# **RESEARCH COMMUNICATION**

# Effects of the MTHFR C677T Polymorphism on Prostate Specific Antigen and Prostate Cancer

# Özlem Küçükhüseyin<sup>1</sup>, Özlem Kurnaz<sup>1</sup>, A.Basak Akadam-Teker<sup>1</sup>, Fehmi Narter<sup>2</sup>, Hülya Yılmaz-Aydoğan<sup>1\*</sup>, Turgay İsbir<sup>3</sup>

# Abstract

Prostate cancer is the most common malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer related deaths among men in many countries. Serum levels of prostate-spesific antigen (PSA) have attracted attention for prediction purposes. The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene play a critical role in cancer development, but its potential impact on prostate cancer has not been well studied. The C677T variant lies in exon 4 at the folate binding site of the MTHFR gene and results in substitution of an alanine by a valine residue. The present study was carried out 55 cases with prostate cancer and 50 healthy men. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), and agarose gel electrophoresis techniques were employed to determine MTHFR C677T mutation. The frequencies of the CT genotype (p= 0.025) and T allele (p= 0.023) was found to be higher in control subjects when compared with patients group. No statistical difference was found between the alleles of MTHFR and PSA levels after (PSA-BT)/ before (PSA-AT) antiandrogen treatment or tumor stages. We suggest that the heterozygote CT genotype and the 677T allele of the MTHFR polymorphism might be associated with an decreased prostate cancer risk.

Keywords: Prostate cancer - PSA - MTHFR - polymorphism - RFLP

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 12, 2275-2278

### Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths among men in many countries. The incidence and prevalence account for 15.3% in developed countries and 4.3% in developing countries. Diet, hormone levels, age, and both chemical and carcinogen exposure are the potential risk factors for prostate cancer. Although the etiology of prostate cancer is largely unknown, both genetic and environmental factors might contribute to the development of prostate cancer (Gambert et al., 2001; Parkin et al., 2001; Ilic et al., 2011).

Prostate cancer has a long latency period however serum levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) showed an early rise by the specific activity of tumour cells, therefore PSA is the major serum marker of prostate cancer. Recently total serum levels of homocysteine has been identified as a marker of prostate cancer (Lasalvia-Prisco et al., 2003).

Genetic polymorphisms that alter the activity of the enzymes of biotransformation have been reported to be associated with cancer development and progression. Previous reports revealed that polymorphisms in the MTHFR gene play a critical role in cancer development, but their potential impact on prostate cancer has not been well studied (Rosenblat et al., 2001; De Mattia et al., 2009).

Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is a key enzyme (EC 1.5.1.20) in folate metabolism which results in both DNA synthesis, repair and intracellular methylation reactions. MTHFR regulates the entrance of folates into the methylation cycle (Goyette et al., 1998; Krajinovic et al., 2004). Together with other enzymes MTHFR irreversibly catalyzes the conversion of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, the primary circulating form of folate and the methyl donor for re-methylation processes, which is involved in the homocysteine/ methionine conversion. Methionine is then activated to S-adenosylmethionine, a universal methyl donor in numerous transmethylation reactions including methylation of DNA, proteins, lipids, and synthesis of polyamines. This conversion is critical in controlling intracellular homocysteine levels and maintaining adequate levels of S-adenosylmethionine. MTHFR enzyme function may effect cancer risk in two ways. The impaired MTHFR activity might result in accumulation of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate and therefore a reduction in 5-methyltetrahydrofolate levels which lowers

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Istanbul University, <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, Uskudar State Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Biology, Yeditepe University Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey \*For correspondence: hulyilmaz@yahoo.com

#### Hülya Yılmaz-Aydoğan et al

the source of methyl groups for DNA methylation, an important epigenetic process in gene regulation, leading to modifications in DNA conformation and gene expression. In the case of a reduction in the substrate of the MTHFR, 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate, the levels of thymidylate biosynthesis are decreased leading to deoxynucleotide pool imbalances and increased uracil misincorporation into DNA which potentially causes to chromosomal damage, DNA double-strand breaks, impaired DNA repair, and DNA hypomethylation (Frosst and Blom et al., 1995; Frosst and Rosenblatt et al., 1995; Blount et al., 1997; Bailey et al., 1999; Stern et al. 2000; Friso et al., 2002; Cicek et al., 2004).

