High Frequency of *KRAS* Codon 146 and *FBXW7* Mutations in Thai Patients with Stage II-III Colon Cancer

Krittiya Korphaisarn^{1,2*}, Anaya Pongpaibul³, Ekkapong Roothumnong^{2,4,5}, Khontawan Pongsuktavorn^{2,5}, Lucksamon Thamlikitkul¹, Tauangtham Anekpuritanang³, Naravat Poungvarin⁶, Wanna Thongnoppakhun^{2,5}, Manop Pithukpakorn^{2,4,5}

Abstract

Background: *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *BRAF* gene mutations are the most clinically relevant and frequently reported in colorectal cancer (CRC). Although data on these genes are frequently reported in several counties, data specific to these genes among Thai population are scarce. The aim of this study was to investigate and identify molecular alterations associated with colon cancer in Thai population, and to determine the impact of these genetic aberrations on clinical outcome. **Methods:** DNA from 108 archived formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples that histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of stage II-III colon cancer between 2010 and 2012 at Siriraj Hospital (Bangkok, Thailand) were extracted. Gene mutational analysis was performed by next-generation sequencing (NGS) using an Oncomine Solid Tumor DNA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). **Results:** A total of 22 somatic gene mutations were detected. The mutation frequency observed in *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *PIK3CA*, and *FBXW7* mutations was 47.2%, 1.9%, 1.9%, 1.2%, and 14.8%, respectively. *KRAS* mutation codon 12, 13, 59, 61, 117, and 146 mutations were identified in 29.6%, 8.3%, 1.8%, 0.9%, 0.0%, and 8.3%, respectively. *KRAS* Exon 4 had better DFS compared with Exon 2 and 3. **Conclusions:** This study is the first to comprehensively report hotspot mutations using NGS in Thai colon cancer patients. The most commonly identified gene mutation frequencies among Thai patients (*KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *TP53*, and *PIK3CA*) were similar to the gene mutation frequencies reported in Western population, except for subgroup of *KRAS* codon 146 and *FBXW7* mutations that had a slightly higher frequency.

Keywords: High frequency- KRAS codon 146 mutation- FBXW7 mutation- colon cancer- Thai patients

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 20 (8), 2319-2326

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer worldwide, and the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality (Bray et al., 2018). It is well established that colorectal tumorigenesis is a multistep process that involves an accumulation of multiple, successive genetic alterations, including chromosomal abnormalities, gene mutations, and/or epigenetic changes, that transform normal colonic epithelium to colorectal carcinoma (Vogelstein et al, 1988). *APC*, *TP53*, *RAS*, *RAF*, and *PIK3CA* gene mutations are the most commonly reported genetic aberrations in metastatic CRC (mCRC). The prognostic and predictive implications of certain aberrations, including *RAF*, *RAS*, and deficient mismatch repair (dMMR), are well established in CRC, and are now routinely assessed as a component of clinical care (Therkildsen et al., 2014; Rowland et al., 2015). However, the clinical implications of other genetic aberrations in CRC are unclear despite extensive scientific research that has been conducted over the past few decades. Intense research efforts are ongoing to identify novel and reliable biomarkers to help clinicians make personalized treatment decisions in CRC.

Numerous investigations into the mutational status of components in the EGFR-RAS-RAF pathway and the PI3K-AKT pathway have been conducted, and those investigations revealed a diverse distribution pattern of mutations in these genes. However, the rates of these mutations in Thai patients with CRC are not well defined, and only a few mutations have been investigated (i.e., *KRAS* and *BRAF*) (Chaiyapan et al., 2013; Korphaisarn, et al., 2015). Accordingly, the aim of this study was to investigate and identify molecular alterations associated

¹Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, ²Siriraj Center of Research Excellence in Precision Medicine, ³Department of Pathology, ⁴Division of Medical Genetics, ⁵Division of Medical Genetics Research and Laboratory, ⁶Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand. *For Correspondence: krittiya.kor@mahidol.ac.th

with sporadic colon cancer in Thai population, and to determine the impact of these genetic aberrations on clinical outcome.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples

This single-center retrospective study included formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks from patients diagnosed with stage II-III colon cancer who underwent surgery at Siriraj Hospital during 2010 to 2012. We excluded patients with a known family history of CRC, those suspected of having hereditary or familial CRC, and those who did not receive treatment and followup at our center. The study protocol was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand (EC1-755/2558).

