Editorial Process: Submission:04/20/2024 Acceptance:01/11/2025

The Potential Clinical Relevance of Procoagulant Microparticles as Biomarkers of Blood Coagulation in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review

Marzieh Haghbin, Abdolreza Sotoodeh Jahromi, Akbar Hashemi Tayer*, Zahra Ghasemi Nejad

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer (BC) is a global challenge that affects a large portion of individuals, especially women. It has been suggested that microparticles (MPs) can be used as a diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic biomarker in various diseases. Moreover, MPs are known to elevate in cancer cases. Platelet-derived MPs (PMPs) play a crucial role in the metastasis of BC, warranting specific focus. This study aimed to explore the involvement of procoagulant MPs in BC. **Methods:** This systematic review was carried out using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews, and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Terms defined as MESH keywords were searched PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library searched in from 2011 to March 2024. Experimental and quasi-experimental studies were assessed by the CONSORT checklist. **Results:** Eventually, 15 studies were included. 426 participants were studied in the included articles. The potential clinical relevance of MPs as biomarkers in BC was indicated. Also, the role of MPs in immune modulation and multidrug resistance was approved. PMPs were found to enhance malignant features, including migration and invasion. Moreover, there were lower levels of MPs before neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, suggesting a potential impact of chemotherapy on MPs levels. The study highlights the remarkable capacity of multidrug-resistant BC-derived MPs to alter the phenotype and functionality of immune cells. **Conclusions:** The findings underscore the intricate interplay between MPs and cellular signaling pathways, shedding light on their potential as diagnostic biomarkers, and therapeutic targets in cancer. Specifically, the association between MPs levels and disease severity, as evidenced by their correlation with tissue-based biomarkers, tumor grading, and distant metastasis, highlights their clinical relevance in prognostication and risk stratification.

Keywords: Biomarker- Breast Cancer- Microparticle- Platelet

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, **26 (1)**, 23-32

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC), as a global challenge, is one of the most prevalent malignant diseases among women aged 20-50 years [1, 2]. In 2020, 2,261,419 new cases (11.7%) were diagnosed and 684,996 deaths (6.9%) were occurred [3]. The incidence of BC has been increasing steadily over the last decade, showing both absolute and relative rises in occurrence. It is projected that due to population growth and aging, there will be over 3 million new cases and more than 1 million deaths annually by 2040. The highest incidence rates for BC are found in Australia, New Zealand, North America, Northern Europe, and Western Europe, while the lowest rates are found in Africa, Central America, and Central Asia [4]. In 2020, 16967 BC were diagnosed in Iran and 4810 individuals from them died. This incidence rate was the highest among all cancer types [5]. BC is a malignant tumor originating in breast cells that can spread to distant parts of the body or invade nearby tissues [6]. Notably, the majority of cancer-causing agents (carcinogens) induce DNA sequence alterations or mutations. Therefore, similarly to all genetic diseases, cancer is caused by changes in DNA [7].

Microparticles (MPs) are tiny membranous vesicles, typically ranging from 0.05 to 1 μm in diameter, that are released from cell membranes in response to cellular activation, inflammation, and apoptosis [8-10]. These particles can originate from diverse cell types within the human body, including platelets, endothelial cells, red blood cells, synovial cells, smooth muscle cells, and cancer cells [9, 11]. MPs exhibit a heterogeneous nature, characterized by an intact phospholipid membrane and the expression of membrane antigens unique to their cell of origin. Although the conventional definition of MPs

*Research Center for Noncommunicable Disease, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran. *For Correspondence: hashemiakbar@yahoo.com*

considers their size and the presence of externalized phosphatidylserine (PS) on the membrane, recent findings indicate that not all MPs expose PS on their surface, and the PS content may be linked to the origin of MPs, triggers, and/or their formation process [8].

Circulating MPs levels are increasingly elevated in many types of cancer, including hematologic malignancies, ovarian cancer, colorectal cancer, and BC [12]. Such vesicles carry genetic material derived from tumor cells as well as other tumor-derived biological molecules such as proteins and glycoconjugates that may affect the host systemically [13]. Studies have demonstrated that MPs are involved in the initiation, progression, and cancer metastasis. Additionally, their contributions to extracellular matrix repair, multidrug resistance, and modulation of inflammation, thrombosis, endothelial dysfunction, tissue regeneration, angiogenesis, and immunological responses have been documented [14, 15].

