RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Obesity and Kidney Cancer Risk in Men - a Meta-analysis (1992-2008)

Grata Ildaphonse¹, Preethi Sara George², Aleyamma Mathew^{2*}

Abstract

We conducted a quantitative summary analysis to evaluate the recent evidence of kidney cancer risk according to body mass index (BMI) among men. The studies included in this quantitative review were all cohort and casecontrol studies, which provided information on kidney cancer risk associated with obesity/overweight, published between 1992 and 2008. The details of studies have been identified through searches on the MEDLINE database. We first estimated the risk associated with a unit increase in BMI (1 kg/m²) for individual studies using logitlinear model. After deriving the natural logarithm of the risk per unit of BMI for all studies, we calculated a pooled estimate and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) as a weighted average of the risk obtained in individual studies, by giving a weight proportional to its precision. A total of 27 studies (13 cohort studies and 14 case-control studies) that provided kidney cancer risk according to BMI in men were included in the present analysis. The strength of association was almost similar in most of the cohort studies [relative risk (RR) ranged from 1.04-1.06 per unit increase in BMI] and in one study RR was 1.08. There was no heterogeneity across studies (p-value=0.164). The pooled risk was 1.05 (95% CI=1.04-1.06) per unit increase in BMI based on the cohort studies. The present analysis confirmed the evidence of kidney cancer risk with increased BMI in men and obesity may be responsible at least in part for the rising incidence rates.

Key Words: Obesity - kidney cancer risk - meta-analysis

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 10, 279-286

Introduction

Kidney cancers the third most common malignancy of the genitourinary system account for 2 to 3 percent of all cancers in men worldwide, with 130,000 new cases and 63,000 deaths from the disease occurring annually (Ferlay et al., 2004). More than 80% of kidney cancers are renal cell carcinomas (RCC) originating from the renal parenchyma. The remainder is mainly transitional cell carcinomas originating from the renal pelvis (Chow et al., 1999). Kidney cancer incidence and mortality rates are more than twice in men compared to women. These rates vary more than 10-fold over the world and are highest in North America and Europe and lowest in Asian and Latin American countries (Curado et al., 2007). Incidence and mortality rates of this disease, particularly RCC, have been reported to be rising in several countries worldwide (Mathew et al., 2002; Perez-Farinos et al., 2006; Falebita et al., 2008) except in a few countries in Europe (Levi et al., 2008).

It is reported that the rising incidence of renal parenchyma cancer is due both to an increased prevalence of risk factors and to improvements in diagnosis (Falebita et al., 2008). Cigarette smoking, obesity and hypertension (Lipworth et al., 2006) are well-established risk factors for kidney cancer. Per capita cigarette consumption among men decreased in many countries such as USA, Canada, Europe, and New Zealand (Monteiro et al., 2007; Ahacic et al., 2008; Duval et al., 2008; Edwards et al., 2008). Further it is reported that antihypertensive drug use has risen sharply in some countries and thereby prevalence of hypertension has remained stable or declined (Mosterd et al., 1999). However, the prevalence of obesity has increased to epidemic proportion in recent decades in many populations (Abubakari et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2008; Lilja et al., 2008; Matsushita et al., 2008; Wildman et al., 2008) and this increasing prevalence might therefore, at least, explain the increasing incidence of kidney cancer.

In a quantitative summary analysis by including mostly case-control studies and a few cohort studies-in principle more valid study design among the observational studies - which were published between 1966 and 1998, it is reported that 7% increased risk for kidney cancer per unit of increase in body mass index (BMI) (corresponding to 3 kg body weight increase for a subject of average height) in men (Bergstrom et al., 2001). However, during the past decade, more than 10 cohort studies reported on such associations. Hence we conducted a quantitative summary analysis to evaluate the recent evidence of kidney cancer risk according to BMI among men by including all cohort and case-control studies, which were published during the past one and a half decades.

Regional Cancer Center, Trivandrum- 695 01, Kerala, India. *For Correspondence: amathew@rcctvm.org/aleyammam@yahoo.com

Materials and Methods

The studies included in this quantitative review were all cohort and case-control studies, which provided information on kidney cancer risk and obesity/overweight, published between 1992 and 2008. The details of studies have been identified through searches on the MEDLINE database, using keywords "kidney cancer", "renal cell carcinoma", "body mass index", "obesity" and "anthropometric factors". Papers were also searched among those quoted as references in the retrieved studies. We also identified previously published quantitative reviews to compare the present results. We considered mostly the studies of kidney parenchyma (ICD-10: C64). A description of the main characteristics such as the authors, year of publication, country, categories of BMI, the relative risk (RR) for cohort studies, the odds ratio (OR) for case-control studies and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), for different categories of BMI were obtained. We used the estimates adjusted for smoking and other confounding factors. If the RR or OR was expressed in more than one way, the estimate with greatest degree of controlling for confounders was used.

We first estimated the OR or RR associated with a unit increase in BMI (1 kg/m^2) for individual studies where the results were reported in categories of BMI. To treat BMI as a continuous exposure variable, its value was set at the midpoint of each category. For open-ended categories of BMI (e.g., <25 or >35), we assigned a value following the algorithms suggested by II'yasova et al., (2005). For the upper open-ended category, we assigned the value of its lower bound plus the width of the previous (second-to-highest) interval. For example, if the upper open-ended BMI category is >30, and the previous category is 25-30, we assigned a value of 30 + (30-25) =35. For the lower open-ended BMI category we assigned the value of its upper bound minus half the width of the next (second-to-lowest) interval. For example, if the lower open-ended category is <25 and the next category is 25-30, we assigned the value of 25-0.5(30-25) = 22.5.

