RESEARCH ARTICLE

Editorial Process: Submission:02/28/2025 Acceptance:10/12/2025 Published:10/17/2025

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccination and Cervical Cancer Prevention: Ghanaian Adolescent Students' Perspectives Through Focus Group Discussion

Emmanuel Abrefah¹, Peter Agyei-Baffour², Alex Barimah Owusu³, Mary E. Commeh⁴, Adofo Koranteng², Anjelica Cohapb Elizondo¹, Matthew Asare^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine is effective in reducing HPV-related cancers. However, little is known about Ghanaian adolescents' attitudes toward HPV vaccination. We examined the perspectives of Ghanaian adolescent students on HPV vaccination. Methods: We conducted four focus group discussions with students from School A (n=15), School B (n=20), School C (n=10), and School D (n=14) in the Ashanti Region of Ghana. Ten open-ended questions guided the discussions. Two independent coders transcribed and analyzed the data thematically using NVivo software. Results: Fifty-nine students (mean age: 14.97 years, SD = 1.55) participated. Thematic analysis revealed: (a) low knowledge of HPV and HPV vaccines, but strong general belief in vaccine benefits; (b) barriers such as fear of side effects (e.g., pain, death), misconceptions (e.g., infertility, "destroying the womb"), and vaccine cost; (c) facilitators including perceived vaccine effectiveness, social influences (parents, doctors, friends), school-based education, and altruistic motives; and (d) lack of adolescent-parent communication, though students expressed willingness to initiate conversations when informed. These insights highlight the socio-cultural and informational gaps that may impact vaccine uptake. Conclusion: Findings highlight the urgent need for culturally relevant, school-based HPV education programs in Ghana. Interventions should address common misconceptions, promote adolescent-parent communication, and leverage trusted influencers such as healthcare providers and teachers to improve HPV vaccine acceptance.

Keywords: HPV vaccine- cervical cancer prevention- adolescents- vaccine barriers- vaccine facilitators

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 26 (10), 3679-3689

Introduction

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) presents a significant global health threat, especially in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) like Ghana. HPV is a leading cause of cervical cancer, and it is responsible for over 95% of annual cervical cancer diagnoses[1, 2]. Globally, cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer affecting women and is responsible for over 300,000 deaths annually [3]. More than 85% of these deaths occur in LMICs due to limited access to preventive care and treatment options [3]. Unfortunately, a woman dies of cervical cancer every two minutes, with a staggering 90% of these deaths happening in LMICs [4, 5]. In Ghana, cervical cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women. As of 2020, the disease had an incidence rate of 27.4 per 100,000 women and resulted in about 2,200 deaths [1, 6-9].

HPV vaccines have demonstrated high efficacy in

preventing HPV infections [10]. Currently, six licensed HPV vaccines are available globally: three bivalents (Cervarix, Walrinvax, Cecolin), two quadrivalent (Gardasil, Cervavac), and one nonvalent (Gardasil 9). These vaccines have shown strong efficacy against HPV types 16 and 18, which cause most cervical cancers [11]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends one or two doses for girls aged 9 to 14, one or two doses for girls and women aged 15 to 20, and two doses with a sixmonth interval for women older than 21. For individuals known to be immunocompromised or HIV-infected, a minimum of two doses is required, with three doses recommended when feasible [12, 8]. In a significant development, the WHO recently approved Cecolin® for a single-dose vaccination schedule, which could significantly increase vaccine accessibility in LMICs [13]. However, challenges persist, including limited public awareness [14], misinformation regarding vaccine safety,

¹Department of Public Health, Robbins College of Health and Human Sciences Baylor University, One Bear Place, Waco, TX 97343, USA. ²School of Public Health, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. ³Department of Geography and Resource Development, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana. ⁴Ghana Health Services, Non-Communicable Disease Control, Accra, Ghana. *For Correspondence: matt_asare@baylor.edu

and myths about potential side effects [15]. Additionally, cultural and religious beliefs surrounding sexual health contribute to low acceptance rates, with misconceptions persisting, such as the belief that only girls need the vaccine. This perception overlooks the importance of vaccinating boys to prevent HPV-related cancers, such as penile and throat cancers, and to reduce overall transmission [15]. Structural barriers, including high vaccine costs, lack of government-mandated programs, and insufficient healthcare infrastructure, also restrict access, especially in rural areas where reliance on NGOs and limited government initiatives has been inadequate to reach the necessary levels of vaccine coverage [16, 17].

In recent years, Ghana has been making advances in addressing cervical cancer. A three-dose HPV vaccination schedule was piloted for girls aged 10-14 in four districts in Ghana in 2013 and 2015 [18, 19]. Since those years, various types of HPV vaccines have been on the market, but they have yet to be introduced as part of Ghana's national routine immunization program. Additionally, in 2021, Ghana established a national cancer registry to enhance cancer surveillance, aiding early detection and providing critical data for prevention strategies [20] aligned with the WHO's global 90/70/90 triple cervical cancer elimination intervention strategy launched in November 2020 [6]. The strategy aims to eliminate cervical cancer as a public health issue by vaccinating 90% of girls against HPV by age 15, screening 70% of women with high-performance tests by ages 35 and 45, and treating 90% of detected precancerous lesions and cancers [6, 9]. Ghana plans to make HPV vaccination available nationwide and adopt a single-dose vaccine strategy to simplify logistics and increase vaccine coverage in the country [21]. However, little is known about Ghanaian adolescents' perspectives and attitudes toward HPV vaccination, who are the primary target of the various HPV vaccine initiatives. We examined the perceptions of Ghanaian adolescent students on HPV vaccination and its role in preventing cervical cancer. The findings aim to support strategies to improve vaccine acceptance and reduce HPV-related cancer incidence in Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Study setting and participant recruitment

We recruited adolescents from four (Junior High and Senior High) public schools in the Ashanti Region of Ghana to participate in focus group discussions. For confidentiality and to protect the identities of the participating institutions, the four schools involved in this study are referred to as Schools A (Senior High School), School B (Junior High School), School C (Junior High School), and School D (Senior High School). These pseudonyms are assigned sequentially based on the order in which the focus group discussions were conducted, ensuring that the schools remain anonymous while maintaining clarity and consistency in reporting the findings. The Institutional Review Boards of both Baylor University in the US and Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology in Ghana first approved the study protocol. Afterward, additional approvals were obtained from the Ghana Education Service (GES) and the administrators of the participating schools. We collaborated with school administrators to recruit students, ensuring parental permission was obtained through a signed letter from the parents. A total of four focus group discussions, one at each school, were conducted with 59 adolescent students.