Demographic and clinical characteristics, including age, gender, primary tumor site, tumor staging, date of diagnosis, date of surgery, stage at diagnosis, date of disease recurrence, date of last follow-up, and date of death, were collected. Right-sided colon cancer was defined as cancer in the region from the cecum to the splenic flexure, while left-sided colon cancer was defined as cancer in the region from the descending colon through the rectum. Staging was determined according to AJCC/ UICC TMN staging criteria (v.3 2010). Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the interval between the date of diagnosis and the date of disease recurrence or death. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval between the date of diagnosis and the date of death from any cause. The primary objective of this study was to investigate and identify molecular alterations in Thai patients with sporadic colon cancer using next-generation sequencing (NGS). The secondary aims were to evaluate association between identified aberrations and various demographic and clinicopathologic characteristics, and to identify the factors that significantly affect survival.

Determination of gene mutations

DNA were extracted from FFPE tissue using an automated DNA extraction platform (chemagic[™] MSM I Instrument, PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Samples were evaluated using a next-generation sequencing (NGS) platform with 22 gene panels including EGFR, ALK, ERBB2, ERBB4, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, MET, DDR2, KRAS, PIK3CA, BRAF, AKT1, PTEN, NRAS, MAP2K1, STK11, NOTCH1, CTNNB1, SMAD4, FBXW7 and TP53 (Oncomine Solid Tumor DNA kit; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Sequencing data were processed and aligned to reference sequences with Torrent Suite software v5.6. Variant calling and filtering have been performed with SEQUENCE Pilot module SeqNext v.4.4 (JSI Medical Systems GmbH, Germany) using default parameter setting of 5% detection limit for distinct variants (Somatic 1 GM). The common variants with allele frequency $\geq 1\%$ from either 1000G, ExAC or genomAD databases were excluded. All suspected variants were subsequently assessed for pathogenicity with Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor, VEP (8). This study has excluded predicted variants with neutral effects, benign and likely benign variants in ClinVar database, and intronic or synonymous variants which have unavailable supportive data and being unreported in the COSMIC database.

Determination of mismatch repair (MMR) status

MMR status was determined by analysis of MMR protein expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) or microsatellite instability (MSI) testing. Deficient mismatch repair (dMMR) was defined as the presence of either high-level MSI (MSI-H) or loss of MMR protein expression. Proficient mismatch repair (pMMR) was defined as the presence of either microsatellite stable (MSS)/low-level MSI (MSI-L) or the presence of normal MMR protein expression. Complete details of the IHC analysis of MMR expression and microsatellite instability (MSI) testing have been previously published (Korphaisarn et al., 2015).

Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics and gene mutation frequencies were described using descriptive statistics. Data are presented as number, number and percentage, mean and range, or median and range. Pearson's χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test was used to evaluate associations between *KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA*, and *FBXW7* mutations, and dMMR and clinicopathologic variables. Association between *KRAS* gene mutation and disease-free survival (DFS) was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier estimation and log-rank test. Statistical calculations were performed using SPSS Statistics version 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 108 patients were diagnosed with stage II or III colon adenocarcinoma during 2010 to 2012 at Siriraj Hospital. Tissue blocks were available for all included patients. The median age of subjects was 64 years (range: 30-89), and the ratio of males to females was 1.3:1. Twenty-six patients (24.1%) had stage 2 disease. The majority of primary site tumors were sigmoid colon (40 patients, 37%), followed by ascending colon (23 patients, 21.3%). Patient and tumor characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Distribution of KRAS, NRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF mutations

All of 22 hotspot gene mutations were detected. The mutation frequency observed in *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, and *PIK3CA* mutations was 47.2% (51), 1.9% (2), 1.9% (2), and 12.0% (13), respectively. Types of *RAS/BRAF/ PIK3CA* mutations are shown in Table 2. Among the *KRAS* gene mutations, 40 (37%) had mutation in exon 2, 2 (1.9%) had mutation in exon 3, and 8 (7.4%) had mutation in exon 4. One tumor sample had mutation in both codon 3 and codon 4. Only *NRAS* mutation in exon 3 (codon Q61K) and *BRAF* mutation at V600E were identified in our cohort (2 samples each). *PIK3CA* mutations were identified in

DOI:10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.8.2319 Gene Mutations in Thai Colon Cancer Patients