MTHFR gene is located on 1p36.3 and has 11 exons spanning 2.2 kb (cDNA Gen Bank accession number U09806) (Goyette et al., 1998; Pereira et al., 2006). Several polymorphic sites have been identified on MTHFR gene. A common 677 C-T transition (rs1801133) in the MTHFR gene is a well identified genetic determinant of hyperhomocysteinemia, and results in a termolabile protein with a decreased enzymatic activity. The C677T variant lies in exon 4 at the folate binding site of the MTHFR gene and results in the substitution of an alanine by a valine (Ala222Val) residue. Some reports have shown an association between MTHFR gene polymorphism with cancer development. However, not all researchers found this association (Frosst and Blom et al., 1995; Bailey et al., 1999; Kimura et al., 2000; Stern et al., 2000; Heijman et al., 2003; Cicek et al., 2004; Krajinovic et al., 2004; Signal et al., 2004; De Mattia et al., 2009).

In the present study, investigation of the effects of MTHFR C677T polymorphism on prostate cancer development and progression in Turkish population was the aim.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study participants and clinical investigation

The MTHFR C677T polymorphism was studied in 55 cases with prostate cancer. All the subjects were selected from Istanbul Uskudar Hospital and the diagnosis of prostate carcinoma was confirmed by the clinical and laboratory examinations and confirmed by pathologic examination. The blood samples were collected from the patients before and after the antiandrogene treatment had been started. 50 healthy men without any symptoms of prostate cancer were included as the control group.

#### PCR-based detection of MTHFR mutation.

Blood specimens were collected in tubes containing EDTA, and DNA samples were extracted from whole blood with a salting-out procedure (Miller et al., 1998). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), and agarose gel electrophoresis techniques were used to determine MTHFR C677T mutation. After amplification of the isolated DNA with PCR, the MTHFR C677T mutation was detected by cutting the PCR product with the restriction endonuclease HinfI (MBI Fermentas, Ontario, Canada) (Frosst and Blom et al., 1995; Frosst and Rosenblatt et al., 1995). The digested DNAs were separated on 3%

agarose gel in 1XTris borate EDTA buffer followed by staining with ethidium bromide solution. The genotypes were typed by visualization under ultraviolet light.

#### Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS software package programme (revision 11.5 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Clinical laboratory data are expressed as mean±SD. Mean values were compared between patients and controls by unpaired Student's t-test. Differences in the distribution of genotypes and alleles between cases and controls were tested using the Chi-square statistic. Allele frequencies were estimated by gene counting methods. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Demographic characteristics were summarised in Table I. The patients and the controls had similar distribution of age, smoking and body mass index. The patient group had a significantly higher level of alcohol consuming (30.0% vs. 8.0%; p<0.05), PSA ( $56.7\pm 125.1$  vs.  $1.26\pm0.92$ ; p<0.01), free prostate specific antigen ( $10.5\pm 31.9$  vs.  $0.30\pm0.29$ ; p<0.05), alkaline phosphatase ( $192.5\pm71.8$  vs.  $90.4\pm18.7$ ; p<0.001), BUN ( $22.9\pm9.45$  vs.  $18.8\pm4.97$ ; p<0.05) and creatinine ( $1.01\pm0.25$  vs.  $0.91\pm0.19$ ; p<0.05) when compared to the control group.