MPs hold promise as biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of diseases [16]. In a study by Najjar et al., it was discovered that the elevation of circulating endothelial cells, and MPs during or after chemotherapy could act as predictive biomarkers for tumor progression in advanced lung cancer [17]. Janowska-Wieczorek et al., demonstrated that PMPs play a metastatic role in BC [18].

Furthermore, another study proposed that PMPs may have a significant impact on the pathogenesis and prognosis of BC [19]. The mechanisms by which MPs generate and detach from the cell plasma membrane are still largely unknown. Therefore, there is a need to further comprehend the underlying mechanisms that facilitate the transportation of specific proteins, RNA, and DNA by MPs. Hence, this systematic review was designed and carried out with the objective of examining the role of procoagulant MPs in BC.

Materials and Methods

This systematic review was conducted based on PRISMA guidelines. The code of ethics in this study was IR.JUMS.REC.1401.142, as issued by Jahrom University of Medical Sciences.

Search Strategy

A systematic literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies exploring the role of procoagulant MPs in BC. PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library were searched from 2011 to 2024 using a comprehensive search strategy. The search strategy included a combination of keywords and controlled vocabulary terms (MeSH terms) related to BC, procoagulant MPs, and synonyms. The search strategy was adapted for each database to ensure maximum coverage. Additionally, reference lists of included studies and relevant review articles were hand-searched to identify additional studies.

1. Procoagulant Microparticles (Text Word) OR Microvesicles (Text Word) OR Procoagulation (Mesh Term) OR Blood Coagulation (Mesh Term) OR Microparticles (Mesh Term) OR Blood Platelets (Mesh Term) OR Platelet Activation (Mesh Term)

OR Thrombosis (Mesh Term) OR Blood Coagulation Disorders (Mesh Term) OR Blood Clotting (Mesh Term) OR Hemostasis (Mesh Term)

2. Breast Cancer (Text Word) OR Breast Neoplasms (Mesh Term) Breast Neoplasms/pathology (Mesh Term) OR Breast Neoplasms/therapy (Mesh Term) OR Breast Neoplasms/blood (Mesh Term) OR Breast Neoplasms/ complications (Mesh Term) OR Breast Neoplasms/ diagnosis (Mesh Term) OR Breast Neoplasms/surgery (Mesh Term)

3. 1 and 2

This search strategy combines terms related to procoagulant MPs and BC. It incorporates both text words and mesh terms to ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant literature. Adjustments to the specific terms and databases used may be necessary based on the search requirements.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

• Population: Human participants or animal samples diagnosed with BC.

• Intervention/Exposure: Studies investigating the presence or impact of procoagulant MPs in BC, either as a primary focus or as an outcome.

• Study Design: Original research studies, including observational studies (cohort, case-control, crosssectional), clinical trials, and experimental studies.

• Outcome: Studies reporting outcomes related to the association between procoagulant MPs and BC progression, prognosis, or treatment response.

Studies not available in English, review articles, conference abstracts, letters, editorials, and studies with insufficient data for extraction were excluded.

Quality Assessment

Experimental and quasi-experimental studies were evaluated using the CONSORT checklist, which comprises 24 items [20]. Two authors independently assessed the quality of the included studies. In case of any disagreement, a third author was consulted. It is important to highlight that no study was excluded from this systematic review based on a low-quality assessment score.

Data Extraction

Two independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts of all identified records to determine eligibility according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Full-text articles of potentially eligible studies were obtained and evaluated for final inclusion. Data extraction was carried out using a standardized form, which included study characteristics (author, publication year, reference), participants, intervention/exposure details, sample type, laboratory techniques, outcome measures, and key findings related to the role of procoagulant MPs in BC. Any discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion or by consulting a third reviewer if needed.

Results

A total of 15 studies were included in the analysis (Figure 1). All studies were experimental, except for one which was a case-control study. The studies were conducted in Australia (n=3), China (n=3), Iran (n=2), France (n=2), Brazil (n=2), Canada (n=1) and Germany (n=1)(Table 1). Also, the sample size was 426.

Cardiotoxicity Associated with MPs Levels

At baseline (T0), the cardiotoxicity group exhibited a significantly higher number of MPs compared to the control group (P=0.034). This observation suggests a potential association between elevated MPs levels and the development of cardiotoxicity in patients undergoing chemotherapy [21].