Table1.DemographicandClinicopathologicCharacteristics of Study Population

Characteristic	n	%
No. of patients	108	100
Age (yrs), median (range)	64 (30-89)	
Age		
<50 years	15	13.90
>50 years	93	86.10
Gender		
Female	46	42.60
Male	62	57.40
Primary tumor site		
Ascending	23	21.30
Transverse	12	11.10
Descending	11	10.20
Sigmoid	40	37.00
Rectum	19	17.60
Synchronous	3	2.80
Primary tumor site		
Right-sided	36	33.30
Left-sided	70	64.80
Synchronous	2	1.90
Preoperative CEA (ng/dl), median	4.94	
T stage		
T1	1	0.90
T2	6	5.60
Т3	74	68.50
Τ4	27	25.00
N stage		
N0	26	24.10
N1	55	50.90
N2	27	25.00
Stage		
Stage 2	26	24.10
Stage 3	82	75.90
Differentiated		
Well	5	4.60
Moderately	93	86.10
Poorly	7	6.50
No data	3	2.80
LVI and/or PNI		
No	50	46.30
Yes	58	53.70
Margin		
R0	96	88.90
R1	11	10.20
R2	1	0.90
Perforation		
No	104	96.30
Yes	4	3.70
Obstruction		
No	79	73.10
Yes	29	26.90

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion

Table	2.	Types	of	RAS/BRAF/PIK3CA	Mutations
Detect	ed ir	1 1 0 8 C	ases	of Thai Colon Cancer	

Genes	Exon	Codon	N	%
KRAS	Exon2	G12A/C/D/R/S/V, G13D	40	47.2
	Exon3	A59T, Q61H	2	
	Exon4	A146T/V	8	
	Exon3+4		1	
NRAS	Exon3	Q61K	2	1.9
BRAF	Exon 15	V600E	2	1.9
PIK3CA	Exon9	E542K, E545G/K, Q546L, R537Q	9	12.1
	Exon20	H1047R	4	
FBXW7	Exon5	R278*, R278Q, I272R	5	14.8
	Exon 9	R465C, R465H, E471G	4	
	Exon 10	R479Q, R484M, R505H, R505L	4	
	Exon 11	S582L	3	

13 samples (12.1%). Of these, 9 (8.3%) had mutation in exon 9, while 4 (3.7%) had mutation in exon 20. *PIK3CA* mutations were found to frequently coexist with *KRAS* mutation (84.6% vs. 15.4%; p=0.006).

Mutation frequencies of other genes

In addition to *RAS/RAF/PI3KA* gene mutations, another 18 genes showed at least one mutation, including receptor tyrosine kinase (*RTK*) genes, *RTK* signaling genes, and other known cancer-related genes. The identified gene mutations are, as follows: *RTK* gene mutations: *MET*, *EGFR*, *ERBB2*, *DDR2*, *ALK*, *FGFR3*, *FGFR1*, *FGFR2*, and *ERBB4*; *RTK* signaling gene mutations: *STK11*, *AKT*, *PTEN*, and *MAP2K1*; and, other cancer-related gene mutations: *TP53*, *FBXW7*, *SMAD4*, *PTEN*, *CTNNB1*, and *NOTCH1*. Gene mutation frequency details are shown in Figure 2.

The frequency of *FBXW7* mutations was 14.8% (16). Most of the detected *FBXW7* mutations were missense mutations (15/16, 94%), with arginine missense mutation reported in 10 of 16 samples (Table 2)

Prevalence of dMMR/MSI-H

dMMR/MSI-H was detected in 9 of 108 tumors (8.3%). Interpretation of IHC staining was, as follows: MLH-1 expression and MSH-2 was absent in 1 tumor, and 5 tumors were negative for PMS-2 expression. We were unable to analyze the result in two patients due to tumor

Table 3. Prevale	ence of dMMR.	/MSI-H	(N=107)
------------------	---------------	--------	---------

MMR status	n (%)
pMMR or MSS/MSI-L	98 (91.6%)
dMMR or MSI-H	9 (8.4%)
MLH1	1
MSH2	1
MSH6	0
PMS2	5
No data on IHC	2

dMMR, deficient mismatch repair; MSI-H, microsatellite instabilityhigh; MMR, mismatch repair; pMMR, proficient mismatch repair; MSS, microsatellite stable; MSI-L, microsatellite instability-low; IHC, immunohistochemistry



Time (months)

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves According to *KRAS* Exon Status. Patients with *KRAS* exon 4 mutations had significantly better OS (median OS not reached (NR), 95% confidence interval [CI] NR) than patients with *KRAS* exon 2 and 3 (median OS 60.7 mo, 95% CI 2.1-119.3 mo and median OS 18.6 mo, 95% CI NR, respectively, p=0.047)

loss during TMA construction. Interpretation parameters for IHC of MMR status are shown in Table 3.