The distributions of genotypes and alleles of MTHFR C677T are shown in Table 2. The frequencies of CC, TT, and CT genotypes among the patients with prostate cancer were 58.2%, 3.6%, and 38.2%, respectively, and among the control subjects 36.0%, 4.0% and 60.0%, respectively. The frequencies of the CT genotype (p=0.025) and T allele (p=0.023) was found to be higher in control subjects when compared with patients group. The 677 CT heterozygote

 Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study

 Population

	Gro		
	Controls	Prostate	P value
	n= 50	cancer n=55	
Age (years) (X±SD)	66.1±5.12	69.1±10.2	NS
Smoking (Yes/No)	30.0/ 70.0	38.1/61.9	NS
Alcohol consumers /nonconsumers (%)	8.00/92.0	30.0/ 70.0*	<0.05
Positive family history (first degree) (Yes/No,%)	6.00/94.0	46.2/53.8	<0.001
BMI $(kg/m^2)$ (X±SD)	26.3±3.03	26.1±2.86	NS
FPSA-BT(ng/ml)	0.30±0.29	$10.5 \pm 31.8$	< 0.05
FPSA-AT(ng/ml)	-	7.1±23.8	
PSA-BT (ng/ml)	$1.26\pm0.92$	56.7±125.1	< 0.01
PSA-AT (ng/ml)	-	8.04±19.5	
Alkalene phosphatase (X±SD)	90.4±18.7	192.5±71.8	<0.001
Creatinine (X±SD)	0.91±0.19	1.01±0.25	< 0.05
BUN (X±SD)	$18.8 \pm 4.97$	22.9±9.45	< 0.05
Early stage/Late stage (%)	-	47.6/52.4	
Distant metastasis (Yes/No	,%) -	33.3/66.7	

BMI, body mass index; PSA, prostate specific antigen; FPSA, free PSA; BT, before treatment; AT, after treatment; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; NS, not significant; n, number

v 1				
Prostate cancer (n=55) Control (n=50)				
S				
32 (58.2 %)	18 (36.0%)			
2 (3.60%)	2 (4.00%)			
21 (38.2%)	30 (60.0%)*			
85 (72.3%)	66 (66.0%)			
25 (22.7%)	34(34.0%)**			
	cer (n=55) Contr 32 (58.2 %) 2 (3.60%) 21 (38.2%) 85 (72.3%) 25 (22.7%)			

Table 2. Genotype and Allele Frequencies of C677Tof MTHFR Gene in the Study Groups

\*Chi-square= 4.991 p= 0.025; OR: 1.571 (95% CI: 1.048-2.357); \*\*Chi-square= 5.626 p=0.023; OR: 1.530 (95% CI: 1.052- 2.226)

Table 3. Effects of MTHFR C677TAlleles on PSALevels and Tumor Stages in Patient Group

MTHFR C677T Alleles	CC Genotype	TT+CT Genotype
PSA-BT (ng/ml)	57.3±101.6	55.7±158.8
PSA-AT (ng/ml)	$4.39 \pm 7.02$	14.3±30.7
FPSA-BT (ng/ml)	16.8±43.0	$3.22 \pm 4.68$
FPSA-AT (ng/dl)	$1.90 \pm 3.02$	13.0±34.8
Early stage (T1 and T2) (%)	) 56.0	47.1
Late stage (T3 and T4) (%)	44.0	52.9
Distant metastasis (Yes/No,	%) 26.7/73.3	44.4/55.6

PSA, prostate specific antigen; FPSA, free PSA; BT, before treatment; AT, after treatment; n, number of individuals.

genotype and 677T allele had significantly decreased the risk of prostate cancer when compared with the CC+TT genotypes and 677CC genotype, respectively (OR=1.57 and OR=1.53, respectively, p<0.05). As for these findings showed that the 677CT genotype and 677T allele might have a protective effect against prostate cancer.

To investigate the effects of the alleles of MTHFR on PSA levels after (PSA-BT)/ before (PSA-AT) antiandrogen treatment and tumor stages, student's t test was elevated and reported no statistical difference (p>0.05). In addition a higher frequency of T677 allele (TT+CT genotypes) was observed in patients who have distant metastase rate, but this relation was also not significantly valued (for CCgenotype: 26.7% vs. for T allele: 44.4%) (Table 3).

