LETTER to the EDITOR

Editorial Process: Submission:07/31/2024 Acceptance:09/03/2024

Rethinking Reproductive Health: How Paternal Job Factors Affect Hydatidiform Moles, a Precancerous Condition

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, **25 (9),** 2969-2970

Dear Editor

Attention is drawn to a significant study conducted by Shamshiri-Milani et al., titled "Risk Factors for Hydatidiform Mole: Is Husband's Job a Major Risk Factor?" Critical insights into the risk factors associated with hydatidiform moles have been unveiled, which merit further discussion within the reproductive health community.

In recent years, an increasing volume of literature has underscored the significance of risk factors associated with hydatidiform moles, particularly emphasizing occupational exposures as critical contributors to reproductive health outcomes [1–3]. This emerging body of research advocates for a paradigm shift within the field of reproductive health studies, prompting a reevaluation of the traditional focus on maternal factors while acknowledging the substantial influence of paternal occupational conditions.

Historically, reproductive health research has predominantly concentrated on maternal characteristics such as age, parity, and lifestyle choices as the primary determinants of conditions like hydatidiform moles. However, the study has revealed a striking odds ratio of 18.2 for molar pregnancy risk among husbands engaged in physically demanding occupations that expose them to dust and soil [4]. This significant finding underscores the necessity for a comprehensive understanding of how environmental and occupational factors can profoundly affect reproductive health. The importance of broadening the perspective on reproductive health to encompass not only maternal considerations but also environmental and paternal dimensions has been emphasized by these findings, challenging the long-standing narrative that primarily places the onus of reproductive health on mothers.

The implications of these findings raise critical questions regarding how a father's occupational environment may influence the reproductive health of their partners. Acknowledging the potential environmental and occupational hazards present in paternal workplaces is essential, as these factors could play a role in adverse reproductive outcomes, including molar pregnancies. This shift in focus necessitates a deeper investigation into the biological mechanisms through which these occupational exposures might exert their effects on reproductive health. To enhance understanding, it is vital to examine the specific exposures found in environments characterized by dust and soil. Identifying the substances prevalent in these settings that may predispose individuals to molar pregnancies could yield valuable insights. Previous research has demonstrated that endocrine disruptors found in various environmental contexts can interfere with hormonal signaling pathways, potentially leading to developmental anomalies. Therefore, pinpointing the particular agents responsible for these effects within paternal occupational settings could inform strategies aimed at preventing molar pregnancies and other reproductive complications.

Furthermore, the complexity of these findings calls for an interdisciplinary approach to studying the interconnected factors that affect reproductive health. By integrating perspectives from environmental health, occupational safety, and reproductive epidemiology, researchers can develop a more comprehensive understanding of how diverse variables, including paternal occupational exposures, intersect with reproductive health outcomes. Such interdisciplinary collaborations have the potential to yield innovative research methodologies, providing valuable data that further elucidate the multifaceted nature of reproductive health. In addition to advancing research capabilities, current public health policies must be reevaluated in light of these findings. While interventions focused on maternal health are critically important, it is equally essential to consider paternal health factors, particularly those related to occupational safety. Expanding the focus of reproductive health initiatives to include multifactorial influences can begin to address the complexities impacting reproductive outcomes. A greater understanding of paternal risk factors could inform educational programs aimed at mitigating exposure to identified hazards present in specific occupations. To advance this initiative, targeted public health campaigns should be developed to raise awareness among expectant parents about the potential risks associated with certain occupations. Such campaigns can promote shared responsibility for reproductive health and encourage informed decision-making among both parents, fostering a more inclusive dialogue that recognizes the contributions of both parents to reproductive outcomes. As the reproductive health community navigates future research directions, investigations into the biological mechanisms underlying occupational hazards, reassessment of public health strategies, and promotion of interdisciplinary partnerships are essential steps. Integrating paternal occupational exposures into the discourse surrounding reproductive health is not merely an academic exercise;

it represents a necessary evolution in understanding the complexities of reproductive outcomes.

In conclusion, the study by Shamshiri-Milani et al. serves as a catalyst for broader discussions regarding the multifaceted nature of reproductive health. The recognition of paternal occupational factors as significant contributors to reproductive health outcomes has the potential to reshape research priorities and public health policies. By embracing a more inclusive approach that considers the roles of both parents, the reproductive health community can enhance its efforts to promote healthier outcomes for future generations.

Author Contribution Statement

Zahra Marzbanrad: Conceptualization and draft; Fatemeh Jayervand: Literature review and data analysis on gender and pandemic effects; Hossein Neamatzadeh: Editing and insights on methodology and future research.

Keywords: hydatidiform moles, paternal job factors, reproductive health, occupational exposure, environmental health

References

1. Joyce CM, Fitzgerald B, McCarthy TV, Coulter J, O'Donoghue K. Advances in the diagnosis and early management of gestational trophoblastic disease. BMJ Med. 2022;1(1):e000321. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjmed-2022-000321.
2. Reid A, Heyworth J, de Klerk N, Musk AW. Asbestos exposure and gestational trophoblastic disease: A hypothesis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(11):2895-8. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.Epi-09-0731.
3. Alimohammadi N, Pakniat H, Mirzadeh M, Emami A, Vasheghani Farahani A. Molar pregnancy and its associated risk factors: A case-control study in qazvin, iran. J Adv Biomed Sci. 2021;11(4):4100-6. https://doi.org/10.18502/jabs.v11i4.8635.
4. Shamshiri Milani H, Abdollahi M, Torbati S, Asbaghi T, Azargashb E. Risk factors for hydatidiform mole: Is husband's job a major risk factor? Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2017;18(10):2657-62. https://doi.org/10.22034/apjcp.2017.18.10.2657.

Zahra Marzbanrad[1], Fatemeh Jayervand[1]*, Hossein Neamatzadeh[2]

*[1]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. [2]Mother and Newborn Health Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.*For Correspondence: jayervandfatemeh@gmail.com*