Eligibility criteria

Eligible participants were adolescents enrolled in the four schools, were between 11 and 18 years of age, could read or understand English, and obtained parental permission.

Focus Group Discussion (FGD) Procedure

Participants' assent was obtained before the start of the focus group discussions (FGDs) and questioning. They were informed that the sessions would be recorded, and their responses would be used in a study to assess knowledge about HPV vaccination and cancer prevention. Each participant was assigned a number, which they were instructed to state before responding to any questions during the discussion. The discussions were conducted in a round-robin format, ensuring that every participant had an equal opportunity to contribute. In this structured approach, participants took turns speaking sequentially, either in a predetermined or random order. This method promoted inclusive participation, prevented any one person from dominating the conversation, and ensured a balanced exchange of ideas and feedback. A moderator facilitated the discussions, which lasted between 50 and 60 minutes, depending on participant engagement. All sessions took place in a selected classroom within the respective schools. As compensation for their time, each participant received 20 Ghana cedis. (\$1.29).

Measures

A semi-structured interview guide was developed to facilitate the focus group discussions. This guide was designed to gather information from participants on several key areas, including their general knowledge of HPV and cervical cancer, perceptions of HPV vaccination, barriers and facilitators to accessing vaccination centers and healthcare, and their willingness to get vaccinated. Additionally, the guide aimed to explore participants' awareness, attitudes, and experiences with healthcare and vaccination services.

Data Analysis

The demographic characteristics of the participants were analyzed using SAS software (version 9.4). The data were first cleaned and prepared for analysis, ensuring accurate categorization of key variables such as gender, age, insurance status, provider access, and religious affiliation. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables (e.g., gender, insurance status, provider access, and religion), while the mean was used to describe continuous variables like age. The results of this analysis are summarized in Table 1, which provides a detailed breakdown of participant demographics.

All discussion responses were recorded and transcribed

verbatim for analysis. We used NVivo software (version 14) to analyze the transcribed data, with each high school's responses stored in a separate file. We employed both deductive and inductive approaches in our analysis. First, we conducted a deductive analysis [21-23], where key themes such as knowledge of HPV and vaccination, perceptions of healthcare, access to health information, sociocultural factors, and environmental influences were pre-determined based on existing literature [13, 24, 25]. This allowed us to structure the initial analysis around these main areas of interest. Following this, we used an inductive approach [26-28] where sub-themes and codes emerged naturally from the participants' responses. This flexible, data-driven process enabled us to capture insights that were not anticipated in the initial deductive framework, ensuring that we addressed both expected and unexpected findings in the participants' perspectives. We systematically coded text snippets from the discussions into these emerging themes for a more comprehensive understanding of the data.

Two independent coders (EA and AE) independently read the transcripts of all four files and identified common sub-themes independently. Text snippets from the responses were thematically coded for all four files. When there were disagreements in themes from the independent coders, the two coders reached a consensus on the coding themes. A third independent coder came in to verify the validity of the analysis codes. A coding comparison query was run, and the intercoder unweighted kappa score was 0.65, signifying an acceptable agreement between coders and suggesting a reliable level of consistency in the coding process [29, 30].

Results

Demographic characteristics

A total of 59 students participated in the study, including students from school A (n = 15), school B (n = 20), school C (n = 10), and school D (n = 14). Most participants were female (84.75%), with only 15.25% being male. All participants reported having insurance coverage (100%). Regarding access to a healthcare provider, 25.42% of the participants stated that they had access to a primary healthcare provider, while 74.58% did not have access to a primary healthcare provider. Majority of the participants identified as Christian (91.51%), followed by Muslim participants (5.08%), and a small percentage (3.39%) reported no religious affiliation. The participants' age ranges from 11 to 17, with a mean age of 14.97 (SD + 1.55) years, a median age of 15 years, a minimum age of 11 years, and a maximum age of 17. The basic demographic characteristics of the participants are described in Table 1.

Main findings

The focus group discussions centered on the participants' knowledge of Human Papillomavirus (HPV), general knowledge of cervical cancer, vaccination, HPV vaccine facilitators and barriers and sources of HPV vaccine information, sociocultural factors, and environmental influences. Table 2 summarizes the

interview themes, subthemes, and direct participant quotes. In the results section, references to specific quotes in the table are indicated by numbers, letters, and Roman numerals mentioned in the text.

Knowledge about HPV and cervical cancer

The adolescent students' overall knowledge about HPV and cervical cancer was very low, with the majority (over 80%) of students admitting that they had not heard anything about HPV. However, a few of the students knew about HPV and its association with cervical cancer and other cancers. Some participants identified HPV as a virus that affects the cervix of the female reproductive organ (Table 2, quote 1a I-II) and that HPV was sexually transmitted (table 2, quote 1b I). A few adolescent students said women's wombs could be damaged because of HPV infection since the cervix is a link between the vagina and the womb (1c III-IV). Others also said HPV infection can cause complications in menstruation (1c V) and affect women's ability to give birth (1c VI).

Knowledge about HPV vaccination

We assessed adolescent students' general knowledge about the HPV vaccine, focusing on its similarities to other vaccines, the recommended dosage schedule, the target population (male/female), and the age criteria for eligibility. Most participants (65%) understood how the HPV vaccine works based on their knowledge of other childhood vaccines. Participants described that HPV vaccines contain weakened forms of the virus and boost the immune system to combat it. Participants were also divided on the dosage regimen: some mentioned a 3-dose schedule, while others believed it required two or a single dose (2c I - III). However, participants demonstrated varied understanding regarding HPV vaccination eligibility. While some identified the target population as adolescent males and females, their responses about age eligibility ranged widely, including ages 11–12, 14-45, 6-25, and even 14-90 (2d I-IV). Others tied HPV vaccination eligibility to life stages, such as the beginning

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Ghanaian Adolescent Students Participating in the Study (N=59)

	Number (n=59)	Percent (%)	
	Nulliber (II–39)	1 ercent (70)	
Gender			
Female	9	15.25	
Male	50	84.75	
Insurance			
Yes	59	100	
Provider Access			
Yes	15	25.42	
No	44	74.58	
Religion			
Christian	54	91.53	
Muslim	3	5.08	
None	2	3.39	
Age range	11 - 17years		
Mean Age [31]	14.97 (SD + 1	14.97 (SD + 1.55) years	