Doxorubicin Treatment and MPs Increase

In the cardiotoxicity group, there was an association between the increase in MPs levels and doxorubicin treatment. This finding implies a potential role of doxorubicin in inducing MPs release, contributing to the observed elevation in MPs levels in patients with chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity [22].

Impact of PMPs on Cancer Cells

PMPs were found to enhance malignant features, including migration and invasion, in cancer cells coincubated with them. This observation suggests a potential mechanism by which PMPs promote cancer progression and metastasis [23].

Metabolic Plasticity Induced by PMPs

PMPs were associated with notable metabolic plasticity and the release of mito MPs-packaged mitochondria. This finding suggests a potential link between MPs and cancer aggressive processes, implicating them in tumor metabolism and progression [24].

Association of MPs with BC Characteristics

Patients with BC exhibited a significantly higher rate of PMPs compared to normal subjects (P<0.001). Additionally, significant and positive correlations were observed between MPs levels and tissue-based biomarkers, tumor grading, and distant metastasis (P<0.05), indicating the potential clinical relevance of MPs as biomarkers in BC [25, 26].

MPs and Chemotherapy-Induced Change

BC patients treated with paclitaxel chemotherapy showed increased levels of *CD44*-expressing tumorderived MPs (P<0.001). Additionally, lower levels of MPs were observed before neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, indicating a potential influence of chemotherapy on MPs levels. [27].

MPs in Multidrug Resistance and Immune Modulation

MPs shed from multidrug-resistant cells were found to selectively polarize macrophage cells and alter the phenotype and functionality of immune cells. Additionally, drug-resistant BC-derived MPs were associated with the presence of specific proteins (Ezrin, radixin, moesin, and talin-1), suggesting a potential role in immune modulation and multidrug resistance [28, 29].

MPs and Thrombogenicity

Cancer cell-derived extracellular vesicles, including MPs, induced a procoagulant shift in endothelial cells, displaying tissue factor activity and enhancing thrombin generation. Furthermore, higher tissue factor activity was

DOI:10.31557/APJCP.2025.26.1.23 Procoagulant Microparticles in Breast Cancer

Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 26 **27**

 $\overline{}$

Table 2. An overview of quality assessment of studies using CONSORT checklist

Author and year of publication	CONSORT score
Pestana et al. (2024)	21/25
Veilleux et al. (2024)	20/25
Ranjbaran et al. (2022)	22/25
Yang et al. (2021)	22/25
Zhang et al. (2021)	20/25
Haghbin et al. (2021)	21/25
Shechter et al. (2020)	21/25
Djedidi-Amrane et al. (2020)	22/25
Zhang et al. (2019)	22/25
Gomes et al. (2017)	22/25
Jaiswal et al. (2017)	23/25
Pokharel et al. (2014)	21/25
Chaari et al. (2014)	20/25
Jaiswal et al. (2013)	20/25
Trappenburg et al. (2011)	22/25

observed in pancreas adenocarcinoma cells compared with BC cells, indicating potential differences in thrombogenicity among cancer types [30].

Association with Treatment and Therapeutic Response

Patients receiving endocrine therapy exhibited higher levels of MPs compared to those not receiving such therapy $(P=0.03)$, suggesting a potential association between treatment modality and MPs levels [31].

MPs Correlation with Thrombin Generation

Thrombin generation in plasma was found to be associated with the level of MPs, highlighting the potential link between MPs and thrombotic events [32].

Role of CD44 in Mediating Pro-Metastatic Effects

CD44, a cell surface glycoprotein involved in cell-cell interactions and signaling, was identified as a mediator of pro-metastatic effects (P<0.05). The presence of *CD44* expressing tumor-derived MPs suggests their involvement in promoting cancer metastasis through interactions with the extracellular matrix and facilitating tumor cell dissemination. BC patients treated with paclitaxel chemotherapy exhibited an increased level of *CD44* expressing tumor-derived MPs (P<0.001). This finding suggests a potential impact of chemotherapy on the release of *CD44*-positive MPs, potentially contributing to chemotherapy resistance and metastatic progression [33].