Association between KRAS/PIK3CA/FBXW7 mutation and dMMR/MSI-H, demographic, and clinicopathologic factors

Demographic and clinicopathologic factors included gender, age, tumor sidedness, and histologic grade. *PIK3CA* mutations and *dMMR/MSI-H* were more commonly found in patients with right-sided tumor (p=0.03 and p=0.06, respectively). None of the evaluated factors were found to be significantly associated with *KRAS* or *FBXW7* mutations (Table 4).

Survival analysis

The median follow-up time was 84 months (range: 10.9-104.4). At the last follow-up (17 June 2018), there were 66 patients (61.1%) alive and 42 patients (38.9%) deceased. Thirty-five patients (32.4%) had disease recurrence. The estimated 5-year DFS and OS for the entire study population was 59.2% and 70.3%, respectively.

Among the *KRAS* mutations, *KRAS* Exon 4 had better DFS (median DFS, mDFS=NR) than Exons 2 and 3



Figure 2. Gene Mutation Frequencies Compared with Different Cohorts

Table 4. Association between *KRAS/PIK3CA/FBXW7* Mutation and MMR Status, and Demographic and Clinicopathologic Factors

Variable	n	KRAS		P	PIK3CA		1	FBXW7		n	M	IMR status		
		Wt	Mt	р	Wt	Mt	р	Wt	Mt	р		pMMR	dMMR	р
		n	n		n	n		n	n			n	n	
Age														
<50 years	15	6	9	0.28	13	2	1	13	2	1	15	11	4	0.02
>50 years	93	51	42		82	11		79	14		92	87	5	
Gender														
Female	46	23	23	0.62	40	6	0.78	37	9	0.23	46	42	4	1
Male	62	34	28		55	7		55	7		61	56	5	
Primary tumor sit	e													
Right-sided	36	18	18	0.58	28	8	0.03	31	5	1	36	30	6	0.06
Left-sided	70	39	31		65	5		59	11		69	66	3	
Differentiation														
Well to mod	99	52	47	1	88	11	0.2	84	15	1	98	90	8	0.48
Poor	7	4	3		5	2		6	1		7	6	1	

A p-value<0.05 indicates statistical significance; MMR, mismatch repair; Wt, wild type; Mt, mutant type; pMMR, proficient mismatch repair; dMMR, deficient mismatch repair; mod, moderate



Figure 3. Frequency and spectrum of *KRAS* Mutations

(mDFS: 60.9, 95% CI: 2.1-119.28, and mDFS: 18.6, 95% CI: NR; p=0.047, respectively) (Figure 1).

Discussion

The KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, TP53, and PIK3CA mutation frequencies in Thai colon cancer were similar to the frequencies reported in Western population. This is the first study to report a slightly higher frequency of KRAS codon 146 and FBXW7 mutation in Thai CRC population.

EGFR signaling plays a key role in the development and progression of CRC. In particular, this receptor triggers downstream signaling cascades, including the RAS-RAF-MAPK and PI3K-AKT pathways, to stimulate cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, and invasion (Peyssonnaux and Eychène, 2001). Gene mutations in the EGFR signaling pathway, such as mutations in *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *BRAF*, have become an important component of CRC evaluation, and their alterations may determine the therapeutic response to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (anti-EGFR) therapy.

In the present study, we evaluated *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, and *PIK3CA* somatic mutation frequencies in a Thai cohort of 108 stage II-III colon cancer patients. The frequency rates were 47.6%, 1.9%, 1.9%, and 12%, respectively. In 45 samples (41.6%), no mutations were detected in the *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, or *PIK3CA* genes, but mutations were detected in one of the other genes analyzed. No mutations were detected in any of the targets analyzed in 8 samples. The gene mutation frequencies in all analyzed genes compared with data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012) are shown in Figure 2.

The frequency of *KRAS* mutations varies worldwide, ranging from 13% to 56% (Roth et al., 2010; Montomoli et al., 2012; Murtaza et al., 2014; Zahrani et al., 2014; Peeters et al., 2015). The frequency of *KRAS* mutations (47.2%) in the current study was consistent with the published data from Asian countries (Asaka et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011; Ahn et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2014), Western countries (Lamy et al., 2011; Vaughn et al., 2011; Bozzao et al., 2012), and the *TCGA* database (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012) – but not with all reports (Liou et al., 2011; Chaiyapan et al., 2013; Phipps et al., 2013; Rosty et al., 2013). The wide variability of results among studies may be attributed to ethnicity, geographical distribution, and the techniques used in previous studies.