Table 2. Thematic Analysis of Ghanaian Adolescent Students' Perspectives on HPV Vaccination- Key Themes, Subthemes, and Participant Quotes

Themes	Sub-themes	Quotes	
1. HPV and Cervical Cancer Knowledge	(a) Knowledge of HPV	I. It is the virus that affects the cervix of the human, thank you" (School D, participant 5). II. It is in the female cervix" (School A, participant 2)	
	(b) Transmission	I. Usually, you get cervical cancer from engaging in pre-marital sex" (School C, participant 5).	
	(c) Knowledge of symptoms or consequences	I. The person will get cervical cancer" (School C, number 3). II. The person may get throat cancer" (School C, number 7). III. Since it is the end of the uterus, it links the womb to the vagina, it can cause damage to the womb" (School C, number 8). IV. It goes along with damage to the womb" (School C, number 13). V. It affects the menstrual cycle of females" (School C, number 5). VI. If a person is a female, the person may have complications when giving birth (School D, number 5).	
about HPV vaccination	(a) Vaccine similarities to other vaccines.	I. Vaccinations are a sort of like a weak form of the bacteria so when like when it's introduced into the immune system, it helps the immune system fight against the it makes the immune system stronger" (School D, number 10). II. It boosts the immune system to fight against diseases" (School D, number 2). III. It helps the immune system" (School C, number 3).	
	(b) Knowledge of Other Vaccines	I. I know of anti-rabies vaccine" (School A, number 6). II. I know Hepatitis A and B vaccine" (School A, numbers 9).	
	(c) Number of shots	I. 3 times" (School C, number 3). II. 2 times" (School C, numbers 4,5,10). III. Once" (School B, number 15).	
	(d) Target population for Vaccine (male/female)	I. Children" (School A, students collectively). II. Since this is a disease that affects women, I think women should be vaccinated" (School D, number 2). III. I also think the vaccine should be made on the females" (School D, number 9). IV. Men" (School B, number 14). V. especially the adolescent" (School B, number 12). "VIII-Any adolescent female qualifies to be vaccinated" (School D, number 8).	
	(d) Age criteria for eligibility	I. 11 to 12" (School A, number 5). II. 14 to 45" (School A, number 2). III. I think from 14 to 90 years" (School D, number 10). IV. from 6 to 25" (School C, number 3). V. From 13 years to maybe when a person reaches menopause" (School D, number 8). VI. I think when the adolescent female immediately begins menstrual cycle" (School D, number 5).	
Barriers injection, poten · Misconception infertility), (b) Socio-cultur · Parental effect knowledge, unv accept vaccine) · Culture of no (e.g., not sick, c. · Belief in tradir · Religious belief (c) Structural · Vaccine cost	· Side effects (e.g., pain, fear of injection, potential death), · Misconceptions (e.g.,	I. It has side effects such as maybe you can get other diseases" (School A, number 4). II. Vaccination can make you grow lean (School C, number 7). III. It can give us infection in our skin" (School B, number 1). IV. It can cause dizziness" (School B, number 5). V. It may destroy your womb (School C, number 9). VI. Become weak (School C, number 10). VII. You feel pains" (School B, number 6). VIII. The fear of injection" (School B, number 1).	
	(b) Socio-cultural Parental effects (e.g., lack of knowledge, unwillingness to accept vaccine), Culture of no routine checkup (e.g., not sick, doesn't need it), Belief in traditional medicine Religious beliefs	I. Some of our parents are uneducated on the vaccine, so if I told my mom I wanted to take it she might be like, "Why are you going to take the vaccine?" (School D, number 5). II. My parents should accept and allow me to take the vaccine" (School B, number 17). III. If you are not sick, there is no reason for you to go see" (School A, number 1). IV. There are no symptoms to show that something is wrong" (School A, number 7). V. I will not take it because my doctor hasn't told me I have the virus or no recommendation from doctor VI. I will not take it. I haven't done any check-ups to show that I have the virus VII. They should take me to the hospital for a doctor to check whether I have it" (School C, number 7). VIII. When you are sick, they will tell you to go for herbal medicines; I stopped going to the hospital because the drugs from those people are not that effective" (School A, number 2). IX. Maybe it is going to be a waste of time when you are not sick, and you are going to the doctor" (School A, number 3). X. When people speak bad things about the vaccine XI. I think religion should be set aside so we can receive the vaccine any time	
		I. The high cost of the vaccine and no Money" (School B, number 7). II. Cancer treatment can be very costly, so people with financial problems may decide not to take 2 doses" (School D, number 6). III. The country should accept the vaccine" (School B, number 11). "IV- If the country doesn't accept it, I can't take it" (School B, number 17). IV. I think it all starts with the government. They should provide us help with such resources to partake in that activity" (School D, number 5). V. There are some organizations that are in charge of that, such as Breast Cancer International They should come to the school where girls can freely talk to them about their problems, and vaccination can be done very well" (School D, number 13).	

Table 2. Continued

Themes	Sub-themes	Quotes	
4. HPV Vaccination Facilitators	(a) Personal · Vaccine effectiveness · Protection against the virus	I. I think the vaccination is effective to prevent the virus from developing" (School A, num 15). II. It would help prevent the disease, and related ones" (School D, number 11). III. It will protect us from the virus" (School B, number 16). IV. I'm very much prepared because if I don't get a vaccination earlier, maybe it can resul getting HPV later in my late ages." (School D, number 12). V. I will because it will protect me from getting cancer" (School C, number 10).	
	(b) Social-cultural · Normative beliefs (e.g., parents, doctors, and friends) · Vicarious effects · Altruistic effect	I. I will take it when I see someone who has taken the vaccine and it has helped the person" (School C, number 3). II. When I see someone who has taken it already and did not suffer any harmful effect" (School C, number 5). III. I think parents have to talk to us, because I think some people are afraid to get vaccination because they think people will view them as bad children. Usually, you get cervical cancer from engaging in pre-marital sex. IV. I will take it because I do not want to give the virus to another person (School C, number 9)	
	(c) Structural · Financial support · Availability of vaccine · Policies about school-based education	I. Financial support from the government (School A, number 1). There should be government policies to accept the vaccine nationally (School D, number 4) II. Availability of the vaccine at the hospitals III. I think vaccination should be done in school, mainly the girl's school, so that we can get in touch with the girls very well" (School D, number 15). IV. Available in hospitals" (School A, number 8). V. I think the hospitals would be the best place to go" (School D, number 13).	
5. Access to Health Information	(a) Parent communication	I. Participants in all groups reported no information or communication from their parents about HPV infection, the vaccination, and/or cervical cancer II. I will tell them [parents] that I have been taught in school that I need to take the vaccine to protect myself from getting HPV infection	
	(d) Awareness Campaigns (TV news)	I. I heard it on television, they were trying to create awareness for cervical cancer" (School D, number 5). II. I heard it on the news (School B, number 5)	
	(e) Exposure to School-Based Health Education	I. There should be public education about the necessity of the vaccination" (School A, number 4). II I have heard it before, but it was in a seminar which was organized in the school" (School D, number 8). III. I also heard it [vaccination] in my school They came to our dining hall, so I also learned from that (School D, number 9).	