The OR associated with a unit increase in BMI was estimated using logit-linear (linear-logistic) model: $\phi(x, z) = \alpha + \beta x + \chi' z$; where 'x' is BMI, 'z' is the vector of confounders, and ' ϕ ' is the log odds of being a case in the study versus being a control.

The estimate (β) [OR=exp (β)] is computed as follows: Initially we subtracted the midpoint BMI of reference category from the midpoint BMI of all other categories and thus BMI reference category is set to '0'. The log odds ratio for the corresponding reference category was also set to zero (corresponding to a relative risk of 1).

Let Nx=the total number of subjects at each BMI category 'x'; N=the vector of Nx; M1=the total number of cases; Lx=the adjusted log odds ratio estimate for category 'x' ($x\neq 0$) versus the reference category (x=0); L=the vector of Lx ($x\neq 0$); vx=the estimated variance for Lx; v=the vector of vx ($x\neq 0$). Variance for Lx is estimated using the method provided by Greenland (1987).

We fitted cell counts (which have margins Nx and M1) such that (AxB0)/(A0Bx) = exp(Lx), where Ax and Bx (Bx=Nx-Ax) are the fitted number of cases and non-cases

at category 'x'. The algorithm is based on Newton's method (Seber and Wild, 1989) for solving the vector of fitted number of cases (Ax) at each non-zero categories. For $x \neq z$, we estimated the asymptomatic correlation of Lx and Lz by rxz = (1/A0+1/B0)/(SxSz), where $Sx^2 =$ crude variance estimate = 1/Ax + 1/Bx + 1/A0 + 1/B0, and the asymptotic covariance (C) of Lx and Lz by cxz = rxz (vx vz)^{1/2}. We estimated ' β ' by weighted least squares as b*=vb* x' C-1L, where vb*= var (b*)= (x'C-1x)-1, 'x' is the vector of observed non-zero exposure levels, 'C' is the covariance of 'L' and 'L' is the vector of 'Lx'. 'C' has diagonal elements 'vx', and off-diagonal elements 'cxz' (Greenland and Longnecker 1992). The estimation was carried out using SAS programming language.

Cohort studies where rate ratios were reported, ' β ' becomes the coefficient in a log-linear (exponential) Poisson regression, Nx becomes the total person-time observed at exposure level 'x'; the Lx's become adjusted log rate ratios; cell counts are fitted such that (AxN0)/(A0Nx) = exp (Lx); and rxz becomes 1/(A0SxSz), where Sx² =M1/(AxA0) and for the analysis of risk ratios (as in a cohort study with Nx persons, rather than person-time), these formulas are applied with Sx²=M1/(AxA0) -1/N0 - 1/Nx and rxz = (1/A0-1/N0)/(SxSz) (Greenland and Longnecker 1992).

After deriving the natural logarithm of the risk per unit of BMI for all studies, we calculated a pooled estimate RRsum (and corresponding 95% CI) as a weighted average of the RRs (RRi), by giving a weight proportional to its precision (i.e., to the inverse of the variance of the RRi) [i.e. RRsum=sum (weighti x ln RRi)/ sum (weighti)]. To assess the consistency of findings among studies, we calculated test for heterogeneity using general variancebased method. i.e. Q = sum[(weighti x(ln RRsum-ln k))]RRi)²]. Q is referred to the chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of studies minus 1. When the chi-square p-value is less than 0.1, we excluded studies with a high value of weight x (ln RRsum- ln RRi)² and then calculated RRsum and the corresponding 95% CI assuming a fixed-effect model (Petiti 2000). Separate and combined estimates based on cohort and case-control studies were computed.

The results of the meta analysis along with the individual studies were presented graphically (forest plot), plotting RR and the respective 95% CI.

Results

A total of 27 studies (13 cohort studies and 14 casecontrol studies) that provided kidney cancer risk according to BMI in men during 1992-2008 were included in the present analysis. The majority of the cohort studies were based on incident cases of kidney cancer (Hiatt et al., 1994; Chow et al., 2000; Bjorge et al., 2004; Flaherty et al., 2005; Oh et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Pischon et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008) and a few studies are based on mortality due to kidney cancer (Heath et al., 1997; Calle et al., 2003). The majority of the case-control studies are population–based (McCredie and Stewart 1992; McLaughlin et al., 1992; Kriger et al., 1993; Lindblad et al., 1994; Mellengaard et al., 1995; Chow et al., 1996; Yuan et al., 1998; Shapiro et al., 1999; Hu et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006) and a few studies are hospital-based (Benhamou et al., 1993; Maso et al., 2007). All case-control studies are based on incident cases of kidney cancer.

In the majority of the cohort studies, height and weight for calculating BMI were obtained using questionnaire method (Heath et al., 1997; Calle et al., 2003; Flaherty et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Pischon et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008) except in a few studies where these variables were obtained through measurements (Chow et al., 2000; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Samanic et al., 2006). Height and weight were assessed using questionnaire method in most of the case-control studies (McCredie and Stewart 1992; Mclaughlin et al., 1992; Lindblad et al., 1994; Mellengaard et al., 1995; Chow et al., 1996; Yuan et al., 1998; Hu et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006; Maso et al., 2007) and in a few studies, these were obtained from the medical records (Shapiro et al., 1999). In all the studies, these details from the cases were collected at least 1 year before cancer diagnosis. The ages of kidney cancer cases were between 20 and 75 in most of the studies (McCredie and Stewart 1992; McLaughlin et al., 1992; Lindblad et al., 1994; Mellengaard et al., 1995; Chow et al., 1996; Heath et al., 1997; Yuan et al., 1998; Shapiro et al., 1999; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006; Pischon et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006). A few studies limited their ages between 40-75 years (Hu et al., 2003; Flaherty et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008). Some studies provided only the mean age among cases and the same was between 44-50 years (Mclaughlin et al., 1992; Hiatt et al., 1994; Chow et al., 2000; Calle et al., 2003).