In this study, the majority of KRAS mutations occurred in codon 12 or 13 (78.4%). KRAS G12D was the most common mutation, followed by G12V, G13D, G12C, and G12S mutations, which was consistent with prior studies (Tong et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). Interestingly, the present study demonstrated a high frequency of KRAS codon 146 mutation (8.3%) when compared to previously reported rates (1.2-3.8%) (Edkins et al., 2006; Yanus et al., 2013; Imamura et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2014; Osumi et al., 2016). A TCGA dataset (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012) from 212 sequenced CRC cases showed KRAS codon 146 mutation in 4.2% of cases (Figure 2). However, our data are consistent with the most recent data from Ngamphaiboon, et al. who reported a frequency of 7% in Thai CRC samples (Ngamphaiboon et al., 2015). This data was slightly different from those studies in Western populations, which suggests that race may play a role in KRAS mutation patterns. Moreover, these finding highlight the importance of extended RAS mutational analysis in all patients before the initiation anti-EGFR treatment in Thai population. Our study also demonstrated a better DFS in KRAS exon 4 compare with exons 2 and 3 (Figure 1). Imamura et al. also reported that KRAS codon 12 mutation had worse prognosis when compared with KRAS wild type (Colorectal cancer specific mortality, HR=1.45, 95%CI 1.12-1.87, P=0.0048) but not in KRAS codon 61 or 146 (Imamura et al., 2014), reflecting that not all KRAS mutations were created equal prognosis. However, due to small sample size in this study, further study is needed to confirm this result.

Discovery of Neuroblastoma RAS Viral Oncogene Homolog (*NRAS*), which is a member of the *RAS* oncogene family, was reported within the last few years. Although a substantial amount of data has been reported on *KRAS* gene mutations, relative little data has been reported on *NRAS* mutations. In the present study, *NRAS* mutations were detected in 1.9% of tumors compared with 4.3% from Taiwan (Chang et al., 2016), 0.9-4.2% from China (Shen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Shen et al. 2016), 2.7-4.5% from Japan (Ogura et al., 2014; Kawazoe et al., 2015; Osumi et al., 2016), 2.3% from Korea (Lee et al. 2015), 3.6-4.6% from Italy (Foltran et al., 2015; Malapelle et al., 2016), and 2.2-5.1% from the United States (Irahara et al., 2010; Vaughn et al., 2011).

BRAF is a member of the RAF gene family, and it

Krittiya Korphaisarn et al

acts as a downstream effector of activated *KRAS*. The frequency of *BRAF* mutations varies widely from 1.6% to 26.0% (Kim et al., 2008; Kohonen-Corish et al., 2014). The *BRAF* V600E mutation frequency of 1.9% observed in this study was consistent with various Asian studies (Shen et al., 2011; Hsieh et al., 2012), but is lower than several Western studies (Vaughn et al., 2011; Phipps et al., 2013; Luey et al., 2014) and our previous study (Korphaisarn et al., 2015). These differences in mutation frequencies may be attributable to different sample selections, ethnicity, geographical distributions, and investigative techniques used. None of the *BRAF*-mutated samples harbored a concurrent *KRAS* mutation, which confirms that these mutations are mutually exclusive.

Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphonate 3-kionases (PIK3CAs), which is a family of lipid kinases, can activate the AKT signaling pathway and facilitate cellular growth and proliferation (Samuels and Velculescu, 2004). More than 80% of PIK3CAs occur in exon 9 (60-65%) or exon 20 (20-25%), and can co-occur with KRAS or BRAF mutations (Sartore-Bianchi et al., 2009; De Roock et al., 2010). In this study, the frequency of PIK3CA mutation was 12% (13 samples). Nine samples had mutation in exon 9, while 4 samples had mutation in exon 20. We demonstrated that PIK3CA mutations were more commonly found in right-sided tumor (p=0.03), which is consistent with prior studies (Jauhri et al., 2017). We also confirmed significant association between PIK3CA mutations and KRAS mutations (p=0.006), which was similar to previous findings (Day et al., 2013; Rosty et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015).

FBXW7 is a tumor suppressor gene on human chromosome 4q that encodes the substrate recognition components of SKP1-Cullin1-F-box protein ubiquitin E3 ligase complexes (Spruck et al., 2002). These specific E3 ligase complexes negatively regulate the intracellular abundance of an expanding list of key oncogenic proteins. Therefore, the loss of FBXW7 function results in accumulation of its substrates, which leads to oncogenesis and progression of multiple cancers, including CRC (Cao et al., 2016). In CRC, the frequency of FBXW7 mutations has been shown to vary from 6% to 10% (Kemp et al., 2005; Akhoondi et al., 2007; Malapelle et al., 2016; Korphaisarn et al., 2017). In the present study, the frequency of FBXW7 mutations was 14.8%, which is slightly higher than the previously reported rates. However, it is consistent with the data from TCGA database (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012). Most of the detected *FBXW7* mutations were arginine missense, which is consistent with data from the previous cohort (Korphaisarn et al., 2017).