of menstruation or age 13 through menopause (2d V-VI).

HPV vaccination barriers

The analysis revealed some of the participants were unwilling to be vaccinated. The participants identified personal, sociocultural, and structural level barriers that influenced their perceptions of the HPV vaccine. Personal level barriers to accepting the HPV vaccine include perceived side effects (e.g., pain, fear of injection, potential death) and misconceptions (e.g., infertility, "destroying the womb," growing lean) (3a I – VIII). The sociocultural level barriers include parental effects (e.g., lack of knowledge, unwillingness to accept vaccine), the culture of no routine checkup (e.g., no sick we don't need to go for check-ups), belief in traditional medicine, and religious beliefs (3b I - XI). The structural level barriers include the cost of vaccines and the lack of national policy requirements (3c I -V).

HPV vaccination facilitators

The analysis showed that about 80% reported that they were willing to accept HPV vaccination. The participants identified personal, sociocultural, and structural level factors that would influence them to receive the HPV vaccine. Personal factors include the vaccine effectiveness and their desire to protect themselves against the HPV virus (4a I–V). The socio-cultural factors include normative beliefs such as parental, doctor, and

friend encouragement, vicarious effects (e.g., seeing somebody already vaccinated), and altruistic reasons (e.g., willingness to be vaccinated because of desire not to infect others with the virus) (3b I-IV). The structural factors include the government providing financial support or subsidizing vaccination costs, the availability of vaccines, and policies that include school-based vaccination programs (4c I -V).

Access to Health Information

The analysis showed a lack of adolescent-parent communication about HPV vaccination, with many of the participants indicating that their parents had not discussed any HPV vaccination with them. However, some participants indicated that after receiving credible information about HPV vaccination, they would be willing to begin the conversations (5a I – II). Generally, very few of the participants heard about HPV through television awareness campaigns (5b I – II). Others got their HPV information from school-based programs such as seminars (5c I - II).

Discussion

The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine plays a crucial role in preventing cervical cancer. Understanding the potential target populations' perceptions of HPV vaccination is critical. This study examined adolescent students' knowledge of HPV and cervical cancer, their perceptions of the vaccine, and the factors influencing their willingness to be vaccinated. Key themes from the focus group discussions include general awareness of HPV and HPV vaccines, facilitators and barriers to HPV vaccines, and HPV vaccine information sources.

General knowledge

Knowledge: Consistent with previous studies in LMICs [27, 28], particularly in Ghana [15], our study revealed limited adolescents' knowledge about Human Papillomavirus (HPV) and cervical cancer. Few participants recognized HPV as a cause of cervical cancer, reflecting findings from prior research indicating low awareness of HPV being the primary cause of cervical cancer [15, 31-33]. This trend is common in LMICs, where many individuals, including adolescents, lack an understanding of HPV and its association with various cancers, including cervical cancer [34]. Even among those participants with some awareness of HPV as a causative agent of cervical cancer, misconceptions about HPV's broader effects such as its link to other cancers and its transmission pathways persist. These misunderstandings, highlighted in other studies, can diminish the perceived seriousness of HPV and undermine the importance of vaccination, ultimately hindering vaccine uptake [35, 36]. These findings underscore the critical need for targeted educational campaigns to bridge knowledge gaps and improve awareness of HPV's broader health risks.

HPV vaccination facilitators

Personal level facilitators: Approximately 80% of participants indicated they were willing to receive the HPV vaccine. We identified several individual-level factors that influenced their willingness to participate in vaccination, including the participants' beliefs in the vaccine's efficacy in protecting against HPV and related health issues. The participant's discussions implied that the more they get accurate information about the vaccine's effectiveness, the more likely they would accept the vaccination. This finding suggests that more public and school-based education is needed to create HPV vaccine awareness. The other personal factor that generated participants' interest in vaccination is their desire to protect themselves against the HPV virus and its potential consequences, such as cervical cancer. Supporting this, a study conducted in Italy found that information provided by public health services significantly influenced HPV vaccine acceptance among young students, highlighting the role of health education in improving vaccination uptake [37]. Perceived vaccine effectiveness and the desire for self-protection have been associated with vaccination behaviors [38].

Social-cultural level facilitators

We also identified that sociocultural factors such as normative beliefs, vicarious effects, and altruistic reasons could increase participants' willingness to accept the vaccines. Normative beliefs such as parental influence, trust in health professionals' recommendations, and the perceived credibility and effectiveness of school-based vaccination programs influenced adolescents' attitudes toward vaccines. This finding is consistent with the studies that found that adolescents trust health professionals' advice for vaccination [39].

Similar to other study findings [39], we found that parental power and influence heavily swayed HPV vaccination decisions for adolescents in our study. Although most participants believed in the vaccine's effectiveness, some expressed a need for peer validation, particularly from those who had already received the vaccine. This indicates that vicarious influences play a significant role in shaping vaccine confidence and acceptance. Participants appeared to rely on their peers' experiences, accounts, and observations to measure the vaccine's safety and benefits. These findings align with broader research suggesting that social proof witnessing positive outcomes within one's social circle can strengthen confidence in health interventions [40]. Addressing social norms or subjective norm dynamics in public health campaigns by highlighting stories of vaccinated individuals and fostering community-led advocacy could help bridge the gap between belief in effectiveness and vaccine acceptance.