Differential Tissue Factor Levels and Procoagulant Activity

MDA-MB-231 BC cells demonstrated higher tissue factor protein levels and tissue factor-dependent procoagulant activity compared to MCF-7 cells. This observation indicates potential differences in thrombogenicity between BC cell lines, with MDA-MB-231 cells exhibiting a higher propensity for inducing coagulation and platelet aggregation. MDA-MB-231 BC cells also showed a higher induction

of tissue factor-dependent platelet aggregation compared to MCF-7 cells. This finding further supports the notion of increased thrombogenicity associated with certain BC cell types, highlighting the potential contribution of tissue factor to cancer-associated coagulopathy and thrombotic events. [34].

Quality Assessment

An overview of quality assessment of studies using CONSORT checklist is presented in Table 2.

Discussion

The systematic review conducted provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between platelets, BC cells, extracellular vesicles (EVs), and the hypercoagulable state. The findings highlight various mechanisms that contribute to tumor progression, treatment response, and thromboembolic complications, shedding light on potential avenues for therapeutic intervention. The systematic review conducted offers valuable insights into the role of PMPs and thrombin generation in cancer progression and treatment response. The findings underscore the complex interplay between hemostatic factors and metastatic disease, shedding light on potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for cancer management. In addition, this study presents intriguing findings regarding the capability of MPs shed from multidrug-resistant cells to modulate immune cell behavior and facilitate their engulfment by foreign cells. These results shed light on the complex interplay between cancer cells, immune cells, and drug resistance mechanisms, with potential implications for cancer progression and treatment.

One of the key findings of this review is the significantly higher levels of PMPs in patients with metastatic disease. This observation is consistent with previous studies demonstrating the role of platelet activation and MPs release in cancer metastasis [35]. PMPs not only contribute to thrombosis and tumor cell dissemination but also facilitate immune evasion and angiogenesis within the tumor microenvironment. The elevated levels of MPs in patients with metastatic disease highlight their potential utility as biomarkers for disease progression and prognosis, offering opportunities for early detection and targeted interventions [36]. Moreover, the review identifies significantly higher thrombin generation in patients receiving chemotherapy with less than 6 months since diagnosis. Thrombin, a key mediator of coagulation, plays a crucial role in tumor progression, angiogenesis, and metastasis [37]. Chemotherapy-induced thrombin generation may reflect increased tumor burden, inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction, contributing to the hypercoagulable state observed in cancer patients. The association between thrombin generation and time since diagnosis underscores the dynamic nature of cancer progression and its impact on hemostatic balance, highlighting the importance of early intervention to mitigate thrombotic complications in cancer patients [38]. However, while the findings regarding PMPs and thrombin generation are in line with previous research,

there are also inconsistent findings in the existing literature. Some studies have reported conflicting results regarding the association between PMPs and cancer metastasis [39]. While elevated levels of MPs have been observed in patients with advanced disease, other studies have failed to demonstrate a significant correlation with metastatic spread. These discrepancies may be attributed to variations in patient populations, tumor types, and analytical techniques, underscoring the need for further research to elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

Similarly, conflicting findings exist regarding the association between thrombin generation and chemotherapy in cancer patients. While some studies have reported increased thrombin generation following chemotherapy initiation [40], others have failed to observe significant changes [41]. Variations in chemotherapy regimens, treatment response, and patient characteristics may contribute to these inconsistent findings. Additionally, the timing of thrombin generation assessment relative to chemotherapy initiation may influence the observed outcomes, highlighting the importance of longitudinal studies to capture dynamic changes in hemostatic parameters over time [42].

One of the key findings of this review is the significant contribution of coculture of platelets and 4T1 BC cell line to the release of EVs and the development of a hypercoagulable status. This observation is consistent with previous studies demonstrating the crucial role of platelets in promoting cancer progression through the release of EVs and activation of coagulation pathways [43]. The interaction between platelets and cancer cells within the tumor microenvironment facilitates tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis, underscoring the importance of targeting platelet-mediated mechanisms in cancer therapy [44].

The association between pretreatment with lactadherin and uptake of chemotherapy-induced BC cell-derived MPs for subsequent activation of platelets, introduces a novel therapeutic approach with potential implications for improving treatment outcomes. Lactadherin, an annexin family protein, has been shown to enhance the clearance of apoptotic cells and promote anti-inflammatory responses [45]. By facilitating the uptake of chemotherapyinduced MPs and subsequent activation of platelets, lactadherin may enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy, while minimizing thromboembolic complications in BC patients [46]. Regarding lactadherin pretreatment and MPs uptake, while the systematic review suggests a potential association between lactadherin and enhanced uptake of chemotherapy-induced BC cell-derived MPs, there are inconsistent findings in the existing literature. Some studies have reported a significant increase in MPs uptake and subsequent platelet activation following lactadherin pretreatment [47], whereas others have failed to demonstrate a significant effect [48].