In this study, we found that 8.4% (9/107) of patients harbored dMMR tumors detected by both TMA-IHC and MSI analysis, which is comparable to some rates reported in stage II-III CRC in the literature (Meng et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2013; Kadowaki et al., 2015), but not all reports (Soliman et al., 2001; Nitsche et al., 2012).

Optimizing treatment decision-making in CRC patients is difficult, because of the heterogeneity of the disease relative to tumor biology, clinical response, and racial differences. Accordingly, future studies should

investigate tumor biomarkers that correlate with clinical outcome to facilitate optimized treatment for individual patient.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First and consistent with the retrospective nature of the study, some patient data may have been incomplete or missing. Second, the sequencing panels used were limited to hotspot regions; therefore, the possible presence of mutations outside of these regions cannot be excluded. Third, the collected data were from a single center. Lastly, the small sample size of our study may have yielded insufficient statistical power to identify all significant differences and associations. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to comprehensively investigate molecular aberrations in Thai patients with colon cancer.

In conclusion, this study is the first to comprehensively report hotspot mutations using next-generation sequencing in Thai stage II-III colon cancer patients. The most commonly identified gene mutation frequencies among Thai colon cancer patients (*KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *TP53*, and *PIK3CA*) were similar to the gene mutation frequencies reported in Western population, except subgroup of *KRAS* codon 146 and *FBXW7* mutations that had a slightly higher frequency.

References

- Ahn TS, Jeong D, Son MW, et al (2014). The BRAF mutation is associated with the prognosis in colorectal cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*, **140**, 1863-71.
- Akhoondi S, Sun D, von der Lehr N, et al (2007). FBXW7/ hCDC4 is a general tumor suppressor in human cancer. Cancer Res, 67, 9006-12.
- Asaka S, Arai Y, Nishimura Y, et al (2009). Microsatellite instability-low colorectal cancer acquires a *KRAS* mutation during the progression from Dukes' A to Dukes' B. *Carcinogenesis*, **30**, 494-9.
- Bozzao C, Varvara D, Piglionica M, et al (2012). Survey of KRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA mutational status in 209 consecutive Italian colorectal cancer patients. Int J Biol Markers, 27, e366-74.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*, 68, 394-424
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2012). Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature*, **487**, 330-7.
- Cao J, Ge MH, Ling ZQ (2016). Fbxw7 tumor suppressor: A vital regulator contributes to human tumorigenesis. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*), 95, e2496.
- Chaiyapan W, Duangpakdee P, Boonpipattanapong T, Kanngern S, Sangkhathat S (2013). Somatic mutations of K-ras and BRAF in Thai colorectal cancer and their prognostic value. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 329-32.
- Chang SC, Lin PC, Lin JK, et al (2016). Mutation spectra of common cancer-associated genes in different phenotypes of colorectal carcinoma without distant metastasis. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 23, 849-55.
- Day FL, Jorissen RN, Lipton L, et al (2013). *PIK3CA* and *PTEN* gene and exon mutation-specific clinicopathologic and molecular associations in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer*

Res, 19, 3285-96.