An increased kidney cancer risk among overweight/ obese men was reported in majority of the studies. There was no heterogeneity across studies (p=0.164). The pooled risk was 1.06 (95% CI=1.05-1.07) for unit increase in BMI based on all the cohort and case-control studies combined.

Cohort studies

Of the 13 cohort studies that investigated the association between BMI and kidney cancer risk after adjusted for age, smoking and other confounding factors, 5 studies reported a significant increased risk (RR ranged from 1.05 to 1.08) (Heath et al., 1997; Chow et al., 2000; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Adams et al., 2008), and 7 studies reported increased risk with borderline significance (RR ranged from 1.02 to 1.06) (Hiatt et al., 1994; Calle et al., 2003; Flaherty et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Pischon et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007). Kidney cancer risk according to unit increase in BMI could not be estimated in one study, as BMI category was not specified (Moller et al., 1994) and thus excluded the study for assessing the heterogeneity between studies. Significant association was observed in all the 8 studies that reported dose-response relationship between BMI and kidney cancer risk (Chow et al., 2000; Calle et al., 2003; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Setiawan et

al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008). There was no evidence of heterogeneity between the cohort studies (p=0.78). The pooled risk estimate was 1.05 (95% CI: 1.04-1.06) per unit increase in BMI (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Case-control studies

Of the 14 case-control studies that investigated the association between BMI and kidney cancer risk after adjusted for age, smoking and other confounding factors, 9 studies reported a significant increased risk (OR ranged from 1.05 to 1.15) (McCredie and Stewart 1992; McLaughlin et al., 1992; Benhamou et al., 1993; Mellengaard et al., 1995; Yuan et al., 1998; Shapiro et al., 1999; Hu et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006) and the remaining studies also reported increased risk but borderline significance (OR ranged from 1.03-1.08) (Kriger et al., 1993; Lindblad et al., 1994; Chow et al., 1996; Maso et al., 2007). Kidney cancer risk according to unit increase in BMI could not be estimated in one study, as BMI category was not specified (Benichou et al., 1998) and thus excluded the study from pooled analysis. Of the 3 studies (McCredie and Stewart 1992; Pan et al., 2006; Maso et al., 2007) that reported doseresponse relationship between the BMI and kidney cancer risk, significant association was observed in one study (Pan et al., 2006). There was no evidence of heterogeneity between the case-control studies (p=0.424). The pooled risk estimate was 1.08 (95% CI: 1.06-1.09) per unit increase in BMI (Table 2 and figure 1).

Assessment of validity of the Greenland and Longnecker (1992) method

Three studies have provided kidney cancer risks according to BMI as a continuous as well as categorical variable (VanDijk et al., 2004; Pischon et al., 2006; Lou et al., 2007). The validity of the above method is assessed by comparing the empirical values of kidney cancer risk according to BMI as a continuous variable which were provided by the above three studies with the values estimated using the above method based on the risks according to BMI categories (Table 3). In one study, same risk was obtained according to BMI as a continuous as



Figure 1. Results of the Summary Analysis of Published Studies on theAssociation between Body Mass Index and Kidney Cancer Risk in Men