The study highlights the remarkable capacity of multidrug-resistant BC-derived MPs to alter the phenotype and functionality of immune cells. This finding is supported by previous research demonstrating the immunomodulatory effects of cancer-derived MPs, including immune cell activation, polarization, and

suppression [49]. The ability of these MPs to induce phenotypic and functional changes in immune cells may contribute to tumor immune evasion, progression, and metastasis, posing challenges for cancer therapy [50]. Moreover, the study underscores the capability of multidrug-resistant cell-derived MPs to selectively polarize macrophage cells and facilitate their engulfment by foreign cells. Macrophages play a critical role in tumor progression and metastasis, with distinct phenotypes exerting either pro-tumorigenic or anti-tumorigenic effects [51]. However, while the findings regarding the immunomodulatory effects of multidrug-resistant cellderived MPs are compelling, there are also inconsistent findings in the existing literature. Some studies have reported conflicting results regarding the impact of cancer-derived MPs on immune cell polarization and function [52].

Future research should focus on clarifying the underlying mechanisms of MPs-mediated pathophysiology and exploring their potential as therapeutic targets. Specifically, investigating the molecular pathways involved in MPs-induced immune modulation, thrombogenicity, and chemotherapy resistance could reveal novel therapeutic strategies to reduce disease progression and treatment complications. Additionally, longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the prognostic value of MPs levels in predicting treatment response, disease recurrence, and overall survival in cancer patients. In general, continued exploration of the role of MPs in disease pathogenesis and their therapeutic potential is crucial for advancing our understanding and improving clinical outcomes in cancer.

Limitations

Some limitations need to be addressed. Initially, only four databases were searched, and the search was restricted to English language studies. Additionally, the full text of certain eligible studies was unavailable. Furthermore, this study did not conduct a meta-analysis, making it challenging to assess the quality of the results. Despite these limitations, this systematic review provided valuable insights into the significance of circulating procoagulant MPs in BC.

In conclusions, this systematic review provides a comprehensive insight into the diverse roles of MPs in various pathophysiological processes, ranging from cancer progression to chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity and hypercoagulability. The study highlights the complex interactions between MPs and cellular signaling pathways, revealing their potential as both diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets in cancer. Notably, the correlation between MPs levels and disease severity, is demonstrated through their association with tissue-based biomarkers, tumor grading, and distant metastasis, emphasizes their clinical significance in prognosis and risk assessment. Additionally, the capacity of MPs to influence immune cell phenotype and function, along with their participation in thrombotic events, underscores the importance of targeting MPs-mediated mechanisms in disease management.

Author Contribution Statement

MH, and AHT contributed to the conception and design of the study. AHT, and ASJ acquired and analyzed the data. AHT, and ZGN contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all those who have helped in the study and preparation of the manuscript.

Scientific Approval

This systematic review is a component of Zahra Ghasemi Nejad MD thesis.

Funding

The research was funded by Jahrom University of Medical Sciences.

Statement of Ethics

The procedure of study was approved by the ethics committee of Jahrom University of Medical Sciences (IR.JUMS.REC.1401.142). This study does not require informed consent.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no relevant financial or non-financial conflicts of interests to disclose.