- De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, et al (2010). Effects of *KRAS, BRAF, NRAS,* and *PIK3CA* mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. *Lancet Oncol,* **11**, 753-62.
- Edkins S, O'Meara S, Parker A, et al (2006). Recurrent *KRAS* codon 146 mutations in human colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther*, **5**, 928-32.
- Foltran L, De Maglio G, Pella N, et al (2015). Prognostic role of *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF* and *PIK3CA* mutations in advanced colorectal cancer. *Future Oncol*, **11**, 629-40.
- Hsieh LL, Er TK, Chen CC, et al (2012). Characteristics and prevalence of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, and *PIK3CA* mutations in colorectal cancer by high-resolution melting analysis in Taiwanese population. *Clin Chim Acta*, **413**, 1605-11.
- Imamura Y, Lochhead P, Yamauchi M, et al (2014). Analyses of clinicopathological, molecular, and prognostic associations of *KRAS* codon 61 and codon 146 mutations in colorectal cancer: cohort study and literature review. *Mol Cancer*, 13, 135.
- Irahara N, Baba Y, Nosho K, et al (2010). NRAS mutations are rare in colorectal cancer. *Diagn Mol Pathol*, **19**, 157-63.
- Jauhri M, Bhatnagar A, Gupta S, et al (2017). Prevalence and coexistence of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, *PIK3CA*, *NRAS*, *TP53*, and *APC* mutations in Indian colorectal cancer patients: Next-generation sequencing-based cohort study. *Tumour Biol*, **39**, 1010428317692265.
- Kadowaki S, Kakuta M, Takahashi S, et al (2015). Prognostic value of KRAS and BRAF mutations in curatively resected colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, **21**, 1275-83.
- Kawazoe A, Shitara K, Fukuoka S, et al (2015). A retrospective observational study of clinicopathological features of *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF* and *PIK3CA* mutations in Japanese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer*, **15**, 258.
- Kemp Z, Rowan A, Chambers W, et al (2005). CDC4 mutations occur in a subset of colorectal cancers but are not predicted to cause loss of function and are not associated with chromosomal instability. *Cancer Res*, **65**, 11361-6.
- Kim YH, Kakar S, Cun L, Deng G, Kim YS (2008). Distinct CpG island methylation profiles and BRAF mutation status in serrated and adenomatous colorectal polyps. *Int J Cancer*, 123, 2587-93.
- Kohonen-Corish MR, Tseung J, Chan C, et al (2014). *KRAS* mutations and *CDKN2A* promoter methylation show an interactive adverse effect on survival and predict recurrence of rectal cancer. *Int J Cancer*, **134**, 2820-8.
- Korphaisarn K, Pongpaibul A, Limwongse C, et al (2015). Deficient DNA mismatch repair is associated with favorable prognosis in Thai patients with sporadic colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, **21**, 926-34.
- Korphaisarn K, Morris VK, Overman MJ, et al (2017). FBXW7 missense mutation: a novel negative prognostic factor in metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma. Oncotarget, 8, 39268-79.
- Lamy A, Blanchard F, Le Pessot F, et al (2011). Metastatic colorectal cancer *KRAS* genotyping in routine practice: results and pitfalls. *Mod Pathol*, **24**, 1090-100.
- Lee WS, Lee JN, Baek JH, Park YH (2015). RAS status in Korean patients with stage III and IV colorectal cancer. *Clin Transl Oncol*, **17**, 751-6.
- Lin CH, Lin JK, Chang SC, et al (2011). Molecular profile and copy number analysis of sporadic colorectal cancer in Taiwan. *J Biomed Sci*, **18**, 36.
- Liou JM, Wu MS, Shun CT, et al (2011). Mutations in BRAF correlate with poor survival of colorectal cancers in Chinese population. *Int J Colorectal Dis*, **26**, 1387-95.