# Discussion

The association between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and prostate cancer has been investigated in several previous studies and different conclusions have been reported. Heijmans BT et al. (Heijman et al., 2003) reported a positive association of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with prostate cancer, whereas Kimura F et al. (Kimura et al., 2000) found a weak positive association with higher tumor grade. Cicek et al. (Cicek et al., 2004) reported a reduced risk of prostate cancer progression with 677T allele. In addition some studies reported any effect of the MTHFR C677T variants on prostate cancer (Reljic et al., 2007).

In the present study, the 677 CT heterozygote genotype and 677T allele had significantly decreased the risk of prostate cancer when compared with the CC+TT genotypes and 677CC genotype, respectively (p<0.05).

The results of the present study showed that the 677CT genotype and 677T allele might have a protective effect against prostate cancer. However, any relationship between PSA levels or metastase rate or tumor grade and the MTHFR C677T polymorphism was observed in this study.

Polymorphic variants of MTHFR C677T were found to influence its functional activities whereas homozygous TT variant results in approximately 65% reduction in enzyme activity and heterozygotes CT have 30% of normal enzyme activity (Frosst and Blom et al., 1995100.0 In the case of reduced activity of the MTHFR enzyme the intracellular levels of 5,10-methylene-THF was increased and so causes DNA synthesis via thymidine75.0 synthesis which supports the DNA stability and reduces uracil misincorporation into DNA. In addition availability of 5-methyl-THF for DNA methylation especially in tumour suppressor genes which plays an important role in 50.0 carcinogenesis was decreased (Goyette et al., 1998; Choi et al., 2002; Paz et al., Krajinovic et al., 2004; 2002; Sohn et al., 2004). Therefore MTHFR can be accepted as both25.0 risk and protect factor in carcinogenesis (Choi et al., 2002). Taken together, different tumours evolve by different pathological pathways and the tissue-specific impacts 0 of MTHFR in cancer is determined by its target genes (Mao et al., 2008). Thus, it appears that effect of MTHFR polymorphism on cancer susceptibility is not uniform.

On the other hand etiological factors, such as smoking habit and alcohol consumption is known to increase the risk for several disorders. Puri et al. (Puri et al., 2005) and Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2008) reported that alcohol consumption has been associated with DNA methylation in head, neck, and esophageal cancer. Similarly in the present report higher ratio of alcohol use was observed.

In addition folate and methionine metabolisms might have an important role the development of cancers. In addition some studies reported adequate ingestion of folate may be sufficient to overcome the adverse effects of MTHFR variants (Gemmati et al., 2004; Shrubsole et al.,2004)

The present study is a preliminary study to establish whether MTHFR C677T polymorphism has a causative role in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer in Istanbul population. The main limitation was the sample size to examine the multiple interactions of the data. Also, evaluation of the interaction of folate and MTHFR variant was not applicable due to the lack of folate intake data. Additional studies with larger sample sizes are needed to define the the influence of MTHFR C677T genotyping on clinical outcomes in prostate cancer patients.

### References

- Bailey LB, Gregory JF (1999). Polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and other enzymes: metabolic significance, risks and impact on folate requirement. J Nutr, 129, 919-22.
- Blount BC, Mack MM, Wehr CM, et al (1997). Folate deficiency causes uracil misincorporation into human DNA and chromosome breakage: implications for cancer and neuronal damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 94, 3290-5.