References

- 1. Robertson S, Azizpour H, Smith K, Hartman J. Digital image analysis in breast pathology—from image processing techniques to artificial intelligence. Transl Res. 2018;194:19- 35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2017.10.010.
- 2. Akram M, Iqbal M, Daniyal M, Khan AU. Awareness and current knowledge of breast cancer. Biol Res. 2017;50(1):33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-017-0140-9.
- 3. Stabellini N, Cullen J, Cao L, Shanahan J, Hamerschlak N, Waite K, et al. Racial disparities in breast cancer treatment patterns and treatment related adverse events. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):1233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023- 27578-4.
- 4. Dunenova GA, Kalmataeva ZA, Kaidarova DR, Shatkovskaya OV, Zhylkaidarova AZ, Marchenko EA, et al. Breast cancer epidemiology in Kazakhstan for the period 2012-2021. Science & Healthcare. 2023;25(2):128-37. https://doi. org/10.34689/SH.2023.25.2.018
- 5. Jalali FS, Keshavarz K, Seif M, Akrami M, Jafari A, Ravangard R. Economic burden of breast cancer: a case of Southern Iran. Cost Eff Resour Alloc. 2023;21(1):58. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12962-023-00470-8.
- 6. Mills III RC. Breast cancer survivors, common markers of inflammation, and exercise: A Narrative Review. Breast Cancer (Auckl). 2017;11:1178223417743976. https://doi. org/10.1177/1178223417743976.
- 7. Jessica L. Barnes, Maria Zubair, Kaarthik John, Miriam C. Poirier, Francis L. Martin; Carcinogens and DNA damage. Biochem Soc Trans. 2018;46(5):1213–1224. https://doi. org/10.1042/BST20180519.
- 8. Mooberry MJ, Key NS. Microparticle analysis in disorders of hemostasis and thrombosis. Cytometry A. 2016

Feb;89(2):111-22. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.22647.

- 9. Di L, Zha C, Liu Y. Platelet-derived microparticles stimulated by anti-β2GPI/β2GPI complexes induce pyroptosis of endothelial cells in antiphospholipid syndrome. Platelets. 2023;34(1):2156492. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537104.2 022.2156492.
- 10. Che Mohd Nassir CMN, Hashim S, Wong KK, Abdul Halim S, Idris NS, Jayabalan N, et al. COVID-19 infection and circulating microparticles-reviewing evidence as microthrombogenic risk factor for cerebral small vessel disease. Mol Neurobiol. 2021;58(8):4188-4215. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12035-021-02457-z.
- 11. Zahran AM, El-Badawy O, Ali WA, Mahran ZG, Mahran EEM, Rayan A. Circulating microparticles and activated platelets as novel prognostic biomarkers in COVID-19; relation to cancer. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0246806. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246806.
- 12. Sheu JJ, Lee FY, Wallace CG, Tsai TH, Leu S, Chen YL, et al. Administered circulating microparticles derived from lung cancer patients markedly improved angiogenesis, blood flow and ischemic recovery in rat critical limb ischemia. J Transl Med. 2015;13:59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967- 015-0381-8.
- 13. Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, Le Chevalier T, Pignon JP, Vansteenkiste J. International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial Collaborative Group. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(4):351-60. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa031644.
- 14. Mege D, Panicot‐Dubois L, Ouaissi M, Robert S, Sielezneff I, Sastre B, et al. The origin and concentration of circulating microparticles differ according to cancer type and evolution: A prospective single‐center study. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(4):939-48. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29837.
- 15. Bian X, Xiao YT, Wu T, Yao M, Du L, Ren S, et al. Microvesicles and chemokines in tumor microenvironment: mediators of intercellular communications in tumor progression. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):50. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12943-019-0973-7.
- 16. Burnouf T, Chou ML, Goubran H, Cognasse F, Garraud O, Seghatchian J. An overview of the role of microparticles/ microvesicles in blood components: are they clinically beneficial or harmful? Transfus Apher Sci. 2015;53(2):137- 45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transci.2015.10.010.
- 17. Najjar F, Alammar M, Al-Massarani G, Almalla N, Aljapawe A, Ikhtiar A. Circulating endothelial cells and microparticles for prediction of tumor progression and outcomes in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Biomark. 2017;20(3):333-343. https://doi.org/10.3233/CBM-170130.
- 18. Janowska‐Wieczorek A, Marquez‐Curtis LA, Wysoczynski M, Ratajczak MZ. Enhancing effect of platelet‐derived microvesicles on the invasive potential of breast cancer cells. Transfusion. 2006;46(7):1199-209. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1537-2995.2006.00871.x.
- 19. Haghbeen M, Hashemi Tayer A, Kamravan M, Jahromi AS. Platelet-derived procoagulant microparticles as bloodbased biomarker of breast cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2021;22(5):1573-1579. https://doi.org/10.31557/ APJCP.2021.22.5.1573.
- 20. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, et al. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ. 2010;340:c869. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.c869.
- 21. Pestana RMC, Teixeira-Carvalho A, dos Santos LI, de Oliveira AN, Soares CE, Sabino AdP, et al. Microparticles and cardiotoxicity secondary to doxorubicin-based chemotherapy

in breast cancer patients. Int J Cardiol. 2024;395:131435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2023.131435.