Grata Ildaphonse et al

Author/Country	Category comparison	BMI ¹ a RR	s a Category 95% CI	BMI ¹ as a C RR	ontinuous Variable 95% CI
Adams et.al., 2008	22.5-25.0 vs. 18.5-22.5	1.15	0.85-1.57	1.05	1.03-1.07
USA	25.0-27.5 vs. 18.5-22.5	1.43	1.07-1.92		
	27.5-30.0 vs. 18.5-22.5	1.64	1.22-2.22		
	30.0-35.0 vs. 18.5-22.5	1.87	1.38-2.53		
	>35.0 vs. 18.5-22.5	2.47	1.72-3.53		
Setiawan et.al., 2007	25.0-30.0 vs. <25.0	1.14	0.84-1.56	1.04	0.98-1.09
USA	>30.0 vs. <25.0	1.76	1.20-2.58		
Samanic et al., 2006	25.0-29.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	1.23	1.08-1.42	1.06	0.97-1.16
Sweden	> 30.0 vs. 18.5-24.9	1.61	1.27-2.04	1100	0.077 1110
Lukanova et al., 2006	24.2-26.7 vs. 18.5-24.1	2.86	0.87-12.8	1.06	0.98-1.14
Sweden	>26.8 vs. 18.5-24.1	3.20	1.01-14.1	1100	0.00 111
Pischon et al., 2006	23.6-25.3 vs. <23.6	1.07	0.65-1.77	1.03	0.96-1.08
Europe	25.4-27.0 vs. <23.6	0.67	0.39-1.18	1100	0.00 1.00
Larope	27.1-29.0 ys < 23.6	0.84	0.49-1.43		
	>294 vs <23.6	1 22	0 74-2 03		
Oh et.al., 2005	23.0-24.9 vs. 18.5-22.9	1.11	0.89-1.38	1.06	1.03-1.09
Korea	25.0-26.9 vs. 18.5-22.9	1.31	1.02-1.67	1100	1100 1109
	27.0-29.9 vs. 18.5-22.9	1.82	1.37-2.52		
	>30.0 vs. 18.5-22.9	1.42	0.59-3.46		
Flaherty et al., 2005	22.0-24.9 ys. < 22.0	2.10	0.70-5.90	1.04	0.94-1.15
USA	25.0-27.9 vs. <22.0	2.40	0.90-6.80	1.01	0.91 1.12
CDIT	28.0-29.9 vs. <22.0	2.10	0.70-6.60		
	> 30.0 ys < 22.0	2.10	0.70-6.80		
Biorge et al., 2004	25.0-29.9 vs. <24.9	1.18	1.11-1.26	1.05	1.03-1.07
Norway	>30 vs. <24.9	1.55	1.36-1.76	1100	1100 1107
Calle et al 2003	25 0-29 9 vs 18 5-24 9	1 18	1.02-1.37	1.02	0 99-1 05
USA	30.0-34.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	1.36	1.06-1.74	1102	0.000 11000
	35.0-34.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	1.70	0.99-2.92		
Chow et al., 2000	20.75 - 21.90 ys < 20.75	1.20	0.70-1.80	1.08	1.04-1.11
Sweden	21.91-22.85 vs. < 20.75	0.90	0.60-1.50		
	22.86-23.80 vs. < 20.75	1.40	0.90-2.10		
	23.81-24.76 vs. < 20.75	1.60	1.10-2.40		
	24.77-25.95 vs. < 20.75	1.30	0.80-1.90		
	25.96-27.75 vs. < 20.75	1.70	1.10-2.50		
	>27.76 vs. < 20.75	1.90	1.30-2.70		
Heath et al 1997	24 7-27 7 vs 20 7-24 6	1.50	0.80-1.60	1.06	1 02-1 10
USA	27.8-31.0 vs. 20.7-24.6	1.60	1.10-2.30	1.00	1.02 1.10
	>31.1 vs. 20.7-24.6	1.60	0.90-2.70		
Hiatt et al. 1994 USA	> 28.3 vs. < 24.6	1.00	0.70-3.10	1.05	0.95-1.16
Moller et al., 1994 Denmark	Obesity vs. $normal^2$	1.10	0.70-1.80	1.05	0.90 1110
	Heterogeneity p-value =0.78	1.20	Summary RI	R 1.05	1.04-1.06

Table 1. Association Between Bod	v Mass Index and Kidne	v Cancer Risk in Men	(Cohort Studies)
---	------------------------	----------------------	------------------

¹BMI, body mass index; ²Category not specified

well as categorical variable. In the other three studies, the risks estimated based on the Greenland and Longnecker (1992) method slightly overestimated the results.

Discussion

The results of this meta-analysis indicated a moderate excess risk of kidney cancer with increased BMI. The strength of association was almost similar in most of the cohort studies (RR ranged from 1.04-1.06 per unit increase in BMI) and in one study RR was 1.08. A slightly higher risk was observed in most of the case-control studies with a wider variation in the risks (OR ranged from 1.03 to 1.15). Lower value with a narrow confidence interval was observed in the pooled risk based on cohort studies (RR=1.05; 95% CI: 1.04-1.06) compared to the case-control studies (OR=1.08; 95% CI: 1.06-1.09). The variation in the strength of association between kidney

cancer risk and BMI could be due to the difference in the confounding variables adjusted for risk estimation. Also, the higher risks in case-control studies point to the presence of selection bias. Moreover, odds ratios always show slightly overestimated figures than risk ratios. These might be the reasons for higher risks reported in casecontrol studies.

A total of 13 cohort studies were included in the present analysis as against 3 by Bergstrom et al. (2001). In the present analysis we observed slightly a lower pooled risk (RR=1.05) with a narrow 95% confidence interval (95% CI: 1.04-1.06) based on cohort studies as against the previous review (RR=1.07; 95% CI: 1.04-1.09) (Bergstrom et al., 2001). As cohort studies are in principle the most valid study design in observational studies, the results based on cohort studies may be considered as more reliable.