Contrary to our findings that school-based programs positively influenced participants' willingness to accept vaccines, a systematic review showed that some adolescents in those reviewed studies felt school-based vaccine education was insufficient to make informed vaccine decisions [41]. Nonetheless, targeted school-based programs could enhance adolescents' favorable attitudes toward vaccines.

Structural level facilitators: At the structural level, our study showed that factors such as financial support, vaccine availability, and policies to incorporate school-based HPV educational programs would encourage participants to accept HPV vaccination. Government subsidies or financial assistance to reduce vaccine costs could make vaccines more accessible. Vaccine availability, especially in communities and schools, as well as school-based vaccination programs and supportive policies, could create organized, convenient opportunities for vaccination. Participants' responses suggested that these structural factors could motivate them to accept the vaccine.

HPV vaccination barriers

Personal barriers are individual-level concerns and misconceptions that hinder vaccine acceptance. The findings from our study showed that perceived side effects of the HPV vaccine, such as fear of physical reactions like pain from the injection and anxiety about severe outcomes, including fear of death, are barriers to the HPV vaccine. Fear of side effects, a well-documented barrier in LMICs, is often fueled by widespread misinformation about vaccine safety [14, 36].

Another personal barrier identified in our study is misconceptions about HPV vaccines. Our findings showed that some participants believed in the misinformation about infertility caused by the vaccine, myths about the vaccine causing harm to reproductive organs (e.g., "destroying the womb"), and beliefs that the vaccine

could lead to changes in body shape, such as "growing lean." These findings confirmed other studies that reported concerns about HPV vaccine-associated infertility [42, 43].

Our study showed that sociocultural factors were critical in shaping adolescents' attitudes or likelihood of accepting HPV vaccination. Our findings showed that sociocultural factors such as parental attributes, cultural norms, beliefs, traditional medicine, and religious beliefs were barriers to HPV vaccination. Our finding agrees with the other studies that found that parental attributes such as their lack of awareness or knowledge about vaccine and their unwillingness to vaccinate their children are the main barriers to vaccine acceptance [44, 45].

Additionally, we found that cultural norms, such as the belief that medical check-ups (i.e., prevention measures such as vaccination) are unneeded unless someone is sick, influenced adolescents' attitudes toward vaccines. This belief in "no sick" and "no check-up" practices can perpetuate a misguided belief system that contradicts preventive medicine principles, which emphasize early intervention and proactive health management [46, 47]. By fostering reliance on reactive or symptomatic care, individuals may develop a sense of complacency, believing that seeking medical help (e.g., health screening, vaccination) is unnecessary unless visible symptoms arise [46, 47]. Society-level education and public policies are needed to address reactive care practices and increase the promotion of preventive care practices.

Another sociocultural factor identified in our study is the participant's beliefs in traditional medicine. Beliefs in traditional medicine can shape attitudes toward health interventions and preventive care and can either facilitate or hinder vaccine acceptance [48]. In this study, we found that a belief in traditional medicine negatively affected adolescents' views on hospitals and vaccination, as some of the participants in our study implied that traditional medicine is better than modern medicine. The use of traditional medicine in most LMICs is based on cultural familiarity, accessibility, and affordability, and these traditional practices often serve as the first line of treatment for many communities, particularly in rural and underserved areas [49, 50]. Despite traditional medicine's importance, efforts should be made to harmonize traditional and modern medicine in ways that preserve cultural identity while ensuring patient safety and optimal health outcomes.

In our study, concerns such as HPV vaccine cost and lack of national policy requirements were noted as barriers to vaccine acceptance. Our findings on vaccine cost as a barrier to HPV vaccination align with other studies, which suggest that providing the vaccine free of charge to the target population, regardless of income level, could improve access and uptake in LMICs [17]. Families and individuals often have to pay out-of-pocket, making the vaccines inaccessible to those in lower socioeconomic brackets. While organizations like Gavi and the Vaccine Alliance have helped reduce costs by negotiating lower prices for LMICs, coverage remains uneven [51, 52]. Addressing vaccine affordability is important to avoid higher long-term costs resulting from the treatment and

management of cervical cancer. Another structural barrier is the lack of national policy requirements. Policies integrating HPV vaccination into routine immunization schedules have effectively increased coverage in several countries [53]. Rwanda's comprehensive national policy on HPV vaccination achieved high vaccination rates by targeting young girls through schools and community outreach efforts [54], which could be a model for other LMICs, including Ghana.

HPV vaccine information source

Access to health information: Another notable finding of our study was the participants' source of HPV vaccine information, with many of the participants reporting that their parents had no communication about HPV infection, vaccination, and/or cervical cancer with them. The lack of discussions about HPV vaccination between parents and adolescents may stem from parental unawareness or discomfort in discussing topics related to sexual health, which HPV vaccination often implies [55, 56]. Contrary to the findings of other studies that showed that adolescents felt embarrassed discussing sexually related education with their parents [57, 58], the adolescent participants in this study expressed a willingness to initiate conversations about HPV vaccination with their parents if provided with accurate information about the vaccine. Evidence shows that parent-adolescent engagement and open discussions influence adolescents' vaccination acceptance[41, 59]. Our findings revealed that a few participants accessed HPV vaccine information through TV and school seminars. However, the fact that a few of the participants were exposed to TV campaigns highlights a missed opportunity. Media campaigns can effectively spread awareness to large audiences, especially in areas with limited health education resources. Tailoring these campaigns to cultural and age-specific needs can help address misconceptions about the vaccine. Schools are also vital for health education, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Seminars and school programs can provide direct information to adolescents and indirectly influence their parents [59, 60]. To enhance their impact, schools could include HPV education in health curriculums, involve parents in the process, and work with local healthcare providers to run vaccination programs.

Limitations

The major limitation of this study was the sample size and its composition. Although the study involved 59 participants, the sample was predominantly female (84.75%), which could limit the representativeness of the findings, especially concerning male adolescents' perceptions of the HPV vaccine. Given that HPV affects both males and females, future studies would benefit from a more gender-balanced sample to capture a broader range of perspectives. Again, the geographic scope of the study was limited to high schools in the Ashanti Region of Ghana, which may not fully reflect the knowledge and attitudes of adolescents from other regions, particularly those in more rural or underserved areas.