- 22. Zhang C, Yang Z, Zhou P, Yu M, Li B, Liu Y, et al. Phosphatidylserine-exposing tumor-derived microparticles exacerbate coagulation and cancer cell transendothelial migration in triple-negative breast cancer. Theranostics. 2021;11(13):6445-6460. https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.53637.
- 23. Hashemi Tayer A, Ranjbaran R, Kamravan M, Abbasi M, Zareian R. Association of Circulating Procoagulant Microvesicles with Painful Vaso-Occlusive Crisis in Sickle Cell Disease. Transfus Med Hemother. 2023;50(5):448-455. https://doi.org/10.1159/000525640.
- 24. Veilleux V, Pichaud N, Boudreau LH, Robichaud GA. Mitochondria transfer by platelet-derived microparticles regulates breast cancer bioenergetic states and malignant features. Mol Cancer Res. 2024;22(3):268-281. https://doi. org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-23-0329.
- 25. Ranjbaran R, Hashemi Tayer A, Kamravan M, Gorgin M. Invitro evaluation of procoagulant activities of microparticles expressing phosphatidylserine derived from breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 treated with Adriamycin. Pars Journal of Medical Sciences. 2022;20(3):1-8. https://doi. org/10.22034/pjms.2022.701644
- 26. Jaiswal R, Luk F, Dalla PV, Grau GER, Bebawy M. Breast cancer-derived microparticles display tissue selectivity in the transfer of resistance proteins to cells. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61515. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0061515.
- 27. Chaari M, Ayadi I, Rousseau A, Lefkou E, Van Dreden P, Sidibe F, et al. Impact of breast cancer stage, time from diagnosis and chemotherapy on plasma and cellular biomarkers of hypercoagulability. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:991. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-991.
- 28. Jaiswal R, Johnson MS, Pokharel D, Krishnan SR, Bebawy M. Microparticles shed from multidrug resistant breast cancer cells provide a parallel survival pathway through immune evasion. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):104. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12885-017-3102-2.
- 29. Pokharel D, Padula MP, Lu JF, Tacchi JL, Luk F, Djordjevic SP, et al. Proteome analysis of multidrug-resistant, breast cancer–derived microparticles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3. https://doi.org/ 10.3402/jev.v3.24384.
- 30. Zhang C, Kou Y, Zou L, Liang H, Hou L, Li T, et al. Phosphatidylserine-Exposing, Tumor-Derived Microparticles Enhance Procoagulant Activity of Platelets and Endothelial Cells after Neo-Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Invasive Breast Cancer. Blood. 2018; 132 (1): 2422. https:// doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-99-114901
- 31. Trappenburg MC, van Schilfgaarde M, Bredewold EO, van Aalderen MC, Spronk HM, Ten Cate H, et al. Elevated numbers and altered subsets of procoagulant microparticles in breast cancer patients using endocrine therapy. Thromb Res. 2011;127(4):363-9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.thromres.2010.12.015.
- 32. AmraneDjedidi R, Rousseau A, Larsen AK, Elalamy I, Van Dreden P, Gerotziafas GT. Extracellular vesicles derived from pancreatic cancer cells BXPC3 or breast cancer cells MCF7 induce a permanent procoagulant shift to endothelial cells. Thromb Res. 2020;187:170-179. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2019.09.003.
- 33. Shechter D, Harel M, Mukherjee A, Sagredo LM, Loven D, Prinz E, et al. Breast Cancer-Derived Microparticles Reduce Cancer Cell Adhesion, an Effect Augmented by Chemotherapy. Cells. 2020;9(10):2269. https://doi. org/10.3390/cells9102269.
- 34. Gomes FG, Sandim V, Almeida VH, Rondon AMR, Succar BB, Hottz ED, et al. Breast-cancer extracellular

vesicles induce platelet activation and aggregation by tissue factor-independent and -dependent mechanisms. Thromb Res. 2017;159:24-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. thromres.2017.09.019.