Another strength of the present analysis was that the

Author/Country	Category comparison	BMI ¹ as a Category		BMI ¹ as a Continuous Variable	
		RR	95% CI	RR	95% CI
Maso et al., 2007 ²	25.0-29.9 vs. <25.0	1.14	0.88-1.47	1.03	0.98-1.08
Italy	>30.0 vs. <25.0	1.38	0.79-2.42		
Chiu et al., 2006 ³	22.21-24.25 vs. <22.2	1.30	0.70-2.30	1.05	1.00-1.10
USA	24.26-26.47 vs. <22.2	2.00	1.10-3.50		
	26.48-28.89 vs. <22.2	1.50	0.80-2.80		
	28.90 vs. <22.2	1.70	0.90-3.30		
Pan et al., 2006	25-29.9 vs. 18.5-25.0	2.05	1.55-2.72	1.09	1.06-1.13
Canada	>30.0 vs. 18.5-25.0	2.57	1.80-3.66		
Hu et al., 2003	25.0-29.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	2.20	1.70-2.70	1.07	1.05-1.09
Canada	30.0-34.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	2.80	2.20-3.80		
	35.0-39.9 vs. 18.5-24.9	1.90	1.10-3.30		
	> 40.0 vs. 18.5-24.9	3.70	1.50-9.40		
Shapiro et al., 1999	25.38-27.23 vs.<25.58	1.30	0.70-2.60	1.15	1.06-1.25
USA	27.24-29.48 vs.<25.38	1.20	0.60-2.40		
	>29.48 vs.<25.38	2.30	1.20-4.50		
Benichou et al., 19984	Q2 vs. lowest quartile (Q1)	1.10	0.80-1.70		
USA	Q3 vs. lowest quartile	1.30	0.90-1.80		
	Q4 vs. lowest quartile	1.60	1.10-2.30		
Yuan et. al., 1998	22.0-24.0 vs. <22.0	1.70	1.10-2.50	1.11	1.01-1.21
USA	24.0-26.0 vs. <22.0	1.60	1.10-2.40		
	26.0-28.0 vs. <22.0	2.00	1.30-3.10		
	28.0-30.0 vs. <22.0	2.70	1.70-4.30		
	> 30.0 vs. <22.0	4.60	2.90-7.50		
Chow et al., 1996	23.17-24.41 vs. 23.12	0.80	0.50-1.20	1.04	0.98-1.10
USA	24.68-25.83 vs. 23.12	0.80	0.50-1.40		
	25.84-27.60 vs. 23.12	1.10	0.70-1.70		
	27.80-29.65 vs. 23.12	1.10	0.60-2.00		
	> 29.75 vs. 23.12	1.30	0.70-2.30		
Mellengaardet al.,1995 ⁵	25.0-27.1 vs. <25.0	1.20	0.90-1.50	1.08	1.05-1.12
Australia, Denmark,	27.1-29.7 vs. <25.0	1.50	1.10-1.90		
Germany, Sweden, USA	>29.7 vs. <25.0	1.40	0.90-1.80		
Lindblad et al., 1994 ⁵	24.7-26.1 vs. <24.70	0.77	0.42-1.42	1.08	0.96-1.22
Western Europe	26.2-28.7 vs. <24.70	1.58	0.90-2.75		
	>28.7 vs. <24.70	1.08	0.58-2.02		
Benhamou et al., 1993 France	e > 27.0 vs. <20.00	2.40	1.00-5.90	1.11	1.04-1.75
Krieger et al., 1993 Canada	> 25.1 vs. <21.50	1.30	0.82-2.20	1.05	0.97-1.10
McCredie et al., 1992	23.05-25.33 vs. <23.05	1.00	0.60-1.50	1.10	1.04-1.17
Australia	> 25.34 vs. <23.05	1.60	1.10-2.50		
Mclaughlin et.al., 1992 ⁶	19.8-21.9 vs. <19.7	1.40	0.40-5.00	1.06	1.02-1.11
China	22.0-23.3 vs. <19.7	2.70	0.70-10.9		
	>23.3 vs. <19.7	1.70	0.50-5.70		
	Heterogeneity p-value =0.4238		Summary OR	1.08	1.06-1.09

Tuble 2. Abboelucion Dech con Doug Mubb much una Muneg Cuncer Mish in Men Cube control Decures	Table 2. Association Between Bod	v Mass Index and Kidn	ev Cancer Risk in Men	(Case-control Studies)
--	----------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

¹BMI: body mass index; ²BMI at age 30 years; ³BMI at age 40 years; ⁴Included both gender & OR according to unit change was not calculated, ⁵used maximal weight for BMI; ⁶BMI at age 50 years

individual studies were controlled for a varying degree of confounders. We included only those studies, which were adjusted for age and smoking. Cigarette smoking is consistently reported with an increased risk of kidney cancer (Lindblad et al., 1994; Chow et al., 1996; Hu et al., 2003; Flaherty et al., 2005; Pischon et al., 2006). Although hypertension and blood pressure are possible confounders of the association between obesity and kidney cancer, only 7 studies (Heath et al., 1997; Shapiro et al., 1999; Chow et al., 2000; Flaherty et al., 2005; Chiu et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007) were adjusted for hypertension/ blood pressure. However, since both blood pressure and hypertension could be intermediate steps in the causal pathway, it is not clear if adjustment is desirable. Other factors adjusted in the various studies were alcohol use (Calle et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2003; Pischon et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007), family history of kidney cancer (Chiu et al., 2006; Maso et al., 2007), physical activity (Calle et al., 2003; Pan et al., 2006; Pischon et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008), energy intake (Calle et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006; Adams et al., 2008), meat intake (Hu et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006) and fruits and vegetables intake (Calle et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2003; Chiu et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006). Thus it is assumed that the bias due to the effect of smoking and other confounders is mostly removed in the pooled risk also.

There can be several arguments in favour of a causal relationship between obesity and the occurrence of kidney cancer. An increased risk was observed in majority of the studies in which we performed a meta-analysis. In addition to the consistency and strength of association, doseresponse relationship was observed in most of the studies. Out of 11 studies (McCredie et al., 1992; Chow et al.,