Another limitation is the potential for social desirability bias due to the face-to-face nature of the focus group

discussion, where participants may feel pressured to give socially acceptable responses during group discussions on sensitive topics like sexual health and vaccination. This desirability bias could lead to underreporting of vaccine hesitancy or concerns, making it harder to assess misconceptions about the HPV vaccine accurately. To minimize social desirability, the focus group facilitators continually encouraged participants to speak their minds and emphasized the need for the study participants not to discuss any issues raised during the focus group discussions with anybody. We also assured participants that their responses would remain confidential, and that all data would be anonymized. Additionally, focus group discussion is at risk of allowing more outspoken adolescents to dominate the discussions, while introverted students may feel uncomfortable and hesitate to share their experiences. We used a round-robin format during the focus group discussions to ensure every participant had an opportunity to speak.

Finally, the absence of data from parents, who play a critical role in the decision-making process for vaccination, represents a significant limitation of this study. Parents are often the primary decisionmakers regarding their children's healthcare, including vaccinations. Understanding their perspectives, beliefs, and concerns would provide a more holistic view of the factors influencing HPV vaccination uptake. Nevertheless, the insights gained from this study into the factors that affect adolescents' likelihood of accepting the HPV vaccination are valuable. These findings can inform the design of future interventions aimed at fostering effective parent-adolescent communication about HPV vaccination. By addressing both parties' knowledge gaps and concerns, such interventions could help align adolescent interest with parental consent, ultimately improving vaccination rates and reducing barriers to uptake

Strengths

Despite these limitations, the study had several strengths. One notable strength was the use of focus group discussions, which allowed for an in-depth understanding of adolescents' knowledge and perceptions of HPV and the vaccine. Focus groups created an environment where participants could engage in meaningful conversations, pose questions, and voice their concerns, ultimately leading to a better understanding of the socio-cultural and environmental factors that shape their attitudes toward vaccination.

Another strength of the study was the diversity of the sample, which comprised adolescents from four different schools in the Ashanti Region. While the sample had limitations regarding gender imbalance, including participants from various schools offered a broader perspective on the factors affecting vaccine uptake in Ghana. This diversity enhances the applicability of the findings to similar populations in other regions.

A third strength of the study was its focus on understanding the socio-cultural and context-specific factors that influence adolescents' attitudes toward the HPV vaccine. By exploring the role of healthcare professionals, parental consent, peer influence, and school

health programs, the study provided a comprehensive view of the factors that shape vaccine decision-making in this population. Despite challenges in the data collection and analysis processes resulting from participants' limited prior knowledge, the findings highlight the need to enhance health literacy and tackle the sociocultural barriers that impede vaccine acceptance. These results can inform future interventions to increase HPV vaccination rates in Ghana and other LMICs.

The findings of this study have several important implications for public health initiatives aimed at increasing HPV vaccination rates among adolescents in Ghana. First, the significant knowledge gaps regarding HPV and its vaccine highlight the urgent need for comprehensive educational campaigns specifically designed for adolescent students. These campaigns should focus on clarifying misconceptions about HPV and its link to cervical cancer, as well as emphasizing the importance of vaccination for both genders. Public health messages must also address common concerns about vaccine safety, side effects, and costs to alleviate fears that may hinder vaccine uptake [14].

Furthermore, the study highlights healthcare professionals' vital role in promoting vaccine acceptance among adolescents. Providing training for healthcare providers to effectively communicate the benefits of the HPV vaccine and address parental concerns can build trust and facilitate informed decision-making. Strengthening school health programs to incorporate structured health education on HPV and the vaccine can transform schools into key centers for health promotion. Additionally, policymakers should consider integrating HPV vaccination into existing school health initiatives, as these programs can help normalize discussions around sexual health and provide easy access to vaccinations [15] [15]. By implementing these strategies, Ghana can make significant progress toward increasing HPV vaccination rates and reducing the incidence of HPV-related cancers.

In conclusion, this study highlights significant gaps in the knowledge and perceptions of the HPV vaccine among adolescents in Ghana. Despite the vaccine's proven benefits in preventing cervical cancer, many adolescents remain unaware of its importance, resulting in low vaccination rates. Socio-cultural factors influencing attitudes towards vaccination, such as healthcare professional involvement and parental consent, must be addressed through targeted education and advocacy efforts. By utilizing schools as platforms for health education and integrating HPV vaccination into routine healthcare services, Ghana can enhance vaccine uptake among adolescents. These efforts are vital for reducing the burden of HPV-related cancers and promoting a healthier future for Ghanaian youth.

Author Contribution Statement

Conceptualization: Matthew Asare, Peter Agyei-Baffour, and Adofo Koranteng. Methodology: Matthew Asare, Peter Agyei-Baffour, Adofo Koranteng, and Alex Barimah Owusu. Data collection: Matthew Asare, Adofo Koranteng, Alex Barimah Owusu, and Mary E. Commeh. Validation: Emmanuel Abrefah, Anjelica Elizondo, and

Matthew Asare. Formal analysis: Emmanuel Abrefah, Anjelica Elizondo, and Matthew Asare. Writing original draft preparation: Emmanuel Abrefah. Writing review and editing: all authors reviewed and edited the draft. Supervision: Matthew Asare. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to the students, their parents, and the staff of the four participating high schools in the Ashanti Region of Ghana, for their cooperation and enthusiastic participation in this study. We extend our sincere thanks to the Ghana Education Service (GES) and the school administrators for their support and assistance in facilitating participant recruitment and study logistics. Our profound appreciation also goes to Baylor University in the USA and the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology in Ghana for the ethical oversight and approval of this study. We would like to thank our research team, especially the moderators, coders, and transcriptionists, for their diligent work in collecting and analyzing the data. Additionally, we acknowledge the role of NVivo and SAS software in supporting our data analysis. Finally, we are grateful for the invaluable contributions of all those who made this research possible.

Institutional Review Board Statement

The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of Baylor University [60 1355428][60 1355428] and Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology in Ghana [60 CHRPE/AP /126/19][60 CHRPE/AP /126/19]

Informed Consent Statement

All participants were informed that their responses would be used for research purposes, and they provided their voluntary agreement to participate. Prior to participant recruitment, parental permission before their children could assent to the study.