- 35. Geddings JE, Mackman N. Tumor-derived tissue factor– positive microparticles and venous thrombosis in cancer patients. Blood. 2013;122(11):1873-80. https://doi. org/10.1182/blood-2013-04-460139.
- 36. Mezouar S, Mege D, Darbousset R, Farge D, Debourdeau P, Dignat-George F, et al. Involvement of platelet-derived microparticles in tumor progression and thrombosis. Semin Oncol. 2014;41(3):346-58. https://doi.org/10.1053/j. seminoncol.2014.04.010.
- 37. Hisada Y, Geddings J, Ay C, Mackman N. Venous thrombosis and cancer: from mouse models to clinical trials. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;13(8):1372-82. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ith.13009.
- 38. Reddel CJ, Tan CW, Chen VM. Thrombin Generation and Cancer: Contributors and Consequences. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(1):100. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11010100.
- 39. Best M, Vancura A, Wurdinger T. Platelet RNA as a circulating biomarker trove for cancer diagnostics. J Thromb Haemost. 2017;15(7):1295-1306. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jth.13720.
- 40. Campello E, Spiezia L, Radu CM, Bulato C, Castelli M, Gavasso S, et al. Endothelial, platelet, and tissue factorbearing microparticles in cancer patients with and without venous thromboembolism. Thromb Res. 2011;127(5):473-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2011.01.002.
- 41. Kim SH, Lim KM, Noh JY, Kim K, Kang S, Chang YK, et al. Doxorubicin-induced platelet procoagulant activities: an important clue for chemotherapy-associated thrombosis. Toxicol Sci. 2011;124(1):215-24. https://doi.org/10.1093/ toxsci/kfr222.
- 42. Beleva EA, Deneva TI, Stoencheva SS, Grudeva-Popova ZG. Longitudinal Dynamics of Coagulation and Angiogenesis Markers in Cancer Patients During and After Chemotherapy. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2021;27:10760296211056637. https://doi.org/10.1177/10760296211056637.
- 43. Palumbo JS, Talmage KE, Massari JV, La Jeunesse CM, Flick MJ, Kombrinck KW, et al. Platelets and fibrin (ogen) increase metastatic potential by impeding natural killer cell–mediated elimination of tumor cells. Blood. 2005;105(1):178-85. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-06-2272.
- 44. Xu XR, Yousef GM, Ni H. Cancer and platelet crosstalk: opportunities and challenges for aspirin and other antiplatelet agents. Blood. 2018;131(16):1777-1789. https://doi. org/10.1182/blood-2017-05-743187.
- 45. Aziz M, Jacob A, Matsuda A, Wang P. milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 expression, function and plausible signal transduction in resolving inflammation. Apoptosis. 2011;16(11):1077-86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-011-0630-0.
- 46. Jing H, Wu X, Xiang M, Wang C, Novakovic VA, Shi J. Microparticle Phosphatidylserine Mediates Coagulation: Involvement in Tumor Progression and Metastasis. Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(7):1957. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers15071957.
- 47. Nyegaard S, Novakovic VA, Rasmussen JT, Gilbert GE. Lactadherin inhibits secretory phospholipase A2 activity on pre-apoptotic leukemia cells. PloS one. 2013;8(10):e77143. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077143.
- 48. Lin L, Huai Q, Huang M, Furie B, Furie BC. Crystal structure of the bovine lactadherin C2 domain, a membrane binding motif, shows similarity to the C2 domains of factor V and factor VIII. J Mol Biol. 2007;371(3):717-24. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.054.
- 49. Zhang X, Yuan X, Shi H, Wu L, Qian H, Xu W. Exosomes

in cancer: small particle, big player. J Hematol Oncol. 2015;8:83. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-015-0181-x.

- 50. Qian Y, Yin Y, Zheng X, Liu Z, Wang X. Metabolic regulation of tumor-associated macrophage heterogeneity: insights into the tumor microenvironment and immunotherapeutic opportunities. Biomark Res. 2024;12(1):1. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40364-023-00549-7.
- 51. Mantovani A, Marchesi F, Malesci A, Laghi L, Allavena P. Tumour-associated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(7):399-416. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.217.
- 52. Baj-Krzyworzeka M, Mytar B, Szatanek R, Surmiak M, Węglarczyk K, Baran J, et al. Colorectal cancer-derived microvesicles modulate differentiation of human monocytes to macrophages. J Transl Med. 2016;14:36. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12967-016-0789-9.
- 53. Yang WJ, Zhang GL, Cao KX, Yang GW. A Hypercoagulable hematological metastasis breast cancer model. Biomed Res Int. 2021;2021:5473959. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5473959.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.