56

#### Hülya Yılmaz-Aydoğan et al

- Choi SW, Mason JB (2002). Folate status: effects on pathways of colorectal carcinogenesis. *J Nutr*, **132**, 2413-8.
- Cicek MS, Nock NL, Li L, et al (2004). Relationship between MTHFR C677T and A1298C genotypes & Haplotypes and Prostate Cancer Risk and Aggressiveness. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **13**, 1331-6.
- De Mattia E, Toffoli G (2009). C677T and A1298C MTHFR polymorphisms, a challenge for antifolate and fluoropyrimidine-based therapy personalisation. *Eur J Cancer*, **45**, 1333-51.
- Friso S, Choi SW, Girelli D, et al (2002). A common mutation in the 5,10methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene affects genomic DNA methylation through an interaction with folate status. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **99**, 5606-11.
- Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, et al (1995). A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. *Nat Genet*, **10**, 111-3.
- Frosst P, Rosenblatt DS, Rozen R (1995). Seven novel mutations in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene and genotype/phenotype correlations in severe methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase deficiency. *Am J Hum Genet*, **56**, 1052-9.
- Gambert S (2001) Screening for prostate cancer. *International Urology and Nephrology*, **33**, 249–57.
- Gemmati D, Ongaro A, Scapoli GL, et al (2004). Common gene polymorphisms in the metabolic folate and methylation pathway and the risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in adults. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **13**, 787-94.
- Goyette P, Pai A, Milos R, et al (1998). Gene structure of human and mouse methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR). *Mamm Genome*, **9**, 652-6.
- Heijmans BT, Boer JM, Suchiman HE, et al (2003). A common variant of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene (1p36) is associated with an increased risk of cancer. *Cancer Res*, 63, 1249-53.
- Ilic D, O'Connor D, Green S (2011). Screening for prostate cancer: A Cochrane systematic review. BJU Int, 107, 882-91.
- Kimura F, Franke KH, Steinhoff C, et al (2000). Methyl group metabolism gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to prostatic carcinoma. *Prostate*, **45**, 225-31.
- Krajinovic M, Lamothe S, Labuda D, et al (2004). Role of MTHFR genetic polymorphisms in the susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, **103**, 252-7.
- Lasalvia-Prisco E, Cucchi S, Vázquez J, et al (2003). Serum markers variation consistent with autoschizis induced by ascorbic acid-menadione in patients with prostate cancer. *Med Oncol*, **20**, 45-52.
- Mao R, Fan Y, Jin Y, et al (2008) Methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphisms and lung cancer : a metaanalysis. J Hum Genet, 53, 340-8.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF (1998). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells, *Nucleic Acids Res*, **16**, 1215.
- Parkin D, Bray F, Devesa S (2001). Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. *Eur J Cancer*, **37**, S4–66
- Paz MF, Avila S, Fraga MF (2002). Germ-line variants in methyl-group metabolism genes and susceptibility to DNA methylation in normal tissues and human primary tumors. *Cancer Res*, 62, 4519-24.
- Pereira TV, Rudnicki M, Pereira AC, et al (2006). 5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms and acute lymphoblastic leukemia risk: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **15**, 1956-63.
- Puri SK, Si L, Fan CY, et al (2005) Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Am J Otolaryngol, 26, 12-7.
- 2278 Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 12, 2011

- Reljic A, Simundic AM, Topic E, et al (2007). The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) C677T polymorphism and cancer risk: the Croatian case-control study. *Clin Biochem*, **40**, 981-5.
- Rosenblatt DS (2001) Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. *Clin Invest Med*, **24**, 56-9.
- Shrubsole MJ, Gao YT, Cai Q, et al (2004). MTHFR polymorphisms, diatery folate intake, and breast cancer risk: result from the Shangai Breast Cancer study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **13**, 190-6.
- Signal R, Ferdinand L, Das PM, et al (2004). Polymorphisms in the MTHFR gene and prostate cancer risk. *Int J Oncol* 25, 1465-1471.
- Sohn KJ, Croxford R, Yates Z, et al (2004). Effect of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism on chemosensitivity of colon and breast cancer cells to 5- fluorouracil and methotrexate. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 96, 134-44.
- Stern LL, Mason JB, Selhub J, et al (2000). Genomic DNA hypomethylation, a characteristic of most cancers, is present in peripheral leukocytes of individuals who are homozygous for the C677T polymorphism in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 9, 849-53.
- Wang J, Sasco A, Fu C, et al (2008). Aberrant DNA methylation of p16, MGMT, and hMLH1 genes in combination with MTHFR C677T genetic polymorphism in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, 17, 118-25.