Availability of data (if apply to your research)

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- de Martel C, Georges D, Bray F, Ferlay J, Clifford GM. Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: A worldwide incidence analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(2):e180-e90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30488-7.
- 2. Arbyn M, Weiderpass E, Bruni L, de Sanjose S, Saraiya M, Ferlay J, et al. Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: A worldwide analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(2):e191-e203. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30482-6.
- 3. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram

- I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209-49. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- Stelzle D, Tanaka LF, Lee KK, Ibrahim Khalil A, Baussano I, Shah ASV, et al. Estimates of the global burden of cervical cancer associated with hiv. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(2):e161-e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30459-9.
- Who adds an hpv vaccine for single-dose use." accessed: Nov. 07, 2024. [online]. Available from: Https://www.Who. Int/news/item/04-10-2024-who-adds-an-hpv-vaccine-for-single-dose-use.
- World health organization. Ghana: Cancer country profile; 2021. Retrieved from: https://cdn.Who.Int.
- Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, et al. Global cancer observatory: Cancer today. Lyon: International agency for research on cancer. 2020;20182020.
- Calys-Tagoe BNL, Aheto JMK, Mensah G, Biritwum RB, Yawson AE. Cervical cancer screening practices among women in ghana: Evidence from wave 2 of the who study on global ageing and adult health. BMC Womens Health. 2020;20(1):49. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-00915-9.
- Das M. Who launches strategy to accelerate elimination of cervical cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(1):20-1. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30729-4.
- Bruni L, Serrano B, Roura E, Alemany L, Cowan M, Herrero R, et al. Cervical cancer screening programmes and age-specific coverage estimates for 202 countries and territories worldwide: A review and synthetic analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2022;10(8):e1115-e27. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00241-8.
- Human papillomavirus (hpv)." accessed: Oct. 17, 2024. [online]. Available from: Https://www.Who.Int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/diseases/human-papillomavirus-vaccines-(hpv).
- Human papillomavirus vaccines: Who position paper, december 2022." accessed: Oct. 17, 2024. [online]. Available from: Https://www.Who.Int/publications/i/item/ who-wer9750-645-672.
- 13. Hu YM, Bi ZF, Zheng Y, Zhang L, Zheng FZ, Chu K, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of an escherichia coli-produced human papillomavirus (types 6/11/16/18/31/33/45/52/58) 11 virus-like-particle vaccine: A phase 2 double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Sci Bull (Beijing). 2023;68(20):2448-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2023.09.020.
- 14. Drolet M, Benard E, Perez N, Brisson M, Group HPVVIS. Population-level impact and herd effects following the introduction of human papillomavirus vaccination programmes: Updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2019;394(10197):497-509. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(19)30298-3.
- 15. Asare M, Agyei-Baffour P, Lanning BA, Barimah Owusu A, Commeh ME, Boozer K, et al. Multi-theory model and predictors of likelihood of accepting the series of hpv vaccination: A cross-sectional study among ghanaian adolescents. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(2):571. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020571.
- Murewanhema G, Moyo E, Dzobo M, Mandishora-Dube RS, Dzinamarira T. Human papilloma virus vaccination in the resource-limited settings of sub-saharan africa: Challenges and recommendations. Vaccine X. 2024;20:100549. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jvacx.2024.100549.
- 17. Adjei Boakye E, Osazuwa-Peters N, Lopez J, Pham VT, Tobo BB, Wan L, et al. Disparities in human papillomavirus

- (hpv) vaccine initiation and completion based on sexual orientation among women in the united states. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17(2):428-33. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2020.1778407.
- 18. Johns hopkins bloomberg school of public health. A single-dose of HPV vaccine as the way forward in ghana. Available from: Https://publichealth.Jhu.Edu/sites/default/files/2024-02/2single-dose-hpv-vaccination-in-ghanaax.Pdf (accessed november 22, 2024).
- Vodicka E, Nonvignon J, Antwi-Agyei KO, Bawa J, Clark A, Pecenka C, et al. The projected cost-effectiveness and budget impact of hpv vaccine introduction in ghana. Vaccine. 2022;40 Suppl 1:A85-A93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vaccine.2021.07.027.
- Yarney J, Ohene Oti NO, Calys-Tagoe BNL, Gyasi RK, Agyeman Duah I, Akoto-Aidoo C, et al. Establishing a cancer registry in a resource-constrained region: Process experience from ghana. JCO Glob Oncol. 2020;6:610-6. https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO.19.00387.
- 21. Patton MQ. Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice. Sage publications; 2014.
- 22. Elo S, Kyngas H. The qualitative content analysis process. J Adv Nurs. 2008;62(1):107-15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x.
- Fereday J, Muir-Cochrane E. Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development. Int J Qual Methods. 2006;5(1):80-92. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690600500107
- Tobaiqy M, MacLure K. A systematic review of human papillomavirus vaccination challenges and strategies to enhance uptake. Vaccines (Basel). 2024;12(7):746. https:// doi.org/10.3390/vaccines12070746.
- Kutz JM, Rausche P, Gheit T, Puradiredja DI, Fusco D. Barriers and facilitators of hpv vaccination in subsaharan africa: A systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):974. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15842-1.
- 26. Thomas DR. A general inductive approach for analyzing qualitative evaluation data. Am J Eval. 2006;27(2):237-46. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214005283748
- Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology.
 Qual Res Psychol. 2006;3(2):77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- 28. Strauss AL. The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research. Routledge; 2017.
- Artstein R, Poesio M. Kappa3= alpha (or beta). Technical Report CSM-437, University of Essex Department of Computer Science; 2005.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics. 1977;33(1):159-74.
- 31. Rashid S, Labani S, Das BC. Knowledge, awareness and attitude on hpv, hpv vaccine and cervical cancer among the college students in india. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0166713. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166713.
- 32. Agyei-Baffour P, Asare M, Lanning B, Koranteng A, Millan C, Commeh ME, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccination practices and perceptions among ghanaian healthcare providers: A qualitative study based on multi-theory model. PLoS One. 2020;15(10):e0240657. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240657.
- 33. Popelsky BK, Asare M, Agyei-Baffour P, Koranteng A, Commeh ME. Assessing attitudes and beliefs toward hpv vaccination among ghanaian parents with unvaccinated adolescents: Application of multi-theory model of behavior change. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2022;23(6):1901-11.