Author, year & country, gender & type of study	BMI Category	RR (category)	RR ² (continuous)RR ³ (continuous)
Pischon et al., 2006, Europe, men, cohort study	23.6-25.3 vs. <23.6	1.07 (0.65-1.77)	1.01 (0.97-1.06) 1.03 (0.96-1.08)
	25.4-27.0 vs. <23.6	0.67 (0.39-1.18)	
	27.1-29.0 vs. <23.6	0.84 (0.49-1.43)	
	>29.4 vs. <23.6	1.22 (0.74-2.03)	
Pischon et al., 2006, Europe, women, cohort study	21.8-23.7 vs. <21.8	1.48 (0.73-3.01)	1.05 (1.01-1.09) 1.06 (1.02-1.15)
	23.8-25.9 vs. <21.8	1.39 (0.69-2.80)	
	26.0-29.0 vs. <21.8	1.99 (1.03-3.88)	
Lou et al., 2007, USA women, cohort study	25.0-29.9 vs. <25.0	1.30 (1.00-1.80)	1.03(1.01-1.05) 1.04(1.02-1.07)
	30.0-34.9 vs.<25.0	1.60 (1.10-2.30)	
	>35.0 vs. <25.0	1.80 (1.20-2.70)	
Van Dijk et.al., 2004 The Netherland, men & wom	en, cohort study		
	23-25 vs <23	0.77 (0.50-1.19)	1.07(1.02-1.12) 1.07(1.02-1.12)
	25-27 vs <23	0.92 (0.61-1.36)	
	27-30 vs <23	1.46 (0.97-2.21)	
	30-33 vs <23	1.04 (0.54-1.99)	

 Table 3. Risk Estimation Based on BMI as a Continuous Variable using Categories: Assessment of the Validity of the Method

RR¹ based on raw data; RR² is estimated based on the Greenland and Longnecker (1992)

2000; Calle et al., 2003; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Maso et al., 2007; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008) that reported dose-response relationship between BMI and kidney cancer risk, significant association was observed in 9 studies (Chow et al., 2000; Calle et al., 2003; Bjorge et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2005; Lukanova et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006; Samanic et al., 2006; Setiawan et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2008). Further a biologic plausibility exists, as obesity might be associated with increased risk of kidney cancer through several hormonal mechanisms. Elevated risk associated with obesity included increased levels of estrogens and insulin, a higher concentration of growth factors in the adipose tissue, abnormalities in cholesterol metabolism, and alterations in the immune system (Moyad 2001).

The main limitation of summary analysis concerns the possibility that the included studies are a biased sample of studies in general, since findings of no association are more likely to be unpublished. Another concern is that not all published studies during the period 1992-2008, provided results that could be included in the summary analysis as the specific categories of BMI was not provided (Mellemgaard et al., 1994; Moller et al., 1994; Pan et al., 2004; Van Dijk et al., 2004; Spyridopoulos et al., 2007). However, these studies were also reported increased risk with increased BMI.

Another potential limitation of the present findings is that majority of the studies in the summary analysis used height and weight using self-administered questionnaire. Although such data have been shown to be quite accurate, obese subjects in general under-report, their weight more than non-obese subjects while underweight subjects overestimate their body size. This might lead to nondifferential misclassification, which, if anything, only underestimates the true association between obesity and kidney cancer risk and therefore cannot explain the finding of a positive association (Rothman and Greenland 1998). The possibility of differential misclassification (recall bias -i.e. case subjects might report their weight differently than control subjects) may be possibility in the casecontrol studies, but the consistency of findings from the case-control studies and the cohort studies is a strong argument against recall bias.

Obesity might be associated with increased risk of kidney cancer through several hormonal mechanisms. Increasing BMI is accompanied by elevated levels of fasting serum and free insulin like growth factor-I (IGF-I) (Frystyk et al., 1995). Insulin and IGF-I could both contribute to the growth and proliferation of renal cell cancer (Kellerer et al., 1995). Epidemiological studies indicated that patients with diabetes, which is associated with higher plasma insulin levels, have an increased risk of kidney cancer (Lindblad et al., 1994; Schlehofer et al., 1996).

Obesity also affects the hormonal milieu by increasing levels of free endogenous oestrogen, which may in turn influence renal cell proliferation and growth by direct endocrine receptor-mediated effects, by regulation of receptor concentrations or through paracrine growth factors. However, though potent estrogens have been shown to induce renal tumors in animal models (Stadler and Vogdzang, 1993), there is little epidemiological evidence supporting an association of exogenous estrogens in humans (Mclaughlin and Lipworth, 2000). Obesity could also have other effects on the kidneys. For example, obese individuals have been reported to have higher glomerular filtration rate and renal plasma flow independent of hypertension, which may increase risk for kidney damage (Hall et al., 1994; Ribstein et al., 1995), and therefore make the kidney more susceptible to carcinogens.

In conclusion, the pooled analysis confirmed the evidence of kidney cancer risk with increased BMI in men and obesity may be responsible at least in part for the rising incidence rates. The association may be considered as causal as it supports most of the Hill's (1965) criteria such as consistency, strength of association, dose-response relationship, temporal relationship, and biological plausibility.