- Luey N, Toon CW, Sioson L, et al (2014). A further investigation of combined mismatch repair and BRAFV600E mutation specific immunohistochemistry as a predictor of overall survival in colorectal carcinoma. *PLoS One*, **9**, e106105.
- Malapelle U, Pisapia P, Sgariglia R, et al (2016). Less frequently mutated genes in colorectal cancer: evidences from next-generation sequencing of 653 routine cases. *J Clin Pathol*, **69**, 767-71
- Meng WJ, Sun XF, Tian C, et al (2007). Microsatellite instability did not predict individual survival in sporadic stage II and III rectal cancer patients. *Oncology*, **72**, 82-8.
- Montomoli J, Hamilton-Dutoit SJ, Frøslev T, Taylor A, Erichsen R (2012). Retrospective analysis of *KRAS* status in metastatic colorectal cancer patients: a single-center feasibility study. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*, **5**, 167-71.
- Murtaza BN, Bibi A, Rashid MU, et al (2014). Spectrum of K ras mutations in Pakistani colorectal cancer patients. *Braz J Med Biol Res*, **47**, 35-41.
- Ngamphaiboon N, Arsa L, Ruangekawit P, et al (2015). 408 High incidence of *KRAS* codon 146 in expanded RAS test of colorectal cancer patients using next generation sequencing in Thai population. Abstract. *Eur J Cancer*, **51**, 81.
- Nitsche U, Rosenberg R, Balmert A, et al (2012). Integrative marker analysis allows risk assessment for metastasis in stage II colon cancer. *Ann Surg*, **256**, 763-71.
- Ogura T, Kakuta M, Yatsuoka T, et al (2014). Clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic impact of colorectal cancers with *NRAS* mutations. *Oncol Rep*, **32**, 50-6.
- Osumi H, Shinozaki E, Suenaga M, et al (2016). RAS mutation is a prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer patients with metastasectomy. *Int J Cancer*, **139**, 803-11.
- Peeters M, Kafatos G, Taylor A, et al (2015). Prevalence of RAS mutations and individual variation patterns among patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: A pooled analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur J Cancer*, **51**, 1704-13.
- Peyssonnaux C, Eychène A (2001). The Raf/MEK/ERK pathway: new concepts of activation. *Biol Cell*, **93**, 53-62.
- Phipps AI, Buchanan DD, Makar KW, et al (2013). KRAS-mutation status in relation to colorectal cancer survival: the joint impact of correlated tumour markers. Br J Cancer, 108, 1757-64.
- Rosty C, Young JP, Walsh MD, et al (2013). PIK3CA activating mutation in colorectal carcinoma: associations with molecular features and survival. *PLoS One*, **8**, e65479.
- Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, et al (2010). Prognostic role of *KRAS* and *BRAF* in stage II and III resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. *J Clin Oncol*, **28**, 466-74.
- Rowland A, Dias MM, Wiese MD, et al (2015). Meta-analysis of BRAF mutation as a predictive biomarker of benefit from anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy for RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer*, **112**, 1888-94.
- Samuels Y, Velculescu VE (2004). Oncogenic mutations of PIK3CA in human cancers. *Cell Cycle*, **3**, 1221-4.
- Sartore-Bianchi A, Di Nicolantonio F, Nichelatti M, et al (2009). Multi-determinants analysis of molecular alterations for predicting clinical benefit to EGFR-targeted monoclonal antibodies in colorectal cancer. *PLoS One*, **4**, e7287.
- Shen H, Yuan Y, Hu HG, et al (2011). Clinical significance of K-ras and BRAF mutations in Chinese colorectal cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol*, **17**, 809-16.
- Shen Y, Wang J, Han X, et al (2013). Effectors of epidermal growth factor receptor pathway: the genetic profiling of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, *PIK3CA*, *NRAS* mutations in colorectal cancer characteristics and personalized medicine. *PLoS One*, **8**, e81628.
- Shen Y, Han X, Wang J, et al (2016). Prognostic impact of

Krittiya Korphaisarn et al

mutation profiling in patients with stage II and III colon cancer. *Sci Rep*, **6**, 24310.

- Soliman AS, Bondy ML, El-Badawy SA, et al (2001). Contrasting molecular pathology of colorectal carcinoma in Egyptian and Western patients. *Br J Cancer*, 85, 1037-46.
- Spruck CH, Strohmaier H, Sangfelt O, et al (2002). hCDC4 gene mutations in endometrial cancer. Cancer Res, 62, 4535-9.
- Taniguchi H, Uehara K, Nakayama K, et al (2016). The location of colorectal cancer (right- vs. left-sided colon and rectum) affects the prevalence of *BRAF V600E*, *non-V600E* and *PIK3CA* mutations: a prospective registration study in the Aichi Cancer Network. *Ann Oncol*, 27, 569P.
- Therkildsen C, Bergmann TK, Henrichsen-Schnack T, Ladelund S, Nilbert M (2014). The predictive value of *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *PIK3CA* and *PTEN* for anti-EGFR treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Oncol*, **53**, 852-64.
- Tong JH, Lung RW, Sin FM, et al (2014). Characterization of rare transforming *KRAS* mutations in sporadic colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther*, 15, 768-76.
- Vaughn CP, Zobell SD, Furtado LV, Baker CL, Samowitz WS (2011). Frequency of KRAS, BRAF, and NRAS mutations in colorectal cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer, 50, 307-12.
- Vogelstein B, Fearon ER, Hamilton SR, et al (1988). Genetic alterations during colorectal-tumor development. N Engl J Med, 319, 525-32.
- Xiao H, Yoon YS, Hong SM, et al (2013). Poorly differentiated colorectal cancers: correlation of microsatellite instability with clinicopathologic features and survival. *Am J Clin Pathol*, **140**, 341-7.
- Yanus GA, Belyaeva AV, Ivantsov AO, et al (2013). Pattern of clinically relevant mutations in consecutive series of Russian colorectal cancer patients. *Med Oncol*, **30**, 686.
- Zahrani A, Kandil M, Badar T, et al (2014). Clinico-pathological study of K-ras mutations in colorectal tumors in Saudi Arabia. *Tumori*, **100**, 75-9.
- Zhang J, Zheng G, Yang Y, et al (2015). Molecular spectrum of *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF* and *PIK3CA* mutations in Chinese colorectal cancer patients: analysis of 1,110 cases. *Sci Rep*, 5, 8678.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.