- https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2022.23.6.1901.
- 34. Oringtho S, Mwaka AD, Garimoi Orach C, Wabinga H. Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and preventive approaches, and perceived causes of cervical cancer among secondary school girls: A cross-sectional study in northern uganda. Ann Med. 2024;56(1):2374860. https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2024.2374860.
- 35. Turiho AK, Okello ES, Muhwezi WW, Katahoire AR. Perceptions of human papillomavirus vaccination of adolescent schoolgirls in western uganda and their implications for acceptability of hpv vaccination: A qualitative study. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):431. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2749-8.
- 36. Lubeya MK, Chibwesha CJ, Mwanahamuntu M, Mukosha M, Frank S, Kawonga M. "When you get the hpv vaccine, it will prevent cervical cancer; it will act as a shield": Adolescent girls' knowledge and perceptions regarding the human papillomavirus vaccine in zambia. Front Health Serv. 2023;3:1208458. https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2023.1208458
- Fallucca A, Immordino P, Riggio L, Casuccio A, Vitale F, Restivo V. Acceptability of hpv vaccination in young students by exploring health belief model and health literacy. Vaccines (Basel). 2022;10(7):998. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10070998.
- Bish A, Yardley L, Nicoll A, Michie S. Factors associated with uptake of vaccination against pandemic influenza: A systematic review. Vaccine. 2011;29(38):6472-84. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.107.
- 39. Karafillakis E, Peretti-Watel P, Verger P, Chantler T, Larson H. 'I trust them because my mum trusts them': Exploring the role of trust in hpv vaccination decision-making among adolescent girls and their mothers in france. Vaccine. 2022;40(8):1090-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vaccine.2022.01.028
- 40. Wong LP, Wong PF, Megat Hashim M, Han L, Lin Y, Hu Z, et al. Multidimensional social and cultural norms influencing hpv vaccine hesitancy in asia. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2020;16(7):1611-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.20 20.1756670.
- 41. Mitchell H, Lim R, Gill PK, Dhanoa J, Dube E, Bettinger JA. What do adolescents think about vaccines? Systematic review of qualitative studies. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2022;2(9):e0001109. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0001109.
- 42. Schuler CL, Hanley CJ, Coyne-Beasley T. Misconception: Human papillomavirus vaccine and infertility. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2014;53(2):158-65. https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922813504026.
- Forster A, Wardle J, Stephenson J, Waller J. Passport to promiscuity or lifesaver: Press coverage of hpv vaccination and risky sexual behavior. J Health Commun. 2010;15(2):205-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730903528066.
- 44. Borras E, Dominguez A, Fuentes M, Batalla J, Cardenosa N, Plasencia A. Parental knowledge of paediatric vaccination. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:154. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-154.
- 45. Williams SE. What are the factors that contribute to parental vaccine-hesitancy and what can we do about it? Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2014;10(9):2584-96. https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.28596
- 46. Peelwood family medical practice. Preventative vs. Reactive healthcare: Why the former wins every time. Https://peelwoodmedical.Com.Au/articles/preventative-vs-reactive-healthcare-why-the-former-wins-every-time/ (accessed november 30, 2024).
- 47. Wise A, MacIntosh E, Rajakulendran N, Khayat Z. Transforming health: Shifting from reactive to proactive

- and predictive care. Transforming Health MaRS Market Insights. 2016.
- 48. Ferrer HB, Trotter C, Hickman M, Audrey S. Barriers and facilitators to hpv vaccination of young women in highincome countries: A qualitative systematic review and evidence synthesis. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:700. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-700.
- 49. Kendi NN. Impact of Traditional Medicine Integration with Modern Healthcare in Africa. Newport International Journal of Scientific and Experimental Sciences. 2024;5:18-21.
- 50. Palileo-Villanueva LM, Palafox B, Amit AML, Pepito VCF, Ab-Majid F, Ariffin F, et al. Prevalence, determinants and outcomes of traditional, complementary and alternative medicine use for hypertension among low-income households in malaysia and the philippines. BMC Complement Med Ther. 2022;22(1):252. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12906-022-03730-x.
- 51. Gehl Sampath P. Market shaping and market access in the global vaccines market: Approaches for the future. Available from: SSRN 3945668; 2021.
- 52. Singh B, Kaur J, Chattu VK. Global vaccine inequities and multilateralism amid covid-19: Reconnaissance of global health diplomacy as a panacea? Health Promot Perspect. 2022;12(4):315-24. https://doi.org/10.34172/hpp.2022.41.
- 53. Koulova A, Tsui J, Irwin K, Van Damme P, Biellik R, Aguado MT. Country recommendations on the inclusion of hpv vaccines in national immunization programmes among high-income countries, june 2006-january 2008. Vaccine. 2008;26(51):6529-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vaccine.2008.08.067.
- 54. Asempah E. HPV Vaccine Access and Cervical Cancer Policymaking Process: A Comparative Governmental Priority Setting Study of Ghana, Rwanda, and Canada; 2023.
- 55. Kirkman M, Rosenthal DA, Shirley Feldman S. Being open with your mouth shut: The meaning of 'openness' in family communication about sexuality. Sex education. 2005;5(1):49-66. https://doi.org/10.1080/1468181042000301885
- 56. Farringdon F, Holgate C, McIntyre F, Bulsara M. A level of discomfort! Exploring the relationship between maternal sexual health knowledge, religiosity and comfort discussing sexual health issues with adolescents. Sex Res Social Policy. 2014;11:95-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-013-0122-9
- 57. Ogle S, Glasier A, Riley SC. Communication between parents and their children about sexual health. Contraception. 2008;77(4):283-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. contraception.2007.12.003.
- 58. Mullis MD, Kastrinos A, Wollney E, Taylor G, Bylund CL. International barriers to parent-child communication about sexual and reproductive health topics: A qualitative systematic review. Sex Education. 2021;21(4):387-403. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681811.2020.1807316
- 59. Davies C, Marshall HS, Zimet G, McCaffery K, Brotherton JML, Kang M, et al. Effect of a school-based educational intervention about the human papillomavirus vaccine on psychosocial outcomes among adolescents: Analysis of secondary outcomes of a cluster randomized trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(11):e2129057. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamanetworkopen.2021.29057.
- 60. Numnum TM, Kirby TO, Leath CA, 3rd, Huh WK, Alvarez RD, Straughn JM, Jr. A prospective evaluation of "see and treat" in women with hsil pap smear results: Is this an appropriate strategy? J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2005;9(1):2-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/00128360-200501000-00002.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.