Obesity and Kidney Cancer Risk in Men - a Meta-analysis

References

- Adams KF, Leitzmann MF, Albanes D, et al (2008). Body size and renal cell cancer incidence in a large US cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*, **168**, 268-77.
- Abubakari AR, Lauder W, Agyemang C, et al (2008). Prevalence and time trends in obesity among adult West African populations: a meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*, **9**, 297-311.
- Ahacic K, Kennison R, Thorslund M (2008). Trends in smoking in Sweden from 1968 to 2002: age, period, and cohort. *Prev Med*, 46, 558-64.
- Benhamou S, Lenfant MH, Ory-paolettic, Flamant R (1993). Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in a French case control study. *Int J Cancer*, 55, 32-6.
- Benichou J, Chow W-H, Mclaughlin JK, Mandel JS, Fraumeni JF Jr (1998). Population attributable risk of renal cell cancer in Minnesota. Am J Epidemiol, 148, 424-30.
- Bergstrom A, Hsieh CC, Lindblad P, et al (2001). Obesity and renal cell carcinoma, a quantitative review. *Br J Cancer*, **85**, 984-90.
- Bjorge T, Tretli S, Engeland A (2004). Relation of height and body mass index to renal cell carcinoma in two million Norwegian men and women. *Am J Epidemiol*, **160**, 1168-76.
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Thurmond KW, Thun MJ (2003). Overweight, obesity and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of US adults. *New Eng J Med*, **348**, 1625-38.
- Chen Y, Rennie DC, Dosman JA (2008). Changing prevalence of obesity in a rural community between 1977 and 2003: A multiple cross-sectional study. *Public Health*, (in press).
- Chiu BC-H, Gapstur SM, Chow W-H, et al (2006). Body mass index, physical activity, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Obesity*, **30**, 940-7.
- Chow WH, Devesa SS, Warren JL, Frumeni JFJr (1999). Rising incidence of renal cell cancer in the United States. *JAMA*, 281, 1628-31.
- Chow WH, Gridley G, Frumeni JFJr, Jasiholm B (2000). Obesity, hypertension and the risk of kidney cancer in men. *N Engl J Med*, **343**, 1305-11.
- Chow WH, McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS, et al (1996). Obesity and risk of renal cell cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prevent*, 5, 17-21.
- Curado MP, Edwards, B, Shin HR, et al (eds) (2007). Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, Vol. IX, IARC Scientific Publications No. 160, Lyon, IARC.
- Duval S, Jacobs DR Jr, Barber C, et al (2008). Trends in cigarette smoking: the Minnesota Heart Survey, 1980-1982 through 2000-2002. *Nicotine Tob Res*, **10**, 827-32.
- Edwards R, Bowler T, Atkinson J, Wilson N (2008). Low and declining cigarette-smoking rates among doctors and nurses: 2006 New Zealand Census data. *N Z Med J*, **121**, 43-51.
- Falebita OA, Mancini S, Kiely E, Comber H (2008). Rising incidence of renal cell carcinoma in Ireland. *Int Urol Nephrol*, (in press).
- Ferlay J, Bary F, Pisani P, Parkin DM (2004). Globocan 2002: Cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence Worldwide. International Agency for research on Cancer. Cancer Base no.5 version 2.0. IARC press, Lyon.
- Flaherty KT, Fuchs CS, Colditz GA, et al (2005). A prospective study of body mass index, hypertension and smoking and the risk of renal cell carcinoma (United States). *Cancer Causes Control*, **16**, 1099-106.
- Frystyk J, Vestbo E, Skjaerbaek C, Mogensen CE, Orskov H (1995). Free insulin-like growth factors in human obesity. *Metabolism*, 44, 37-44.
- Greenland S (1987). Quantitative methods in the review of

epidemiologic literature. *Epidemiol Rev*, 9, 1-30.

- Greenland S, Longnecker MP (1992). Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with application to meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol*, **135**, 1301-9.
- Hall JE, Louis K (1994). Dahl Memorial Lecture Renal and cardiovascular mechanisms of hypertension in obesity. *Hypertension*, 23, 381-94.
- Heath CW Jr, Lally CA, Calle EE, McLaughlin JK, Thun MJ (1997). Hypertension, diuretics and antihypertensive medication as possible risk factors for renal cell cancer. *Am J Epidemiol*, **145**, 607-13.
- Hiatt RA, Tolan K, Quesenberry CP Jr (1994). Renal cell carcinoma and thiazide use: a historical, case-control study (California, USA). *Cancer Causes Control*, **5**, 319-25.
- Hill AB (1965). The environment and disease: association or causation? *Proc R Soc Med*, **58**, 295-300.
- Hu J, Mao Y, White K, and The Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group (2003). Overweight and obesity in adults and risk of renal carcinoma in Canada. *Soz-Preventiv Med*, **48**, 178-85.
- II'yasova D, Hertz-Picciotto I, Peters U et al (2005). Choice of exposure scores for categorical regression in meta-analysis: a case study of a common problem. *Cancer Causes Control*, 16, 383-8.
- Kellerer M, von Eye Corleta H, Muhlhofer A, et al (1995). Insulin- an insulin-like growth-factor-I receptor tyrosinekinase activities in human renal carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*, 62, 501-7.
- Levi F, Ferlay J, Galeone C, et al (2008). The changing pattern of kidney cancer incidence and mortality in Europe. *BJU Int*, **101**, 949-58.
- Lilja M, Eliasson M, Stegmayr B, Olsson T, Söderberg S (2008). Trends in obesity and its distribution: Data from the Northern Sweden MONICA Survey, 1986-2004. *Obesity*, (in press).
- Lindblad P, Wolk A, Bergstrom R, Person I, Adami H (1994). The role of obesity and weight fluctuations in the etiology of renal cell carcinoma: A population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prevent*, **3**, 631-9.
- Lipworth L, Tarone RE, McLaughlin JK (2006). The epidemiology of renal cell carcinoma. J Urol, **176**, 2353-8.
- Lou J, Margolis KL, Adami HO, et al for the women's health initiative investigators (2007). Body size, weight cycling and risk of renal cell carcinoma among postmenopausal women: The women's health initiative (United States). *Am J Epidemiol*, **166**, 752-9.
- Lukanova A, Bjor O, Kaaks R, et al (2006). Body mass index and cancer: results from the Northern Sweden Health and Disease cohort. *Int J Cancer*, **118**, 458-66.
- Kriger N, Marret LD, Dodds L, Hilditch S, Darlington GA (1993). Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma: results of a population based case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control*, 4, 101-10.
- Maso LD, Zucchetto A, Tavani A, et al (2007). Renal cell cancer and body size at different ages: an Italian Multicenter casecontrol study. *Am J Epidemiol*, **166**, 582-91.
- McLaughlin JK, Gao YT, Gao RN, et al (1992). Risk factors for renal-cell cancer in Shanghai, China. *Int J Cancer*, **5**2, 562-5.
- Mclaughlin JK and Lipworth L (2000). Epidemiologic aspects of renal cell cancer. *Semin Oncol*, **27**, 115-23.
- Mathew A, Devesa SS, Fraumeni JF Jr, Chow WH (2002). Global increases in kidney cancer incidence, 1973-1992. Eur J Cancer Prev, 11, 171-8.
- Matsushita Y, Takahashi Y, Mizoue T, et al (2008). Overweight and obesity trends among Japanese adults: a 10-year followup of the JPHC Study. *Int J Obes*, (in press).
- McCredie M, Stewart JH (1992). Risk factors for kidney cancer

Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 10, 2009 285

Grata Ildaphonse et al

in New South Wales, Australia.II. Urologic diseases, hypertension, obesity, and hormonal factors. *Cancer Causes Control*, **3**, 323-31.

- Mellengaard A, Engholm G, McLaughlin JK, Olsen JH (1994). Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in Denmark. III. Role of weight, physical activity and respective factors. *Int J Cancer*, **56**, 66-71.
- Mellengaard A, Lindblad P, Schlehofer B, et al (1995). International renal-cell cancer study. III. Role of weight, height, physical activity, and use of amphetamines. *Int J Cancer*, **60**, 350-4.
- Møller H, Mellemgaard A, Lindvig K, Olsen JH (1994). Obesity and cancer risk: A Danish record-linkage study. *Eur J Cancer*, **30**, 344-50.
- Monteiro CA, Cavalcante TM, Moura EC, Claro RM, Szwarcwald CL (2007). Population-based evidence of a strong decline in the prevalence of smokers in Brazil (1989-2003). *Bull World Health Organ*, **85**, 527-34.
- Mosterd A, D'Agostino RB, Silbershatz H, et al (1999). Trends in the prevalence of hypertension, antihypertensive therapy, and left ventricular hypertrophy from 1950 to 1989. *N Engl J Med*, **340**, 1221-7.
- Moyad MA (2001). Obesity, interrelated mechanisms, and exposures and kidney cancer. *Semin Urol Oncol*, **19**, 270-9.
- Oh SW, Yoon YS, Shin S-A (2005). Effects of excess weight on cancer incidences depending on cancer sites and histologic findings among men: Korea National Health Insurance Corporation Study. J Clin Oncol, 23, 4742-54.
- Pan SY, DesMeules M, Morrison H, Wen SW: The Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group (2006). Obesity, high-energy intake, lack of physical activity and the risk of kidney cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **15**, 2453-60.
- Pan SY, Johnson KC, Ugnat AM, Wen SW, Mao Y (2004). The Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group. Association of obesity and cancer risk in Canada. *Am J Epidemiol*, **154**, 259-68.
- Pérez-Farinós N, López-Abente G, Pastor-Barriuso R (2006). Time trend and age-period-cohort effect on kidney cancer mortality in Europe, 1981-2000. *BMC Public Health*, 6, 119.
- Petiti DB (2000). Meta-Analysis, Decision Analysis, and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pischon T, Lahmann PH, Boeing H et al (2006). Body size and risk of renal cell carcinoma in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer*, **118**, 728-38.
- Ribstein J, du Cailar G and Mimran A (1995). Combined renal effects of overweight and hypertension. *Hypertension*, **26**, 610-5.
- Rothman K, Greenland S (1998). Precision and validity in epidemiologic studies. In: Modern Epidemiology, Rothman K, Greenland S (ed). Lippincott-Raven: Philadelphia. 115-134.
- Samanic C, Chow W-H, Gridley G, Jarvholm B, Fraumeni JF Jr. (2006) Relationation of body mass index to cancer risk in 362,552 Swedish men. *Cancer Causes Control*, **17**, 901-9.
- Schlehofer B, Pommer W, Mellemgaard A, et al (1996). International renal cell cancer study. VI, the role of medical and family history. *Int J Cancer*, **66**, 723-26.
- Seber GAF, Wild CJ (1989). Nonlinear Regression. New York: John Wiley and Sons. Inc Publishers.
- Setiawan VW, Stram DO, Nomura AMY, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE (2007). Risk factors for renal cell cancer: The multiethnic cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*, **166**, 932-40.
- Shapiro JA, Williams MA, Weiss NS (1999). Body mass index and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Epidemiology 10:188-191.

- Spyridopoulos TN, Petridou ET, Skalkidou A, et al (2007). Low adiponectin levels are associated with renal cell carcinoma: case–control study. *Int J Cancer*, **120**, 1573-8.
- Stadler W, Vogdzang NJ (1993). Human renal cancer carcinogenesis: a review of recent advances. Ann Oncol, 4, 451-62.
- Van Dijk B A, Schouten LJ, Lamberts AL, et al (2004). Relation of height, body mass, energy intake, and physical activity to risk of renal cell carcinoma: Results from the Netherlands Cohort Study. *Am J Epidemiol*, **160**, 1159-67.
- Wildman RP, Gu D, Muntner P, Wu X, et al (2008). Trends in overweight and obesity in Chinese adults: between 1991 and 1999-2000. *Obesity*, **16**, 1448-53.
- Yuan JM, Castelao JE, Dominguez MG, Ross RK, Yu MC (1998). Hypertension, obesity and their medications in relation to renal cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*, **77**, 1508-13.