideration of dietary balance

	Always & usually	Sometimes	Seldom	Total
Smoking group	396(43.3)	17(1.9)	500(54.8)	913 (100)
Non-smoking group	111(45.9)	5(2.1)	126(52.1)	242 (100)

(); %

Table 3. Frequency of missing meals

	Once per day	4 or 5 times per week	2 or 3 times per week	Seldom	Total
Smoking group	122 (13.4) <sup>b</sup>	25 (2.7)	150 (16.5) <sup>a</sup> 24 (10.0)	616 (67.5) <sup>c</sup>	913 (100)
Non-smoking grou	p 14 (5.8)	9 (3.7)		195 (80.6)	242 (100)

<sup>( ); %,</sup> a p<0.05, b p<0.01, c p<0.001, Significantly different from non-smoking group

**Table 4. Time for health promotion** 

	Enough	Insufficient	Almost nothing	Total
Smoking group	257 (33.7)	292 (38.3)	213 (28.0)	762 (100)
Non-smoking group	75 (38.3)	74 (37.7)	47 (24.0)	196 (100)

<sup>(); %,</sup> subjects who answered "unknown" were excluded.

Table 5. Alcohol drinking

	Always & usually	Sometimes	Seldom	Total
Smoking group	747 (81.8) <sup>a</sup>	32 (3.5)	134 (14.7) <sup>a</sup>	913 (100)
Non-smoking group	152 (62.8)	12 (5.0)	78 (32.2)	242 (100)

<sup>(); %,</sup> ap<0.001, Significantly different from non-smoking group

Table 6. Food intake (g)

Food	Non-smoking group (405)	Smoking group (1,277)	
Rice	5160±938	5032±651	
Potatoes	85.7±32.6	$63.4\pm20.3^{a}$	
Vegetables	287.7±68.0	224.2±40.9a	
Fruits	802.5±292.6	487.9±150.2°	
Beans	111.0±44.8	99.7±29.2ª	
Pickles	183.7±69.5	195.4±49.7	
Milk	335.3±224.2	180.8±100.2 <sup>b</sup>	
Egg	161.2±52.6	153.2±40.6	
Meats	159.8±51.1	136.7±36.3	
Raw fish	481.7±155.0	494.4±105.1	
Salt fish	58.6±23.8	55.7±16.1	
Whole salt	8.3±1.7	9.4±1.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p<0.05, <sup>b</sup> p<0.01, <sup>c</sup> p<0.001, Significantly different from non-smoking group

smoking group, although the differences were not significant.

#### **Discussion**

With regard to cancer mass-screening, the participation rate for smokers is significantly less than that for non-smokers. Three previous similar studies have been conducted in Japan. Fukao (1992) and Kato (1987, 1988) surveyed the lifestyles of participants and non-participants of a mass-screening for stomach cancer or uterine cancer. The results from these studies closely match those of the current study; the

participation rate in smokers, which was much lower in smokers compared to non-smokers.

With regard to alcohol consumption, the consumption in the smoking group was significantly higher than that in the non-smoking group. Similar findings to those found in the current study have also been demonstrated in Western countries and Japan previously (Faruque et al., 1995; Baser et al., 1995; Nakamura et al., 1996; Dallongeville et al., 1998).

With regard to dietary habits, the intake of vegetables, fruits and beans, that are thought to be beneficial factors for tobacco-related diseases were lower in smokers by Yosuke Kitagawa et al

approximately 20%, 40% and 10% respectively, than in nonsmokers. In addition, smokers had also consumed more salt and salty food, which are well known risk factors for health. Similar findings to those found in the current study have also been demonstrated in Western countries and Japan previously (Suyama and Itoh, 1992; Faruque et al., 1995; Baser et al., 1995; Dallongeville et al., 1998).

As mentioned above, it is well-known that the behavioral characteristics of smokers are disadvantageous in that they lead to a higher probability of health hazard, more than in non-smokers, so that many Japanese researchers treated these factors as confounding factors in many epidemiological studies. However, unexpectedly, there were few comprehensive studies on the differences in the behavioral characteristics between Japanese smokers and non-smokers like as the current study. Results similar to the current study have been reported in previous studies in Western countries, so that we should treat health-related behavioral factors as confounding factors in many epidemiological in Japan as well as Western countries. Unfortunately, the objective evaluation of health-related behavioral factors like as dietary habit are extremely difficult. Therefore, at a present, we should carefully estimate the risk of smoking in epidemiological researches, while simultaneously trying to establish the objective measure of these factors.

As mentioned above, there are little differences in characteristics in smokers between Japan and the West, hence it is unlikely that these differences are attributed to the "Japanese smoking paradox". Furthermore, there should be relatively little differences in the manner in which antismoking campaigns are conducted in Japan and the West.

## Acknowledgement

We thank Professor Tomio Hirohata, Nakamura-gakuen University, for permitting use of the questionnaire for dietary survey. This questionnaire was developed by him and his colleagues.

#### References

- Beser E, Baytan SH, Akkoyunlu D, et al (1995). Cigarette smoking, eating behavior, blood haematocrit level and body mass index. Ethiop Med J, 33, 155-62.
- Dallongeville J, Marecaux N, Fruchart JC, et al (1998). Cigarette smoking is associated with unhealthy patterns of nutrient intake: a meta-analysis. J Nutr, 128, 1450-7.
- Dawber T R (1980). The Framingham Study: The epidemiology of atherosclertic diseases. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, p.172-189.
- De Stefani E, Correa P, Oreggia F, et al (1987). Risk factors for laryngeal cancer. Cancer, 60, 3087-91.
- Epstein FH, Ostrander LD Jr, Johnson BC, et al (1965). Epidemiological studies of cardiovascular disease in a total community-Tecumseh, Michigan Ann Int Med, 62, 1170.

- Eysenck HJ (1991). Smoking, Personality and Stress. Psychosocial Factors in the Prevention of Cancer and Coronary Heart Disease. Springer Verlag New York Inc., New York, USA.
- Faruque MO, Khan MR, Rahman MM, et al (1995). Relationship between smoking and antioxidant nutrient status. Br J Nutr, 73, 625-32.
- Fukao A, Hisamichi S, Komatsu S, et al (1992). Comparison of characteristics between frequent participants and nonparticipants in screening program for stomach cancer. Tohoku J Exp Med, 166, 459-69.
- Hartge P, Silverman D, Hoover R, et al (1987). Changing cigarette habits and bladder cancer risk: a case-control study. J Natl Cancer Inst ,78, 1119-25.
- Hiramaya T (1987). Preventive Oncology, New Developments. Medi-Science Co., Tokyo.
- Hirayama T (1990). Life-style and mortality. A large-scale censusbased cohort study in Japan. Basal, Craggier.
- Kato I, Tominaga S, Naruhashi H (1986). Characteristics of the participants of stomach cancer screening test. Jpn J Pub Health, 33, 749-53 (in Japanese).
- Kato I, Tominaga S, Matsuoka I (1987). Characteristics of the participants of uterine cancer screening test. Jpn J Pub Health, 34, 748-54 (in Japanese).
- Kobayashi Y, Arimoto H, Watanabe S (1991). Occurrence of multiple primary cancer at the National Cancer Center Hospital, 1962-1989. Jpn J Clin Oncol, 21, 233-51.
- La Vecchia C, Negri E (1989). The role of alcohol in oesophageal cancer in non-smokers, and of tobacco in non-drinkers. Int J Cancer, 43, 784-5.
- Liu K, Cedres LB, Stamler J, et al (1982). Relationship of education to major risk factors and death form coronary heart disease, cardiovascular diseases and all causes, Findings of three Chicago epidemiologic studies. Circulation, 66, 1308-14.
- McLaughlin JK, Hrubec Z, Blot WJ, et al (1990). Stomach cancer and cigarette smoking among U.S. veterans, 1954-1980. Cancer Res. 50, 3804.
- Ministry of Health Welfare (1993). Smoking and Health Welfare. Japan Health Promotion & Fitness Foundation, Tokyo.
- Nakamura Y, Sakata K, Yanagawa H (1996). Relationships between smoking habits and other behavior factors among males: from the results of the 1990 National Cardiovascular Survey in Japan. *J Epidemiol*, **6**, 87-91.
- Suyama Y, Itoh R (1992). Multivariate analysis of dietary habits in 931 elderly Japanese males: smoking, food frequency and food preference. J Nutr Elder, 12, 1-12.
- Thornton A, Lee P, Fry J (1994). Differences between smokers, exsmokers, passive smokers and non-smokers. J Clin Epidemiol, **47**, 1143-62.
- Tokunaga S, Hirohata T, Hirohata I (1994). Reporducibility of dietary and other data from self-administered questionnaire. Environ Health Perspect, 102, S5-10.
- US Department of Health and Human Services (1988). The Health Benefits of Smoking Cessation: A report of Surgeon General. US Department of Public Health Services, DHHS Publication No. (CDC) 90-8416, Rockville, Maryland: Washington D.C.
- US Public Health Service (1964). Smoking and Health, Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service, DEHW Public Health Service Publ, No 1103.

## Personal Profile: Yousuke Kitagawa

Yosuke Kitagawa was born in Nagoya City, Japan in 1975. He is presently a student in the 5th grade at Hirosaki University School of Medicine. After graduation from university, it is his desire to undertake research in epidemiology, and therefore he is currently pursuing his studies in the Department of Hygiene, Hirosaki University School of Medicine.

In addition to his scientific endeavours, Kitagawa-san is famed for his ability to run. He is perhaps the fastest medical student or practitioner in Japan, if not the world at present. He recorded the staggering time of 10.3 seconds in the 100 meter race at the last Eastern Japan Medical Students Sports Competition. If his studies keep pace with his athletic prowess, he will surely become an excellent researcher in the field of sports epidemiology.